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## PREFATORY NOTE.

$T_{\text {IIf }}$ title-page sufficiently sets forth the end this little book is intended to serve.

For convenience' sake I have arranged in alphabetical order the subjects treated of, and for economy's sake I have kept in mind that "he that uses many words for the explaining of any subject doth, like the cuttle-fish, hide himself in his own ink."

The curious inquirer who sets himself to look for the learning in the book is advised that he will best find it in such works as Ceorge P. Marsh's "Lectures on the English Language," Fitzedward Hall's " Recent Exemplifications of False Philology" and "Modern English," Richard Grant White's "Words and Their Uses," idward S. Gould's "Good English," William Mathews' "Words: their Use and Abuse," Dean Alford's "The Queen's English," Geurge Washington

Moron's "Bad Einglish" and "The Iean's English," Blank's "Vislgarisms and Other Virrors of Speech," Alexander lbatin's "linglish Composition and Rhetoric," latin's "Higher linglish (irammar," Bain's "Composition (irammar," Quackenbos' "Composition and Rlactoric," Joln Nichol's "Jinglish Compesition," Willian Coblhett's "Pinglish Grammar," Peter Bullions "English Crammar," Goold Brown's " Grammat" of Vinglish Ciammars," Cratham's "limglish Synonyones," ('fablo's "Vinglish Symmymes," Bigelow's "Hand-book of 「'ma'tustion," and wher kindred works.

Suggestions and criticisms are solicited, with the vew of politing by them in future editions.

If "IThe Verfalist" receive as kindly a weleome as Its comprosion volimese, "Ilise Orthu"p"n," has received, I shall lice coment.
A. 1 .

Nisw Yuns, October, 1881.

Escuew ine wonls as you moald rouge-HaEs.
Cuat is pouperly a luable-ulstilleilia: the secoal powner of a lie.-Carcyle.

If a genoleman be to sicity $23 y$ lancuage, iou oughe to be that of his own wantry. - Locke.

In lanyrage the unknown is geuerally taken tor the masc. niticent. -Richard Genst Weite.

He who has a saperlative for everything, whats a measure for the great or small. -Laratee.

Inaccarate writing is generally the expressiun of inacoliate thinking. - Rtequed Gexat Werte

To werpire a few tonzues is the labor of a few years : bat to be eloquect in one is the labor oi a lite.-Arosyrocs.

Wonds ant thoughts are so iuseputh'ly connected that an artist in worts is uecessarily an artis: in thoughts. -WILsos Flage.

It is an invariable maxim that wr. rds waivh add nothing to the sense or to the clearness must diminish the tore of the expression.-Campaell.

Propriety of thorght and propriety of dietion are somunaly fotud tonnther. Obsourity of expression generally =arions frm coniusion of ideas. Mavathay.

He wiso writus bally thiaks builly. Confuselness in words can pereed from anthing but contusedness in the thoajuts which gire rise to them. - l'osserm.

## THE VERBATIST.

A-An. The second form of the indefinite article is used for the sake of euphony only. Herein everybody agrees, but what everybody does not agree iu is, that it is euphonious t.) use an before a word beginning with an aspirated $h$, when the accented syllable of the word is the second. For myself, su long as I continue to aspirate the $h$ 's in such words as heroir, harangue, and historical, I shall continue to use $a$ before them; and when I adopt the Cockney mode of pronouncing such words, then I shall use an before them. To my ear it is just as euphonious to say, "I will crop off from the top of his young twigs a tender one, and will plant it upon an high mountain and eminent," as it is to say an harangue, an heroic, or an historical. $A n$ is well enough before the doubtful British aspiration, but before the distinct American aspiration it is wholly out of place. The reply will perhaps be, "But these $h$ 's are silent; the change of accent from the first syllable to the second neutralizes their aspiration." However true this may be in England, it is not at all true in America; hence we Americans should use $a$ and not $a n$ before such $h$ 's until we decide to ape the Cockney mode of pronouncing them.

Errors are not unfrequently made by omitting to repeat the article in a sentence. It should always be repeated when a noun or an adjective referring to a distinct thing is introduced; take, for example, the sentence, "He has a black and
white horse." If two horses are meant, it is clear that it should be, "He has a black and $a$ white limse." See Tur.

Ability-Capacity. The distinctions between these two words are not always ohserved by those who use them. "Capacity is the power of receiving and retaining knowledge with facility ; "bility is the power of applying knowledge to practical purposes. Both these faculties are requisite to form a great character : capacity to conceive, and ahility to excente designs. Capacity is shown in quickness of apprehension. Ability supposes something done; something by which the mental power is exercised in exeenting, or performing, what has been perceived by the eapacity."-(iraham's "English Synonymes."

Abortive. An outlandish use of this word may be occasionally met with, especially in the nowsprapers. "A lad was yesterday canght in the act of celootively appropriating a pair of shoes." That is abortive that is matimely, that has not been borne its full time, that is immature. We often hear abortion used in the sense of failire, but never by those that study to express themselves in chaste English.

Above. There is little autlonity for using this word as an adjective Instcad of, "the chore statement," say "the foregoing statement." Above is also used very inclegantly for more than; as, "above a mile," "above a thonsand"; also, for beyond; as, "above his strength."

Accident. See Casualtr.
Accord. "He [the Secretary of the Treasury] was shown through the building, and the information he desired was accorded him."-Reporters' Enelish.

- "The hernes prayed, and Pallas from the skies Accorts their vow."-Р'pue.
The godiless of wisdom, when she granted the prayers of her worshippers, may be said to have accorded ; not so, however,
when the clerks of our Sub-Treasury answer the inquiries of their ehief.

Accuse. See Blame ir on.
Acquaintance. See Friend.
Ad. This abbreviation for the word adcertisement is very justly considered a gross vulgarism. It is doubtful whether it is permissible under any circumstances.

Adapt-Dramatize. In speaking and in writing of stage matters, these words are often misused. To adapt a play is to morlify its construction with the view of improving its form for representation. Plays translated from one language into another are usually more or less adapted; i.e., altered to suit the taste of the pnblic before which the translation is to be represented. To dramatize is to change the form of a story from the narrative to the ciramatic; i.e., to make a drama out of a story. In the first instance, the product of the playmight's labor is called an adaptation; in the second, a dramatizasion.

Adjectives. "Very often arljectives stand where adverbs might be expectel; as, 'drink deep,' 'this looks strange,' 'standing erect.'
" We have also examples of one adjective qualifying annther adjective; as, 'wide open,' 'red hot,' ' the pale blue sky.' Sometines the corresponding adverb is used, but with a different meaning; as, 'I found the way easy-pasily'; 'it appears cleur-clearly.' Although there is a propriety in the employment of the adjective in certain instances, yet such

- forms as 'indifferent well,' 'extreme bad,' are grammatical errors. 'He was interrogated relutive to that circumstance,' should be relatively, or in reiation to. It is not unusual to say, 'I would have done it independent of that circmmstance,' but independently is the proper construction.
"The employment of adjectives for adverbs is accounted for by the following considerations:
"(1.) In the classical languages the neuter adjective may be usel as an adverb, and the analogy would appear to have been extended to English.
"(2.) In the oldest English the adreerb was regularly formed from the adjective by adding ' $e$,' as 'soft, softe,' and the dropping of the ' $e$ ' left the adverb in the adjective form: thus, 'clcene,' adverb, became 'clean,' and appears in the phrase 'clean gone'; 'fieste, fast,' 'to stick fust.' By a false analogy, many adjectives that never formed adverbs in -r were frcely used as adverbs in the age of Elizabeth: 'Thou diclst it excellent,' 'equal (for equally) good,' 'pxcellent well.' This gives precedent for such errors as those mentioned above.
"(3.) There are cases where the subject is qualified rather than the verb, as with verbs of incomplete predication, 'being,' 'seeming,' 'arriving,' etc. In 'the matter seems clear,' ' clear' is part of the predicate of 'matter.' 'They arrived 'safe': 'safe' does not qualify 'arrived,' but goes with it to complete the predicate. So, 'he sat silent,' 'he stood firm.' 'It comes beautiful' and 'it comes beautifully' have different meanings. This explanation applies especially to the use of participles as adverbs, as in Southey's lines on Lodore; the participial epithets applied there, although appearing to modify 'came,' are really additional predications about 'the water,' in elegantly shortened form. 'The church stood gleaming through the trees': 'gleaming' is a shortened predicate of 'church'; and the full form would be, 'the church stood and gleamed.' The parciciple retains its force as such, while acting the part of a coürdinating adjective, complement to 'stood'; 'stood gloaming' is little more than 'gleamed.' The feeling of advertial force in 'gleaming' arises from the subordinate parti-
cipial form joined with a verb, 'stood,' that seems capable of predicating by itself. 'Pussing strange' is elliptical : 'passing (surpassing) what is strange.' "-Bain.
"The comparative adjectives wiser, better, larger, etc., and the contrasting adjectives different, other, etc., are oftell so placed as to render the construction of the sentence awkward; as, 'That is a much better statement of the case than yours,' instead of 'That statement of the case is much better thon yours'; 'Yours is a larger plot of ground than John's,' instead of, 'Your plot of ground is larger than John's'; 'This is a different course of proceeding from what I expected,' instead of, 'This course of proceeding is different from what I expected'; 'I could take no other method of silencing him than the one I took,' instead of, 'I could take no method of silencing him other than the one I took.'"-Gould's "Good English," p. 69.

Administer. "Carson died from blows administered by policeman Johnson."-"New York Times." If policeman Johnson was as barbarous as is this use of the verb to adtminister, it is to be hoped that he was hanged. Governments, oaths, medicine, affairs-such as the affairs of the state-are administered, but not blows: they are dealt.

Adopt. This word is often used instead of to decide upon, and of to take; thus, "The measures adopted [by Parliament], as the result of this inquiry, will be productive of good." Better, "The measures decided upon," etc. Instead of, "What course shall you adopt to get your pay?" say, "What course shall you take," etc. Adopt is properly used in a sentence like this: "The course (or measures) proposed by Mr. Blank was adopted by the committee." That is, what was Blank's was adopted by the committee-a correct use of the word, as to culopt, means, to assume as one's own. Adopt is sometimes so misused that its meaning is inverted.
"Wanteal thaden," in thes lowaling of advertisements, not unferguently is momuded to mean that the advertiser wishes tes be relicesed. of the eares of a child, wet that he wishes to w.snurne the care of onf:

Aggravate. This word is often userl when the speaker
 |provokes| mes to bes continnally found fanlt with"; "Ho is
 worses, to heishtesn. We therefore very properly specak of "aygrubuliay eireumstances. T'o saly of a perason that lic is


Agriculturist. 'Ihis word is whe preferred to agric:ullurulise. Sese Convesisatsoniats.

Alike. This word is often most hanglingly conpled with besthe. Thas, "Thasere bunacta ince both alikes" or, worse still, if perasible, "treth juat alike:" This reminds ones of the story of Simanal Aem, who were very like each other, especially Nimo.

## All. See Univeisesala.

All over, "Thes diacsass spresed wall omer the conntry." it is more logioni amd more emplatic tors. shy, "Thas disease HpNeal ourar all the semblry."

Allegory. An valabrated motaphor is called an allemory; beth are figurative representations, the worls useal sienifying something beyond their literal meaning. 'Ilans, in the eightieth Psala, the Jews are representerl nader the symber af is vinus:
"Thosi hast brought a vine out of ligypt: thou liast cast out the heathos, mal planted it. Thon preparealst room 1,eforg it, innl didst cisuss it to take deep root, and it filled the lamed. Thas hills were coveresl with the shadow of it, and the bonglas thererof wese like thes gorally ceedars. She sent ont her braughs unte, tho sea, and her brandoses unte the river.

Why hast thou then hroken drown har herlges, so that all they which pass by the way do pluck her? The bwar ont of the woorl doth waste it, and the wild beasti of the field doth fevonr it."

An allegory iz sometimes so extender that it makes a volume; as in the conse of Swift's "Tale of a Tub," Arbuth. not's "John Bull," Panyan's "Pilgrim's Progress," etc. Fables and parables are short allegrories.

Allow. This word is frequently misuses 1 in the Weat and South, where it is marle to do service for cussert or to be of oprinion. Thas, "He ullouns that he has the finest horse in the comutry."

Allude. The treatment this word has receiver is to be specially regretterl, as its misuse has wellnigh rohberl it of its true rueaning, which is, to intimate delicately, to refer to without mentioning directly. Allucle is now very rarely used in any other sense than that of to speak of, to mention, to name, which is a long way irom being its legitimate signification. This rlegrarlation is doubtlegs a direct outcorne of untutored desire to be fine and to use big words.

Alone. This word is often irnproperly used for omly. That is clone which is unaccompanied ; that is only of whick there is no other. "Virtae clone makes us happy," means that virtue unaiderl suffices to make us happy; "Virtue conly makes ns happy," means that nothing else can do it-that that, and that only (not alone), can do it. "This means of communication is employed by man chlone." Dr. Quackenbos should have written, "By man only." See alan Only.

Amateur-Novice. There is much confusion in the use of these two words, although they are entirely distinct froms each nther in meaning. An amateur is one versed in, or a Inver and practicer of, any particular pursuit, art, or science, but not engaged in it professionally. A noroice is one who is
new or inexperienced in any art or business-a beginner, a tyro. A professional actor, then, who is new and unskilled in his art, is a norice and not an amateur. An amateur may be an artist of great experience and extraordinary skill.

Ameliorate. "The health of the Empress of Germany is greatly ameliorated." Why not say improved?

Among. See Between.
Amount of Perfection. The observant reader of periodical literature often notes forms of expression which are perhaps best characterized by the word bizarre. Of these queer locutions, amount of perfection is a very good example. Mr. G. F. Watts, in the "Nineteenth Century," says, "An amount of perfection has been reached which I was by no means prepared for." What Mr. Watts meant to say was, doubtless, that a degree of excellence had ben reached. There are not a few who, in their prepossession for everything transatlantic, secin to be of opinion that the English language is generally better written in England than it is in America. Those who think so are counselled to examine the diction of some of the most notel Euglish critics and essayists, beginning, if they will, with Matthew Arnold.

And. Few vulgarisms are more common than the use of and for to. Examples: "Come and'see me before you go" : "Try and do what you can for hin"; "Go and see your brother, if you can." In such sentences as these, the proper participle to use is clearly to and not and.

And is sometimes improperly used instead of or ; thus, "It is obvious that a langnage like the Greek and Latin" (language?), etc., should be, "a language like the Greek or the Latin" (language), etc. There is no such thing as a Greek and Latin language.

Answer-Reply. These two words should not be used indiscriminately. An answer is given to a question ; a reply,
to an assertion. When we are addressed, we answer; when we are accused, we reply. We answer letters, and reply to any argunents, statements, or accusations they may contain. Crabb is in error in saying that replies "are used in personal discourse only." Replies, as well as answers, are written. We very properly write, "I have now, I believe, conswered all your questions and replied to all your arguments." A rejoinder is made to a reply. "Who goes there?" he cried; and, receiving no answer, he firecl. "The advocate repliel to the charges made against his client."

Anticipate. Lovers of big words have a fondness for making this verb do duty for expect. Anticipate is derived from two Latin words meaning before and to take, and, when properly used, means, to take beforehand; to go before so as to preclude another; to get the start or ahead of ; to enjoy, possess, or suffer, in expectation; to foretaste. It is, therefore, misused in such sentences as, "Her death is hourly anticipated"; "By this means it is anticipated that the time from Europe will be lessened two days."

Antithesis. A plrase that opposes contraries is called an antithesis.
"I see a chief who leads my chosen sons, All armed with points, antitheses, and puns."
The following are examples:
" Though gentle, yet not dull; Strong, without rage; withont o'erflowing, full."
" Contrasted faults through all their manners reign ;
Though poor, luxurious; though submissive, vain :
Though grave, yet trifling; zealous, yet untrue;
And e'en in penance planning sius anew."
The following is an excellent example of personification and antithesis combined:
" Ialent convinces; Genius but excites: That tasks the reason; this the soul delights. Talent from sober judgment takes its birth, And reconciles the pinion to the earth; Genius unsettles with desires the mind, Contented not till earth be left behind."

In the following extract from Johnson's "Life of Pope," individual peculiarities are contrasted by means of antitheses:
"Of genius-that power which constitutes a poet; that quality without which julgment is cold, and knowledge is inert; that energy which collects, combines, amplifies, and animates-the superiority must, with some hesitation, bo allowed to Dryden. It is not to be inferred that of this poetical vigor Pope had only a little, because Dryden had more; for every other writer, since Milton, must give place to Pope; and even of Dryden it must be said that, if he has brighter paragraphs, he has not better poems. Dryden's performances were always hasty, either excited by some extrrnal occasion or extorted by domestic necessity ; he composel without consideration and published without correction. What his mind could supply at call or gather iu one excursion was all that he sought and all that he gave. The dilatory caution of Pope enabled him to condense his sentiments, to multiply his images, and to accumulate all that study might produce or chance might supply. If the flights of Dryden, therefore, are higher, Pope continues longer on the wing. If of Dryden's fire the blaze is brighter, of Pope's the heat is more regular and constant. Dryilen often surpasses expectation, and Pope never falls below it. Dryden is read with frequent astonishment, and Pope with perpetual delight. Dryclen's page is a natural field, rising into inequalities, and diversified by the varied exuberance of abundant vegetation;

Pope's is a velvet larm, shaven by the scythe, and leveled by the roller."

There are forms of antithesis in which the contrast is only of a secondary kind.

Any. This worci is sometimes made to do service for at all. We say properly, "She is not any better"; but we can not properly say, "She does not see any," meaning that she is bliud.

Anybody else. "Public School Teachers are informed that anybody else's is correct."-"New York Times," Sunday, July 31, 1881. An English writer says: "In such phrases as anybody else, and the like, else is often put in the possessive case; as, 'anyboly else's servant'; and some grammarians defend this use of the possessive case, arguing that somebody else is a compound noun." It is better grammar and more euphonious to consider else as being an adjective, and to form the possessive by adding the apostrophe and $s$ to the word that else qualifies; thus, anyboily's else, nobody's else, somebody's else.

Anyhow. "An exceelingly vulgar phrase," says Professor Mathews, in his "Words: Their Use and Abuse." "Its use, in any manner, by one who prefesses to write and speak the English tongue with purity, is unpardonable." Professor Matliews seems to have a special dislike for this colloquialism. It is recognized by the lexicographers, and I think is generally accounted, even by the careful, permissible in conversation, though incompatible with dignified diction.

Anxiety of Mind. See Equanimity of Mind.
Apostrophe. Turuing from the person or persons to whom a discourse is addressed and appealing to some person or thing absent, constitutes what, in rhetoric, is called the apostrophe. The following are some examples:

## "O gentle sleep,

Nature's soft nurse, how have I frighted thee,
That thou no more wilt weigh my eyelids down;
And steep my senses in forgetfulness?"
" Sail on, thou lone imperial bird
Of quenchless eye and tireless wing!" "Help, angels, make assay!
Bow, stubborn knees! and heart with strings of stcel,
Be soft as sinews of the new-born babe:
All may yet be well!"
Appear. See Semi.
Appreciate. If any word in the language lias canse to romplain of ill-treatment, this one has. Appreciute means, to estimate justly-to set the true value on men or things, their worth, beauty, or advantages of any sort whatsoever. Thus, an overestimate is no more appreciation than is an underestimate; hence it follows that such expressions as, " 1 appreciate it, or her, or him, highl!," can not be correct. We value, or prize, things highly, not $a_{i^{\prime} p r e c i a t e ~ t h e m ~ h i g h l y . ~}^{\text {p }}$ This word is also very improperly male to do service for rise, or increase, in value; thus, "Land appreciates rapidly in the West." Dr. L. T. Townsend blunders in the use of appreriate in his "fArt of Speech," vol. i, p. 142, thus: "The sawk of harmony . . . may allow copiousuess . . . in parts of a diseourse . . . in order that the eondensation of other parts may be the more highly a/preciuted."

Apprehe:ad-Comprehend. The English often use the first of these two words where we use the second. Both express an effort of the thinking faculty; but to apprehend is simply to take an idea into the mind-it is the mind's first effort-while to comprelend is fully to understand. We are dull or quick of apprehemsion. Children apprehend much that they do not cumprehend. Trench says: "We apprehend many
truths which we do not compreherd." "Apprehond," says Crabb, "expresses the weakest kind of belief, the having [of] the least idea of the presence of a thing."

Apt. Often misused for likely, and sometimes for liable. "What is he apt to be doing?" "Where shall I be apt to find him?" "If properly directed, it will be apt to reach me." In such sentences as these, likely is the proper word to use. "If you go there, you will be apt to get into trouble." Here either likely or liable is the proper word, according to the thought the speaker would convey.

Arctics. See Rubbers.
Artist. Of late years this word has been appropriated by the members of so many crafts, that it has wellnigh been despoiled of its meaning. Your cook, your barber, your tailor, your boot-maker, and so on to satiety, are all artists. Painters, sculptors, architects, actors, and singers, nowadays, generally prefer being thus called, rather than to be spoken of as artists.

As. "Not as I know": read, "not that I know." "This is not $a^{s}$ good as the last" : read, "not so good." "It may be complete so far as the specification is concerued": correctly, "as far as."
$A s$, preceded by such or by same, has the force of a relative applying to persons or to things. "He offered me the some conditions as he offcred you." "The same conditions that " would be equally proper. See, also, Like.

Ascribe. See Impute.
At. Things are sold by, not at, auction. "The scene is more beautiful at night than by day": say, "by night."

At all. "It is not strange, for my uncle is Kiug of Deumark." Had Shakespeare written, "It is not at all strange," it is clear that his diction would have been much less forcible. "I do not wish for any at all"; "I saw no oue
"t all"; "If he had any desive at all to see me, he wonld come where I am." The at all in sentences like these is superfluons. Yet there are instances in which the phrase is certainly a very convenient one, and seems to be unohjection. able. It is much used, and by gool writers.

At best. Instead of at hest and ut worst, we should say at the best and at the worst.

## At last. See At length.

At least. This adverbial phrase is often misplaced. "'The Romans understont liberty at least as well as we." This must be interpreterl to mean, 'The Romans understoorl liberty as well \&s we understand liberty.' The intended meaning is, 'that whatever things tlre Romans failed to understand, they understood liherty.' 'To express this meaning we might put it thus: 'The Romans understood ut least liberty as well as we do'; liberty, at least, the Romans understood as well as we do.' 'A tear, at least, is due to the unhappy '; 'at lecsit a tear is due to the unlappy'; 'is tear is due at least to the unhappy': 'a tear is due to the unhappy at least'-all express different meanings. 'This can not, often at least, be done'; 'this can not be done often, at lerast.' (1. 'It often happens that this can not be done.' 2. 'It does not often happen that this can be done.') So, 'inan is always capable of laughing'; 'man is capable of laughing always.' "' -Bain.

At length. This phrase is often used instead of at last. 'At length we managed to get away": read, "at last." "At length we heard from him." To hear from any one at length is to hear fully; i. e., in detail.

Authoress. With regard to the use of this and certain other words of like formation, Mr. Gould, in his "Good English," says: "Poet means simply a person who writes poetry ; and autlor, in the sense under consilleration, a person
who writes poetry or prose -- not a man who writes, but a person who writes. Nothing in either word indicates sex: and everybody knows that the functions of buth poets and authors are common to both sexes. Hence, authoress and poetess are superflnous. And they are superfluons, also, in another respect-that they are very rarely used, indeed they hardly can be used, independently of the name of the writer, as Mrs., or Miss, or a female Christian name. They are, besides, philological absurdities, because they are fabricated on the false assumption that their primuries indicate men. They are, moreover, liable to the charge of affectation and prettiness, to say nothing of pedantic pretension to accuracy.
"If the ess is to be permitted, there is no reason for excluding it from amy noun that indicates a person; and the next editions of our dictionaries may be made complete by the addition of writress, oficeress, minageress, superintend. entess, secretaryess, treasureress, walkeress, talkeiess, and so on to the end of the vocabulary."

Avocation. See Vocation
Bad cold. Inasmuch as colds are never good, why say a bad cold? We may talk about slight colds and severe colds, but not about bad colds.

Baggage. See Leggage.
Balance. This word is very frequently and very erroneously used in the sense of rest, remainder. It properly means the eccess of one thing over another, and in this sense and in no other should it be used. Hence it is improper to talk about the balance of the edition, of the evening, of the money, of the toasts, of the men, etc. In such cases we should say the rest or the remainder.

Barbarism. Defined as an offence against good usage, by the use of an improper word, i.e., a word that is anti-
quated or improperly formed. Preventative, euthres. agri rulturulist, donute, etc., are barbarisms. See also Solecism.

Been to. We not uufrequently hear a superfluous to tacked to a sentence ; thus, "Where have you been to?"

Beg. We often see letters begin with the words, "I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your favor," etc. We should write, "I beg leave to acknowledge," etc. No one would say, "I beg to tell you," instead of, "I beg leave to tell yon."

Begin - Commence. These words have the same meaning ; careful speakers, however, generally prefer to use the former. Indeed, there is rarely any good reason for giving the preference to the latter. See also Commence.

Being built. See Is beisg bullt.
Belongings. An old idiomatie expression now coming into use again.

Beside-Besides. In the later unabridged editions of Webster's dictionary we find the following remarks concerning the use of these two words: "Beside and besides, whether used as prepositions or adverbs, have been considered synonymous from an early period of our literature, and have been freely interehanged by our best writers. There is, however, a tendency in present usage to make the following distinction between them: 1. That beside be used only and always as a preposition, with the original meaning by the side of; as, to sit beside a fountain; or with the closely allied meaning aside from, or out of; as, this is beside our present purpose: 'Paul, thou art beside thyself.' The adverbial sense to be wholly transferred to the cognate word. 2. That besides, as a preposition, take the remaining sense, in addition to: as, besides all this ; besides the consideration here offered. 'There was a famine in the land besides the first famine.' Aud that it also take the adverbial sense of moreover, beyond,
etc., which had been divided between the words; as, hesides, there are other considerations which belong to this case."

Best. See At best.
Between. This word is often misused for among; thus, "The word fellow, however much in use it may be between men, sounds very objectionable from the lips of women." "London Queen." Should be, among" men." Between is used in reference to two things, parties, or persons ; among, in reference to a greater number. "Castor and Pollux with one soul betreen them." "You have among you many a purchased slave."

Blame it on. Here is a gross vulgarism which we sometimes hear from persons of considerable culture. They use it in the sense of accuse or suspect; thus, "He blames it on his brother," meaning that he accuses or suspects his brother of having done it, or of being at fault for it.

Bogus. A colloquial term incompatible with dignificd dictiou.

Both. We sometimes hear such absurd sentences as, "They both resemble each other very much"; "They are both alike"; "They both met in the street." Both is likewise redundant in the following sentence: "It performs at the same time the offices both of the nominative and objective cases."

Bound. The use of this word in the sense of determined is not only inelegaut but indefensible. "I am bound to have it," should be, "I am determined to have it."

Bravery-Courage. The careless ofteu use these two words as though they were interchangeable. Bravery is inborn, is instinctive ; courage is the product of reason, calculation. There is much merit in being courageous, little merit. in being brave. Men who are simply bruve are careless, while the courageous man is always cautious. Eracery often degenerates into temerity. Noral comage is that firmucss of
principle which enables a man to do what he deems to be his duty, although his action may subject him to adverse criticism. True moral courage is one of the rarest and most admirable of virtucs.

Alfred the Great, in resisting the attacks of the Danes, displayed bravery; in entering their camp as a spy, he displayed courage.

Bring-Fetch-Carry. The indiscriminate use of these three words is very common. To bring is to convey to or toward-a simple act ; to fetch means to $g o$ and bring-a compound act; to carry often implies motion from the speaker, and is followed by away or off, and thus is opposed to bring and fetch. Yet one hears such expressions as, "Go to Mrs. D.'s and bring her this bundle; and here, you may fetch her this book also." We use the words correctly thus: "Fetch, or go bring, me an apple from the cellar"; "When you come home briny some lemons"; "Carry this book home with you."

British against American English. "The most important peculiarity of American English is a laxity, irregularity, and confusion in the use of particles. The same thing is, indeed, observable in England, but not to the same extent, though some gross departures from idiomatic propriety, such as different to for different from, are common in England, which none but very ignorant persons would be guilty of in America. . . . In the tenses of the verbs, I am inclined to think that well-elucated Americans conform more closely to grammatical propricty than the corresponding elass in England. . . . In general, I think we may say that, iv point of naked syntactical accuraey, the English of America is not at all inferior to that of England; but we do not discriminate so precisely in the meaning of words, nor do we habitually, in either conversation or in writing, express our. selves so gracefully, or employ so classic a diction, as the

English. Our taste in langutge is less fastidious, and our licenses and inaccuracies are more frequently of a character indicative of want of refinement and elegant culture than those we hear in educated society in England."-George P. Marsh.

British against American Orthoepy. "The causes of the differences in pronunciation [betweerf the English and the Americans] are partly physical, and therefore difficult, if not impossible, to resist ; and partly owing to a difference of circumstances. Of this latter class of influences, the universality of reading in America is the most obvions and important. The most markerl difference is, perhaps, in the length or prosodical quantity of the vowels; and both of the causes I have mentioned concur to produce this effect. We are said to drawl our words by protracting the vowels and giving them a more diphthongal sound than the English. Now, an Englishman who reads will habitually utter his vowels more fully and distinctly than his countryman who does not; and, upon the same principle, a nation of readers, like the Americans, will pronounce more deliberately and clearly than a people so large a proportion of whom are unable to read, as in England. From our universal habit of reading, there results not only a greater distinctness o: articulation, but a strong tendency to assimilate the spokeu to the written language. Thus, Americans incline to give to every syllable of a written word a distinct enunciation; and the popular habit is to say dic-tion-ar-y, mil-it-ar-y, with a secondary accent on the penultinate, instead of sinking the third syllable, as is so common in England. There is, no doubt, something disagreeably stiff in an anxious and affected conformity to the rery letter of orthography; and to those accustomed to a more hurried utterance we may seem to drawl, when we are only giving a full expression to letters
which, thongh etymologically important, the English habit ually slur over, sputtering out, as a Siverlish satirist says, one half of the worl, and swallowing the other. The tendency to make the long vowels diphthongal is noticed by foreigners as a pecnliarity of the orthoëpy of our language; and this tendency will, of course, be strengthened by any cause which produces greater slowness and fulleness of articulation. Besides the influence of the habit of rcarling, there is some reason to think that climate is aftecting our articulation. In spite of the coldness of our winters, our flora shows that the climate of even our Northern States belongs, upon the whole, to a more southern type than that of Englaud. In sonthern latiturles, at least within the temperato zone, articulation is generally much more distinct than in the northern regions. Witness the pronunciation of Spanish, Italian, Turkish, as compared with English, Danish, and Germau. Participating, then, in the physical influences of a southern climate, we have contracted something of the more distinct articulation that belongs to a dry atmosphere and a clear sky. And this view of the case is confirmed by the fact that the imhabitants of the Southern States incline, like the people of southern Europe, to throw the accent toward the end of the word, and thins, like all nations that use that accentuation, bring out all the syllables. This we obscrve very commonly in the comparative Northern and Sonthern pronunciation of proper names. I might exemplify by citing familiar instances; but. lest that should seem invidious, it may suffice to say that, not to mention more important changes, many a Northern member of Congress goes to Washington a dactyl or a trochee, and comes home an amphibrach or an iambus. Why or how external physical causes, as climate and modes of life, should affect pronnociation, we can not say ; but it is evident that material influences of some sort are prolucing a change in our
bodily constitution, and we are fast acquiring a distinct national Anglo-American type. That the delicate organs of articulation should participate in such tendencies is altogether natural; and the operation of the causes which give rise to them is palpable even in our handwriting, which, if not uniform with itself, is generally, nevertheless, so unlike common English script as to be readily distinguished from it.
"To the joint operation, then, of these two causesuniversal reading and climatic influences-we must ascribe our habit of dwelling upon vowel and diphthongal sounds, or of drawling, if that term is insisted upon. . . . But it is often noticed by foreigners as both making us mure readily understood by them when speaking our own tongue, and as connected with a flexibility of organ, which enables us to acquire a better pronunciation of other languages than is usual with Englishmen. In any case, as, in spite of the old adage, speech is given us that we may make ourselves understood, our drawling, however prolonged, is preferable to the nauseous, foggy, mumbling thickuess of articulation which characterizes the cockney, and is not unfrequently affected by Englishmen of a better class."-George P. Marsh.

Bryant's Prohibited Words. See Index Expurgatorids.

But. This worl is misnsed in various ways. "I do not doubt but he will be here" : read, doubt that. "I should not wonder but" : read, $i f$. "I have no doubt but that he will go": suppress but. "I do not douht but that it is true": suppress but. "There can be no doubt but that the burglary is the work of professional cracksmen.". "Nesw York Herald." Doubt that, and not but that. "A careful canvass leares no doult but that the nomination," etc. : suppress but. "There is no reasonable rloubt but that it is all it professes to be": suppress but. "The mind no sooner entertains auy
proposition but it presently hastens," etc.: read than. "No other resource but this was allowed him" : read, than.

By. See At.
Calculate. This word means to ascertain by computation, to reckon, to estimate ; and, say some of the purists, it never means anything elsc when properly used. If this is true, we can not say a thing is calculated to do harm, but must, if we are ambitious to have our English irreproachable choose some other form of expression, or at least some other word, likely or apt, for example. Cobbett, however, says, "That, to Her, whose great example is so well calculated to inspire," etc.; and, "The first two of the three sentences are well enough calculated for ushering," ete. Calculate is sometimes vulgarly used for intend, purpose, expect; as, "He calculates to get off to-morrow."

Caliber. This word is sometimes used very absurdly ; as, "Brown's Essays are of a much higher caliber than Smith's." It is plain that the proper word to use here is order.

Cant. Cant is a kind of affectation; affectation is an effort to sail under false colors; an effort to sail under false colors is a kind of falschool; and falsehood is a term of Latin origin which we often use instead of the stronger Saxon term lying !
"Who is not familiar," writes Dr. William Matthews, "with scores of pet phrases and cant terms which are repeated at this day apparently without a thought of their meaning? Who ever attended a missionary meeting without hearing 'the Macedonian cry,' and an account of some 'little interest' and 'fields white for the harvest'? Who is not weary of the ding-dong of 'our Zion,' and the solecism of 'in our midst'; and who does not long for a verbal millennium
when Christians shall no longer 'feel to take' and 'grant to give'?"
"How much I regret," says Coleridge, "that so many religious persons of the present day think it necessary to adopt a certain cant of manner and phraseology [and of tone of voice] as a token to each other [one another]! They improve this and that text, and they must do so and so in a prayerful way ; and so on."

Capacity. See Ability.
Caption. This word is often used for heading, but, thus used, it is condemned by careful writers. The true meaning of caption is a seizure, an arrest. It does not come from a Latin word meaning a head, but from a Latin word meaning to 8 ize.

Caret. Cobbett writes of the caret to his son: "The last thing I shall mention under this head is the earet [1], which is used to point upward to a part which has been omitted, and which is inserted between the line where the caret is placed and the line above it. Things should be called by their right names, and this should be called the blunder-mark. I would have you, my dear James, scorn the use of the thing. Think before you write; let it be your custom to write correctly and in a plain hand. Be careful that neatness, grammar, and sense prevail when you write to a blacksmith about shoeing a horse as when you write on the most important subjects. Habit is powerful in all cases; but its power in this case is truly wonderful. When you write, bear constantly in mind that some one is to read and to understand what you write. This will make your hand-writing and also your meaning plain. Far, I hope, from my dear James will be the ridiculous, the contemptible affectation of writing in a
slovenly or illegible hand, or that of signing his name otherwise than in plain letters."

Carry. See Bring.
Case. Many persons of considerable eulture continually make mistakes in conversation in the use of the eases, and we sometimes meet with gross errors of this kind in the writings of authors of repute. Witness the following : "And everybody is to know him except $I$."-George Merideth in "The Tragic Comerlies," Eng. ed., vol. i, p. 33. "Let's you and I go": say, me. We can not say, Let / go. Properly, Let's go, i. e., let us go, or, let you and me go. "He is as gool as $m e$ ": say, as 1 . "She is as tall as him": say, as he. "You are older than $m e$ ": say, than I. "Nobody said so but he": say, buthim. "Every one can master a grief but he that hath it": correctly, but him. "John went out with James and $I$ ": say, and me. "You are stronger than him": say, than he. "Between you and $I$ ": say, and me. "Between you and they" : say, and them. "He gave it to John and $I$ ": say, and me. "You told John and I": say, and me. "He sat between him and $I$ ": say, and me. "He expects to see you and $I$ ": say, and me. "You were a dunce to do it. Who? me?" say, I. Supply the ellipsis, and we should have, Who? me a dunce to do it? "Where are you going? Who? me?" say, I. We can't say, me going. "Who do you mnan?" say, whom. "Was it them?" say, they. "If I wess him, I would do it": say, were he. "If I was her, I would not go": say, were she. "Was it hion?" say, he. "Was it her?" say, she. "Fur the benefit of thuse whom he thought were his friends": say, who. This error is not easy to detect on account of the parenthetical words that follow it. It we drop then, the mistake is very apparent; thas, " For the benefit o those whom were his friends."
"On the supposition,"says Bain, " that the interrogative who has whom for its objective, the following are errors: who do you take me to be?" "who should I meet the other day?' 'who is it by?' 'whon did you give it to ?' 'who to? 'who for?' But, considering that these expressions occur with the best writers and speakers, that they are more energetic than the other form, and that they lead to no ambiguity, it may be doubted whether grammarians have not exceeded theiv province in condemning them.'

Cobbett, in writing of the pronouns, says: "When the relatives are placed in the sentence at a distance from their antecedents or verbs or prepositions, the ear gives us no assistance. 'Who, of all the men in the world, do you think I saw to-day?' 'Who, for the sake of numerous services, the office was given to.' In both these cases it should be whom. Bring the verb in the first and the preposition in the second case closer to the relative, as, who $I$ saw, to who the office wass given, and you see the error at once. But take care! 'Whom, of all the men in the world, do you think, was chosen to be sent as an amhassador?' 'Whom, for the sake of his numerous services, had an office of honour bestowed upon him.' These are nominative cases, and ought to have who ; that is to say, who was chosen, who had an office."
"Most grammarians," says Dr. Bain, in his "Higher English Grammar," "have laid down this rule: 'The verb to be has the same case after as before it.' Macaulay censures the following as a solecism: 'It was him that Horace Walpole called a man who never made a bad figure but as an author.' Thaskeray similarly adverts to the same deviation from the rule ; "Is that him?" said the lady in questionable grammar.' But, notwithstanding this," continues Dr. Bain, "we certainly hear in the actual speech of all classes of society such expressiuns in 'it was me,' 'it was him,' 'it was her', more
frequently than the prescribed form.* 'This shy creature, my brother says, is me'; 'were it me, I'd show him the ditference. -Clarissa Harlowe. 'It is not met yon are in love with. -Addison. 'If there is one character more base than another, it is him who,' etc.-Sylncy Smith. 'If I were him'; 'if I had been her,' etc. The anthority of good writers is strong on the side of objective forms. There is also the analogy of the French language; for while 'I am here' is je suis ici, the answer to ' who is there ?' is moi (me); and c'est moi (it is me) is the legitimate phrase-never c'est $j e$ (it is I)."

But moi, aceording to all French grammarians, is very often in the nominative case. Moi is in the nominative ease when used in reply to "Whu is there?" and also in the phrase "C'est moi," which makes "It is $I$ " the correct translation of the phrase, and not "It is me." The French equivalent of "I! I am here," is "Moi! je suis ici." The Frenchman uses moi in the nominative case when $j e$ would be inharmonious. Euphony with him is a matter of more importance thau grammatical correctness. Bescherelle gives many examples of moi in the nominative. Here are two of them: "Mon arocat et moi sommes de cet avis. Qui veut aller arec lui? Moi." If we use such phraseology as "It is $m e$," we must do as the French do-consider me as being in the nominative case, and offer euphony as our reason for thus using it.

When shall we put nouns (or pronouns) preceding verbal, or participial, nouns, as they are called by some grammarians

[^0]-infinitives in ing, as they are called by others- in the pussessive case?
"' I am surprised at John's (or his, your, etc.) refusing to go.' 'I amr surprised at John (or him, you, etc.) refusing to go.' [In the latter sentence refusing is a participle.] The latter construction is not so common with pronouns as with nouns, especially with such nouns as do not readily take the possessive form. 'They prevented him going forward': better, 'They prevented his going forward.' 'He was dismissed without any reason being assigned.' 'The boy died through his clothes being burned.' 'We hear little of any connection being kept up between the two nations.' 'The men rowed rigorously for fear of the tide turning against us.' But most examples of the construction without the possessive form are obviously dee to mere slovenliness. . . . 'In case of your being absent': here being is an infinitive [verbal, or participial, noun] qualified by the possessive your. 'In case of you being present': here being would have to be construed as a participle. The possessive construction is, in this case, the primitive and regular construction; the other is a mere Lapse. The difficulty of adhering to the possessive form occurs when the subject is not a person: 'It does not seem safe to rely on the rule of demand creating supply': in strictness, 'Demand's creating supply.' 'A petition was presented against the license being granted. But for the ankwardness of extending the persessive to impersonal subjects, it would be right to sty, 'against the license's being grantell.' 'He had conducted the ball without any complaint being urged against him.' The possessive would be suitable, but undesirable and unnecessary. "-l'rofessor Alexander Bain.
"Though the ordinary syntax of the possessive case is sufficiently plain and easy, there is, perhaps, among all the puzzling and clisputable points of grammar, nothing wore
difficult of decision than are some questions that occur respecting the right management of this case. The observations that have been male show that possessives before participles are seldom to be appproved. The following exanple is manifestly inconsistent with itself; and, in my opinion, the three possessives are all wrong: 'The kitchen, too, now bogins to give dreadful note of preparation; not from armorers accomplishing the knights, but from the shopmaid's chopping forcemeat, the apprentice's cleaning knives, and the juurneyman's receiving a practical lesson in the at of waiting at table.' 'The daily instances of men's dying around us.' Say rather, 'Of men dying around us.' The leading word in sense ought not to be made the adjunct in construction."-Goold Brown.

Casualty. This word is often heard with the incorrect addition of a syllable, casuality, which is not reonguiact by the lexioographers. Some writers object to the word casualty, and always use its synonym uccident.

Celebrity, "A number of celebrities witnessed the first representation." This word is frequently used, especially in the newspapers, as a concrete term ; but it would be better to use it in its abstract seuse only, and in seutences like the one above to say distinguished persons.

Character-Reputation. These two words are not synonyms, though often used as such. Charucter means the sum of distinguishing qualities. "Actions, looks, words, steps, form the alphabet by which you may spell characters." -Lavater. Reputation means the estimation in which one is held. Onc's reputation, then, is what is thought of one's character ; conscquently, one may have a good reputation and a bad character, or a good character and a bad reputation. Calumny may injure reputution, but not character. in Peter iloes met leave his character behind him, but his repututionhis good nume.

Cheap. The dictionaries define this adjective as meaning, bearing a low price, or to be had at a low price; but nowadays good usage makes it mean that a thing may be had, or has been sold, at a bargain. Hence, in order to make sure of being understood, it is better to say low-priced, when one means low-priced, than to use the word cheap. What is lowpriced, as everybody knows, is often dear, and what is highpricel is often cheap. A diamond necklace might be cheap at ten thousand dollars, and a piuchbeck necklace dear at ten dollars.

Cherubim. The Hebrew plural of cherub. "We are authorized," says Dr. Campbell, "both by use and analogy, to say either cherubs and seraphs, according to the English idiom, or cherubim and seraphim, according to the Oriental. The former suits better the familiar, the latter the solemn, style. As the words cherubim and seraphim are plural, the terms cherubims and seraphims, as expressing the plural, are quite improper."-"Philosophy of Rhetoric."

Citizen. This word properly means one who has certain political rights ; when, therefore, it is used, as it often is, to designate persons who may be aliens, it, to say the least, betrays a want of care in the selection of words, "Several citizens were injured by the explosion." Here some other word-persons, for example-should be used.

Clever. In this country the word clever is most improperly used in the sense of good-natured, well-disposed, goodhearted. It is properly used in the sense in which we are wont most inelegantly to use the word smart, though it is a less colloquial term, and is of wider application. In England the phrase "a clever man" is the equivalent of the French phrase, "un homme d'esprit." The word is properly used in the following sentences: "Every work of Archbishop Whately must be an object of interest to the admirers of clever reason-
ing"; "Cobbett's letter . . . very clever, but very mischiev. ous"; "Bonaparte was certainly as clever a man as ever lived."

Climax. A clause, a sentence, a paragraph, or any literary composition whatsoever, is said to end with a climax when, by an artistic arrangement, the morc effcctive is made to follow the less effective in regular gradation. Any great departure from the order of ascending strength is called an anti-climax. Here are some examples of climax :
"Give all diligence; add to your faith, virtue; and to virtue, knowledge; and to knowledge, temperance ; and to temperance, patience; and to patience, godliness; and to godlincss, brotherly kinduess; and to brotherly kinducss, charity."
"What is every year of a wise man's life but a criticism on the past! Those whose life is the shortest live long enongh to laugh at one-half of it; the boy despises the infant, the man the boy, the sage both, and the Christian all."
"What a piece of work is man! how noble in reason! how infinite in faculties ! in form and moving, how express and admirable! in action, how like an angel! in apprehension, how like a god!"

Co. The prefir co should be used only when the word to which it is joined begins with a vowel, as in co-eval, coincident, co-operate, etc. Con is used when the word begins with a consonant, as in con-temporary, con-junction, etc. Copartner is an exception to the rule.

Commence. The Britons use or misuse this word in a manner pecnliar to themselves. They say, for example, "commenced merchant," "commenced actor," "commenced politician," and so on. Dr. Hall tells us that commence has been employed in the sense of "begin to be," "become," "set up as," by first-class writers, for more than two centuries. Careful speakers make small use of commence in any sense;
they prefer to use its Saxon equivalent, begin. See, \lso, Begin.

Comparison. When only two oljects are compared, the comparative and not the superlative degree should be used; thus, "Mary is the older of the two"; "John is the strongrer of the two"; "Brown is the richer of the two, and the riches man in the city"; "Which is the more desirable, health or wealth?" "Whi"h is the most desirable, health, wealth, or genius?"

> "Of two such lessons, why forget
> The nobler and the manlier one?"

Completed. This word is often incorrectly used for finished. That is omplete which lacks nothing; that is fuished which has had all done to it that was inteuded. The builder of a house may finish it and yet ll..ve it very i.scomplete.

Condign. It is safe to say that most of those who use this word do not know its meaning, which is, suitable, deserved, merited, proper. " $J$ 's endeavors shall not lack condign praise"; i. c., his endeavors shall not lack proper or their merited praise. "A villain condignly punished" is a villain punished according to his deserts. To use condign in the sense of severe is just as incorrect as it would be tc use deserved or merited in the sense of severe.

Confirmed Invalid. This phrase is a convenient mode of expressing the idea it conveys, bat $i$ is is difficult to defend, inasmuch as confirmed means strengthened, established.

Consequence. This word is sometimes used instead of im? ortunce or moment; as, "They were all persons of more or less consequence": read, "of more or less importance." "It is a matter of no consequence" : read, " of no moment."

Consider. "This word," says Mr. Richard Grant White, in his "Words and Their Uses," "is perverted from its true
meaning by most of those who use it." Consider means, to meditate, to deliberate, to reflect, to revolve in the mind; and yet it is made to do service for think, suppose, and reyard. Thus: "I consider his course very unjustifiable"; "I have always considered it my duty," etc.; "I consider him as being the cleverest man of my acquaintance."

Contemptible. This word is sometimes used for contemptuous. An old story says that a man once said to Dr. Parr, "Sir, I have a contemptible opinion of you." "That does not surprise me," returned the Doctor; "all your opinions are contemptible." What is worthless or weak is contemptible. Despicable is a word that expresses a still more intense degree of the contemptible. A traitor is a despicable character, while a poltroon is ouly contemptible.

Continually. See Perpetually.
Continue on. The on in this phrase is generally superflnous. "We continued on our way" is idiomatic English, and is more cuphonious than the sentence would be withont the particle. The meaning is, "We continued to travel on our way." In such sentences, however, as "Continue on," "He continucl to read on," "The fever continued on for some hours," and the like, the on gencrally serves no purpose.

Conversationist. This word is to be preferred to conrersationa'ist. Mr. Richard Grant White says that converstetionalist and agriculturalist are inadnissible. On the other haud, Dr. Fitzedward Hall says: "As for conversationist and conversationalist, agriculturist and agriculturalist, as all are alike legitimate formations, it is for convention to decide which we are to prefer."

Convoke-Convene. At one time and another there has been some discussion with regard to the correct use of these two words. According to Crabb, "There is nothing imperative on the part of those that assemble, or conrene, and nothing
binding on those assembled, or convened: one assembles, or convenes, by invitation or request; one attends to the notice or not, at pleasure. Convoke, on the other hand, is an act of authority; it is the call of one who has the authority to give the call ; it is heeded by those who feel themselves bound to attend." Properly, then, President Arthur convokes, not convenes, the Senate.

Corporeal-Corporal. These adjectives, though regarded as synonyms, are not used indiscriminately. Corporal is used in reference to the body, or animal frame, in its proper sense ; corporeal, to the animal substance in an extended sense -opposed to spiritual. Corporal punishment ; corporeal or material form or substance.
"That to corporeal substances could add
Speed most spiritual."-Milton.
"What seemed corporal
Melted as breath into the wind."-Shakespeare.
Couple. In its primitive signification, this word does not mean simply two, but two that are united by some bond; such as, for example, the tie that unites the sexes. It has, however, been so long used to mean two of a kind considered together, that in this sense it may be deemed permissible, though the substitution of the word two for it would often materially improve the diction.

Courage. See Bravery.
Crime-Vice-Sin. The confusion that exists in the use of these words is due largely to an imp rfect understauding of their respective meanings. Crime is the violation of the law of a state; hence, as the laws of states differ, what is crime in one state may not be crime in another. Vice is a course of wrong-doing, and is not modified either by country, ieligion, or condition. As for $\sin$, it is very difficult to define What it is, as what is sinful in the eyes of one man may not
he sinful in the eyes of another ; what is sinful in the eyes of a Jew may nut be sinful in the eyes of a Christian ; and what is sinful in the eyes of a Christian of one country may not be sinful in the eyes of a Christian of another country. In the days of slavery, to harhor a runaway slave was a crime, but it was, in the eyes of most people, neither a vice nor a $\sin$.

Crushed out. "The rebellion was finally crushed out." Out of what? We may crush the life out of a man, or crush a man to death, and crush, not crush out, a rebellion.

Cultured. This word is said to be a product of Boston -an excellent place for anybody or anything to come from. Many persons object to its use on the ground that there can be no such participial adjective, becanse there is no verb in use from which to form it. We have in use the sulstantive culture, but, though the dictionaries recognize the verb 4 , culture, we do not use it. Be this oljjection valid or be it not, cultured having lut two syllables, while its synonym cultiva ed has four, it is likely to find favor with those who employ short words when they convey their meaning as well as long ones. Other adjectives of this kind are, moneyed, whis' ereel, slippered, lettered, talented, cottaged, lilied, ang ished, gifted, aml so forth.

Curious. This word is often used instead of strange or remarkable. "A curious fact": better, "a remarl uble fact." "A curious proceeding": better, "a strange procecding."

Dangerous. "Hle is pretty sick, but not dengerous." Dangerous people are generally most langerous when they are most vigorous. Siay, rather, "He is sick, but not in. danger:"

Dearest. "A gentleman once began a letter to his brive thus: 'My deurest Maria.' The lady replied: 'My rlear John, I beg that yon will mend either your morals or your grammar. Jou call me your "elorarest Maria"; am I to understanl that you have other Marias?" "-Monn's "Pan] English."

Leceiving. "You are deceiving me." Not unfrequently dreeiring is used when the speaker means trying to deceive. It 5 when we do not expect deception that we are deceived.

Decimate. This word, meaning as it properly does to 'ithe, to take the tenth part, is hardly permissible in the sense in which it is used in such sentences as, "The regiment held its pusition, though terribly decimated by the enemy's ırtillery." "Though terribly tithed" would be equally correct.

Demean. This word is sometimes erroneously used in the sense of to delase, to disyrace, to humble. It is a reflexive rerb, and its true meaning is to behare, to carry, to conduct; as, "He demecuns himself in a gentlemanly manner," i.e., He behaves, or carries, or conducts, himself in a gentlemanly manner.

Denude. "The vulture," says Brande, "has some part of the head and sometimes of the neek denuded of feathers." Most birds might be denuded of the feathers on their heads ; not so, however, the vulture, for his head is always featherless. A thing can not be denuled of what it does not have. Denuding a vulture's head and neck of the feathers is like denuding an cel of its scales.

Deprecate. Strangely enough, this word is often used in the sense of disapprove, censure, condemn ; as, "He deprecates the whole proceeding"; "Your course, from first to last, is universally deprecated." But, according to the authorities, the word really means, to endeavor to avert by prayer; to pray exemption or deliverance from; to beg off; to entreat; to urge against.
"Daniel kneeled upon his knees to deprecate the captivity of his people."-Hewyt.

Despite. This word is often incorrectly preceded by in and followed by of; thus, "In despite of all our efforts to detain him, he set out"; which should be, "Despite all our efforts," etc., or, "In spite of all our efforts," etc.

Determined. See Bound.
Diction. This is a general term, and is applicable to a single sentence or to a connected composition. Bad diction may be due to errors in grammar, to a confusel disposition of words, or to an improper use of words. Diction, to be good, requires to be only correct and clear. Of excellent examples of bad diction there are very many in a little work by Dr. L. T. Townsend, Professor of Sacred Rhetoric in Boston University, the first volume of which has lately come under my notice. The first ten lines of Dr. Townsend's preface are :
"The leading genius ${ }^{1}$ of the People's College at Chautauqua Lake, with a [the?] view of providing for his course a text book, asked for the publication of the following laws anc principles of speech. ${ }^{3}$
"The author, not seeing sufficient reason "for withholding what had been of much practical benefit ${ }^{5}$ to himself, consented. 6
"The subject-matter herein contained is an outgrowth from ${ }^{7}$ occasional instructions ${ }^{8}$ given ${ }^{9}$ while occupying the chair ${ }^{10}$ of Sacred Rhetoric."

1. The phrase leading genius is badly chosen. Founder, projector, head, organizer, principal, or president-some one of these terms would probably have been appropriate. 2. What course? Race-course, course of ethics, asthetics, rhetoric, or what?* 3. "The following laws and principles of speech." And how came these laws and principles in existence? Who made them? We are to infer, it would seem, that Professor Townsend made them, and that the world would have had to go without the laws that govern language and the principles on which language is formed had it pleased Professor Townsend to withhold them. 4. "Suffi-

[^1]cient reason"! Then there were reasons why Professor Townsend ought to have kept these good things all to himself; only, they were not sufficient. 5. "Practical benefit"! Is there any such thing as impractical benefit? Are not all benefits practical? and, if they are, what purpose does the epithet practical serve? 6. Consented to what? It is easy to see that the Doctor means acceded to the request, but he is a long way from saying so. The object writers usually have in view is to convey thought, not to set their readers to guessing. 7. The outgrowth of would be English. S. "Occasional instructions"! Very vague, and well calculated to set the reader to guessing again. 9. Given to whom? 10. "The chair." The definite article made it necessary for the writer to specify what particular chair of Sacred Rhetoric he meant.

These ten lines are a fair specimen of the diction of the entire volume.

Page 131. "To render a given ambiguous or' nnintelligible sentence transparent, the following suggestions are recommended." The words in italics are unnecessary, since what is ambiguous is unintelligible. Then who has ever heard of recommending suggestions?

Dr. Townsend speaks of mastering a subject before publishing it. Publishing a subject?

Page 133. "Violations of simplicity, whatever the type, show either that the mind of the writer is tainted with affectation, or else that an effort is making to conceal conscious poverty of sentiment under loftiness of expression." Here is an example of a kind of sentence that can be mended in only one way-by rewriting, which might be done theas: Violations of simplicity, whatever the type, show either that the writer is tainted with affectation, or that he is making an effort to conceal poverty of thought under loftiness of expression.

Page 143. "This quality is fully stuted and recommended," etc. Who has cver heard of stuting a quality?

On page 145 ir. Townsend says: "A person can not read a single book of poor style withont having his own style vitiated." A book of poor style is an awkward expression, to say the least. A single badly-written book would have been unobjectionable.

Page 160. "The presented picture produces instantly a definite effect." Why this unnsual disposition of words? Why not say, in accordance with the idiom of the language, "The pieture presented instantly produces," etc.?

Page 161. "The boy studies . . . geography and hates everything connected with the sea and land." Why the boy? As there are few things besides seals and turtles that are comected with the sea und land, the boy in question has few things to hate.

On page 175, Dr. Townsend hearls a chapter thus: "Art of acquiring Skill in the use of Poctic Speech." This reminds one of the minn who tried to lift himself over a fence by taking hold of the seat of his brecehes. "How to açuire skill" is probably what is meant.

On page 232, "Jeremy Taylor is among the lest motels of long sentences which are both clear and logical." Jeremy Taylor is a elear and logical long sentence ?! True, our learnel rhetorician says so, but he doesn't mean it. He means, "In Jeremy Taylor" we find some of the hest examples of long sentences which are at onee clear and logical."

Since the foregoing was written, the second volume of Professor Townsenl's "Art of Speech" has been published. In the brief preface to this volnme we find this characteristic sentence: "The author has felt that clergymen more than those of other professions will stuly this treatise." The ante: edent of the relative those heing clergymen, the sentence. it
will be perceived, says: "The author has felt that clergymen nore than clergymen of other professions will study this treatise." Comment on such "art" as Professor Townsend's is not necessary.

I find several noteworthy examples of bad diction in an article in a recent number of an Australian magazine. The following are some of them: "Large capital always manages to make itself master of the situation; it is the small capitalist and the small landholder that would suffer," etc. Should be, "The large capitalist . . . himself," etc. Again: "The small farmer would . . . be despoiled . . . of the meagre profit which strenuous labor had conquerod from the reluctant soil." Not only are the epithets in italics superfluous, and conse'quently weakening in their effect, but idiom does not permit stremuous to be used to qualify labor: hard labor and strenuous elfort. Again: "Capital has always the choice of a large field." Should be, "the choice offered by a large field." Again: "Should capital be withdrawn, tenements would soon prove insufficient." Should be: "the number of tenements would," etc. Again: "Men of wealth, therefore, would find their Fifth Avenue mansions and their summer villas a little more burdened with taxes, but with this increase happily balanced by the exemption of their bonds and mortgages, their plate and furniture." The thought here is so simple that we easily divine it; but, if we look at the sentence at all carefully, we find that, though we supply the ellipses in the most charitable manner possible, the sentence really says: "Men would find their mansions more burdened, but would find them with this increased burden happily balanced by the exemption," etc. The sentence should have been framed somewhat in this wise: "Men . . . would find their . . . mansions . . . more burdened with taxes, but this increase in the taxes on their real estate would be happily
balanced by the exemption from taxation of their bonds, mortgages, plate, and furniture." Again : "Men generally . . Woull be inclined to laugh at the idea of intrusting the modern politician with such gigantic opportunities for enriching his favorites." We do not intrust one another with opporlunities. To enrich would better the diction. Again: "The value of land that has accrued from labor is not . . . a just object for confiscation." Correctly: "The value of land that has resulted from labor is not justly . . . an object of confiscation." Accrue is properly used more in the sense of spontaneous growth. Again: "If the state attempts to confiscate this increase by means of taxes, either rentals will inerease correspondingly, or such a check will be put upon the growth of each place and all the enterprises connected with is that greater injury would be done than if things had been left untouched." We have here, it will be observed, a confusion of moods; the sentence begins in the indicative and ends in the conditional. The words in italics are worse than superfluous. Rewritten: "If the state should attempt to confiscate this increase by means of taxes, either rentals would increase correspondingly, or such a check would be put upon glowth and enterprise that greater injury wonld," etc. Again: "The theory that land . . . is a boon of Nature, to which every person has an inalimable right equal to every other person, is not new." The words theory and lwon are here misused. A theory is a system of suppositions. The things man receives from Nature are gijts, not boons: the gift of reason, the gift of speech, etc. The sentence shonill be: "The declaration (or assertion) that land . . . is a gift of Nature, to which every person has an inalienable right equal to that of any other person, is not new." Or, more simply and quite as forcibly: ". . . to which one person has an inslienable right equal to that of another, is not new." Or,
more simply still, and more forcibly: ". . . to which one mun has as good a right as another, is not new." By substituting the word man for person, we have a word of one syllable that expresses, in this connection, all that the longer word expresses. The fewer the syllables, if the thonght be fnlly expressed, the more vigorous the diction. Inalienability being foreign to the discussion, the long word inalienable only encumbers the sentence.
"We have thus ${ }^{1}$ passed in review the changes and improvements ${ }^{3}$ which the revision contains ${ }^{4}$ in the First Epistle to the Corinthians. It has 5 not, indeed, ${ }^{5}$ been possible to refer to ${ }^{7}$ them all; but so many illustrations have been given in 9 the several classes described that the reader will have ${ }^{10}$ a satisfactory ${ }^{11}$ survey of the whole subject. Whatever may be said of other portions ${ }^{12}$ of the New Testament, we think it will be generally admitted that in this Epistle the changes have improved the old ${ }^{18}$ translation. They are such as ${ }^{14}$ make the English version ${ }^{15}$ conform more completely ${ }^{16}$ to the Greek original. If this be ${ }^{17}$ true, the revisers have done a good work for the Church. ${ }^{18}$ If it be true ${ }^{19}$ with regard to all the New Testament books, the work which they hare done will remain ${ }^{20}$ a blessing to the readers of those books for ${ }_{21}$ generations to come. But the blessing will be only in the clearer presentation of the Divine truth, and, therefore, it will be only to the glory of God."
'This astonishingly slipshod bit of composition is from the pen of the Rev. Dr. Timothy Dwight. If the learned Professor of Divinity in Yale College deemed it worth whilc to give a little thought to manner as well as to matter, it is probable that his diction would be very different from what it is; and, if he were to give a few minutes to the making of verbal corrections in the foregoing paragraph, he would perhaps, do something like this: 1 , change thus to now ; 2, write
some of the changes; 3, strike out and imprue cments ; 4, for contains chwiges substifute some other form of expression ; 5, instead of has been, writn uces; 6, strike ont indeed; 7, instead of refer to, write cise; 8 , change illusurr tions to remples; 9 , instead of in, write of; 10 , instead of the reader will hare, write the reader will be able to gct; 11 , change sutisfactory to tolerable; 12, change portions to pots; 13 , not talk of the old translation, as we have no Dew one; 14, strike out as superfluous the words are such at; 15 , change version to tert ; 16, rubstitute nearly for completely, which does not admit of comparison; 17, subs itute the indicative for the conditional; 18, end sentence with the word work; 19, introduce also after be ; 20, instead of remain, in the sense of be, use be; 21, introdnce the after for. As for the last sentence, it reminds one of Mendelssohn's 'Songs withr'it Words," though here we have, instead of a song and no words, words and no song, $c=$ rather no meaning. As is often truc of cant, we have here simply a syntactical arrangement of words signify-ing-nothing.

Tf Proiessor Dwight were of those who, in common with ${ }^{\dagger 1}$; Addisons and Macaulays and Newmans, think it worth while to give some attention to diction, the thonght conveyed in the paragraph under consideration would, perhaps, have been expressed somewhat in this wise :
"We have now passed in review some of the changes that. in the revisiun, have been made in the Firsi Epistle to the Corinthians. It was not possible to cite them all, but a sufficient number of examples of the several classes described have beeu given to enable the reader to get a tolerable survey of the whole subject. Whatever way be said of the other parts of the New Testament, we think it will be generally admitted that in this Epistle the changes have improver the trauslation. They make the Englis's text conform more
nearly to the Greek. This being true, the revisers have done a good work; and, if it be also true with regard to all the New Testament looks, the work which they have done will be a blessing to the readers of these books for the generations to come."

Die with. Man and brute die of, and not with, fevers, consumption, the plague, pneumonia, old age, and so on.

Differ. Writers differ from one another in opinion with regard to the particle we should use with this verb. Some say they differ with, others that they differ from, their neighbors in opinion. The weight of authority is on the side of always using from, though A -may differ with C from D in opinion with regard, say, to the size of the fixed stars. "I differ, as to this matter, from Bishop Lowth."-Cobbett. Different to is heard sometimes instead of different from.

Directly. The Britons have a way of using this word in the sense of when, as soon as. This is quite foreign to its true meaning, which is immediately, at once, straightway. They say, for example, "Directly he reached the city, he went to his brother's." "Directly [the saint] was dead, the Arabs sent his woollen shirt to the sovereign."-"London News." Dr. Hall says of its use in the sense of as soon as: "But, after all, it may simply anticipate on the English of the future."

Dirt. This word means filth or anything that renders foul and unclean, and means nothing else. It is often improperly used for earth or loam, and sometimes even for sand or gravel. We not unfrequently hear of a dirt road when an unpared road is meant.

Discommode. This word is rarely used; incommode is accounted the better form.

Disremember. This is a word vulgarly used in the sense of forget. It is said to be more frequently heard in the South than in the North.

Distinguish. This verb is sometimes improperly used for discriminate. We distinguish by means of the senses as well as of the understanding; we discriminate by means of the understanding only. "It is difficult, in some cases, to distinguish between," etc.: should be, "It is difficult, in some cases, to discriminate between," etc. We distinguish one thing from another, and discriminate between two or more things.

Dock-Wharf. The first of these words is often improperly used for the second. Of docks there are several kinds : a naval dock is a place for the keeping of naval stores, timber, and materials for ship-building; a dry dock is a place where vessels are drawn out of the water for repairs; a wet dock is a place where vessels are kept afloat at a certain level while they are loaded and unloaded; a sectional dock is a contrivance for raising vessels out of the water on a series of air-tight boxes. A dock, then, is a place into which things are received; hence, a man might fall into a dock, but could no more fall off a dock than he could fall off a hole. A wharf is a sort of quay ouilt by the side of the water. A similar structure built at a right angle with the shore is generally called a pier. Vessels lie at wharves and piers, not at docks.

Donate. This word, which is defined as meaning to give, to contribute, is looked upon by most champious of good English as being an abomination. Donation is also little used by careful writers. "Donate," says Mr. Gould, "may be dismissed with this remark : so long as its place is occupied by give, bestow, grant, present, etc., it is not needed; and it should be unceremoniously bowed out, or thrust out, of the seat into which it has, temporarily, intruded."

Done. This past participle is often very inelegantly, if not improperly, used thus: "He did not cry out as some have done against it," which should read, "He did not cry out as some have against it"; i. e., "as some have cried ou' against it."
" Done is frequently a very great offender against grammar," says Cobbett. "To do is the act of doing. We see people write, 'I did not speak yesterday so well as I wished to have done.' Now, what is meant by the writer? He means to say that he did not speak so well as he then wished, or was wishing, to speak. Therefore, the sentence should be, ' I did not speak yesterday so well as I wished to do.' That is to say, 'so well as I wished to do it'; that is to say, to do or to perform the act of speaking.
"Take great care not to be too free in your use of the verb to do in any of its times or modes. It is a nice little handy word, and, like our oppressed $i t$, it is made use of very often when the writer is at a loss for what to put down. To $d o$ is to act, and therefore it never can, in any of its parts, supply the place of a neuter verb. 'How do you do?' Here do refers to the state, and is essentially passive or neuter. Yet, to employ it for this purpose is very common. Dr. Blair, in his 23d Lecture, says: 'It is somewhat unfortunate that this number of the "Spectator" did not end, as it might have done, with the former beautiful period.' That is to say, done it. And then we ask, Done what? Not the act of ending, because in this case there is no action at all. The verb means to come to an end, to cease, not to go any further. This same verb to end is sometimes an active verb : 'I end my sentence'; then the verb to do may supply its place; as, 'I have not ended my sentence so well as I might have done'; that is, done it ; that is, done, or perforrred, the act of ending. But the Number of the 'Spectator' was no actor; it was expected to perform nothing; it was, by the Doctor, wished to have ceased to proceed. 'Did not end as it very well might have ended. . .' This would have been correct; but the Doctor wished to avoid the repetition, and thus he fell into bad grammar. 'Mr. Speaker, I do not feel so well satisfied
as I should have done if the Right Honorable Gentleman .d explained the matter more fully.' To feel satistied is-when the satisfaction is to arise from conviction produced by fact or reasoning-a senseless expression; and to supply its place, when it is, as in this case, a neuter verb, by to do, is as senseless. Done what? Done the act of feeling! 'I do not feel so well satisfied as I should have done, or executed, or performed the act of feeling'! What incomprehensible words !"

Don't. Everybody knows that don't is a contraction of do not, and that doesn't is a contraction of does not; and yet nearly everybody is guilty of using don't when he should use doesn't. "So you don't go; John doesn't either, I hear."

Double Genitive. An anecdote of Mr. Lincoln-an anecdote of Mr. Lincoln's. We see at a glance that these two phrases are very different in meaning. So, also, a portrait of Brown-a portrait of Brown's. No precise rule has ever been given to guide us in our choice between these two forms of the possessive case. Sometimes it is not material which form is employed ; where, however, it is material-and it generally is-we must consider the thought we wish to express, and rely on our discrimination.

Dramatize. See Adapt.
Drawing-room. See Parlor.
Dress-Gown. Within the memory of many persons the outer garment worn by women was properly called a gown by everybody, instead of being improperly called a dress, as it now is by nearly everybody.

Drive. See Ride.
Due-Owing. These two words, thongh close synonyms, should not be used indiscriminately. The mistake usually made is in using due instead of owing. That is due which onght to be paid as a debt; that is owing which is to be referred to as a source. "It was owing to his exertions that
the scheme succeeder." "It was owing to your negligence that the accident happened." "A certain respect is due to men's prejudices." "This was ouring to an indifference to the pleasures of life." "It is due to the public that I should tell all I know of the matter."

Each other. "Their great authors address themselves, not to their country, but to each other."-Buckle. Each other is properly applied to two only; one another must be used when the number considered exceeds two. Buckle should have written one another and not each other, unless he meant to intimate that the Germans had only two great authors, which is not probable.

Eat. Grammarians differ very widely with regard to the conjugation of this verb; there is no doubt, however, that from every point of view the preferable forms for the preterite and past participle are respectively ate and eaten. To refined ears the other forms smack of vulgarity, although supported by good authority. "I ate an apple." "I have eaten dinner." "John ate supper with me." "As soon as you have eaten breakfast we will set out."

Editorial. The use of this adjective as a substantive is said to be an Americanism.

Education. This is one of the most misused of words. A man may be well acquainted with the contents of text-books, and yet be a person of little education; on the other hand, a man may be a person of good education, and yet know little of the contents of text-books. Abraham Lincoln and Edwin Forrest knew comparatively little of what is generally learned in schools; still they were men of culture, men of education. A man may have ever so much book-knowledge and still be a boor; but a man can not be a person of good education and not be-so far as manner is concerned-a gentleman. Education, then, is a whole of which Instruction and Breeding are
the parts. The man or the woman-even in this democratic country of ours-who deserves the title of gentleman or lady is always a person of edication; i.e., he or she has a sufficient acquaintance with books and with the usages of social intercourse to acquit himself or herself creditably in the society of cultivated people. Not moral worth, nor learning, nor wealth, nor all three combined, can unaided make a gentleman, for with all three a man might be unelucated-i.e., coarse, mubred, unschooled in those things which alone make men welcome in the society of the refined.

Effectuate. This word, together with ratiocinate and eventuate, is said to be a great favorite with the rural members of the Arkansas legislature.

Effluvium. The plural of this word is effuria. It is a common error with those who have no knowledge of Latin to speak of "a disagreeable eftluvia," which is as incorrect as it would be to talk about "a disagreeable vapors."

Effort without Effect. "Some writers deal in expletives to a degree that tires the ear and offends the understanding. With them everything is excessively, or immensely, or extremely, or vastly, or surprisingly, or vonderfully, or abundantly, or the like. The notion of such writers is that these words give strenyth to what they are saying. This is a great error. Strength must be found in the thought, or it will never be found in the words. Big-sounding words, without thoughts corresponding, are effort without effect."-William Cobbett. See Forcible-Fleble.

Egoist. "One of a elass of philosophers who professed to be sure of nothing but their own existence."-Reid.

Egotist. "One who talks much of himself."
"A tribe of egotists for whom I have always had a mortal aversion."-"Spectator."

Either. This word means, strietly, the one or the other of two. Unlike both, which means two taken collectively, either, like each, may mean two considered separately; but in this sense each is the better word to use. "Give me either of thein" means, Give me the one or the other of two. "He has a farm on either side of the river" would mean that he has two farms, one on each (or either) side of the river. "He has a farm on both sides of the river" would mean that his farm lies partly on the one side of the river and partly on the other. The use of either in the sense of each, thongh biblical and defensible, may be accounted little if any better than an affectation. Neither is the negative of either. Either is responded to by or, neither by nor; as, "either this or that," "neither this nor that." Either and neither should not-strietly -be used in relation to more than two objects. But, though both either and neither are strictly applicable to two only, they have been for a very long time used in relation to more than two by many good writers; and, as it is often convenient so to use them, it seems probable that the custom will prevail. When more than two things are referred to, any and none should be used instead of either and neither; as, "any of the three," not, "either of the three"; "none of the four," not, "neither of the four."

Either Alternative. The word alternative means a choice offered between two things. An alternative urrit, for example, offers the alternative of choosing between the doing of a specified act or of showing canse why it is not done. Such propositions, therefore, as, "Youl are at liberty to choose either alteruative," "Two alternatives are presented to me," "Several alternatives presented themselves," and the like, are not correct Euglish. The word is correctly used thus: "I am confronted with a hard alternative: I must either denounce a friend or betray my trust." We rarely hear the word alternate or any of its derivatives correctly pronounced.

## Elder. Sce Older.

- Elegant. Professor Proctor says: "If you say to an Imeriean, 'This is a fine morning,' he is likely to reply, 'It is an elegant morning,' or perhaps oftener by using simply the vord elegront. This is not a pleasing use of the word." This s not American English, Professor, but popinjay English.

Ellipsis. The omission of a word or of words necessary o eomplete the grammatical construction, but not necessary o make the meaning clear, is called an ellipsis. We almost Ilways, whether in speaking or in writing, leave out some of the worls necessary to the full expression of our meaning. For example, in dating a letter to-day, we should write, "New York, August 25,1851 ," which would be, if fully written out, "I am now writing in the eity of New York; this is the twenty-fifth day of August, and this month is in the onc thousand eight humlred and eighty-first year of the Christian era." "I am going to Wallack's" means, "I am going to Wallack's thectre." "I shall spend the summer at my aunt's"; i. e., at my aunt's house.

By supplying the ellipses we can often discover the errors in a sentence, if there are any.

Enjoy bad Health. As no one has ever been known to enjoy bad health, it is better to employ some other form of expression than this. Say, for example, he is in feeble, or relicute, health.

Enthuse. This is a word that is occasionally heard in conversation, aud is sometimes met with in print; but it has not as yet made its appearance in the dictionaries. What its ultinate fate will be, of course, no one can tell; for the present, however, it is studionsly shumed by those who are at all earefnl in the selection of their language. It is sail to be most used in the South. The writer has never seen it
anywhere in the North but in the columns of the "Boston Congregationalist."

Epigram. "The word epiifram signified originally an inscription on a monument. It next came to mean a short poein containing some single thought pointedly expressed. the subjects being very various-amatory, convivial, moral, eulogistic, satirical, humorous, etc. Of the various devices for brevity and point employed in such compositions, especially in modern times, the most frequent is a play upon words. . . . In the epigram the mind is roused by a conflict or contradiction between the form of the language and the meaning really conveyed."-Bain.

Some examples are :
"When you lave nothing to say, say it."
"We can not see the wood for the trees"; that is, we can not get a general view because we are so engrossed with the details.
"Verbosity is cured by a large vocabulary"; that is, he who commands a large vocabulary is able to select words that will give his meaning tersely.
"By indignities men come to dignities."
"Some people are too foolish to commit follies."
"He went to his imagination for his facts, and to his memory for his tropes."

Epithet. Many persons use this word who are in error with regard to its meaning; they think that to "apply epithets" to a person is to vilify and insult him. Not at all. An epithet is a word that expresses a quality, good or bad ; a term that expresses an attribnte. "All adjectives are epithets, "but all epithets are not adjectives," says Crabb; "thus, in Virgil's Pater Fneas, the pater is an epithet, but not an adt jective." Epithet is the technieal term of the rhetorician ; adjective, that of the grammarian.

Equally as well. A redundant form of expression, as any one will see who for a moment considers it. As well, or equally well, expresses quite as much as equally as well.

Equauimity of mind. This phrase is tautological, and expresses no more than does equanimity (literally, "equal mindedness ") alone; hence, of mind is superfluous, and con3equently inelegant. Anxiety of mind is a scarcely less redundant form of expression. A capricious mind is in the same category.

Erratum. Plural, errata.
Esquire. An esquire was originally the shield-bearer of a knight. It is much, and, in the opinion of some, rather absurdly, used in this country. Mr. Richard Grant White says on the subject of its use: "I have yet to discover what a man meaus when he addresses a letter to John Dash, Esqr." He means no more nor less than when he writes Mr. (master). The use of Esq. is quite as prevalent in England as in America, and has little more meaning there than here. It simply belongs to our stock of courteous epithets.

Euphemism. A description which describes in inoffensive language that which is of itself offensive, or a figure which uses agreeable phraseology when the literal would be offensive, is called a cuphemism.

Eventuate. See Effectitate.
Everlastingly. This adverb is misused in the South in a manner that is very apt to excite the risibility of one to whom the peculiar misuse is new. The writer recently visited the upper part of New York with a distinguished Southern poet and journalist. It was the gentleman's first ride over an elevated road. When we were fairly under way, in admiration of the rate of speed at which the cars were moving, he exclaimed, "Well, they do just everlestingly shoot along, don't they !"

Every. This word, which means simply each or all taken separately, is of late years frequently made, by slipshorl speakers, to do duty for perfect, entire, great, or all possible. Thus we have such expressions as every pains, every confidence, every praise, every charity, and so on. We also have such diction as, "Every one has this in common"; meaning, "All of us have this in common."

Every-day Latin. A fortiori: with stronger reason. A posteriori: from the effect to the cause. A priori: from the cause to the effect. Bona fide: in good faith; in reality. Cerliorari: to be made more certain. Ceteris paribus: other circumstances being equal. De facto: in fact; in reality. De jure: in right; in law. Ecce homo: behold the man. Ergo: therefore. Et cetera: and the rest; and so on. Excerpta: extracts. Exempli gratia: by way of example; abbreviated, e.g., and ex.gr. Ex officio: by virtue of his office. Exparle: on one side; an ex parte statement is a statement on one side only. Ibidem: in the same place; abbreviated, ibid. Idcm: the same. Id est: that is; abbreviated, i.e. Imprimis: in the first place. In statu quo: in the former state; just as it was. In statu quo ante bellum: in the same state as before the war. In transitu: in passing. Index expurgatorius: a purifying index. In extremis: at the point of death. In memoriam: in memory. Ipse dixit: on his sole assertion. Item: also. Lribor omnia vincit: labor overcomes every difficulty. Locus sigilli: the place of the seal. Multum in parvo: much in little. Mututis mutandis: after making the necessary changes. Ne plus ultra: nothing beyond; the utmost point. Nolens rolens:* willing or unwilling. Nola bene: mark well; take particular notice. Omnes: all. O tempora, O mores! O the times and the manners! Otium cum dignitate: ease with dignity. Otirm sine digmitate: ease without dignity. Particeps criminis: an accomplice. Pecrori: I have sinnerl. Per:
se: by itself. Prima facie: on the tirst view or appearance : at first sight. Probono publico: for the public good. Quid nunc: what now? Quid pro quo: one thing for another; an equivalent. Quondum: formerly. liara avis: a rare bird; a prodigy. Resurgam: I shall rise again. Seriatim: in order. Sine die: without specifying any particular day; to an indefinite time. Sine quet non: an indispensable comlition. Sui generis: of its own kind. Vade mecum: go with ne. Verbatim: word by word. Versus: against. Vale: farewell. Via: by the way of. Vice: in the place of. Vide: sce. Vi et armis: by main force. Jiece roce: orally; by word of month. Vox popali, vox Dei: the voice of the people is the voice of Gorl.

Evidence-Testimony. These words, though differing widely in meaning, are often used indiscriminately hy careless speakers. Leidence is that which tends to convince; lesti mony is that which is intended to convince. In a judicial investigation, for example, there inight be a great deal of testimony-a great deal of testifying-and very little evillouce; and the evidence might be quite the reverve of the testimony. See Proof.

Exaggeration. "Weak ininds, feeble writers and speakers, delight in superlatives." See Effont without Effect.

Except. "No one need apply except he is thoroughly familiar with the business," should be, "No one need apply unless," etc.

Excessively. That elass of persons who are never content with any form of expression that falls short of the superlative, frequently use excessively when excceelingly or even the little word very wonld serve their turn better. They say, for example, that the weather is excessivel! hot, when they should gontent themselves with saying that the weather is uery
warm, or, if the word suits them better, hot Intemperance in the use of language is as much to be censured as intemperance in anything else; like intemperance in other things, its effect is vulgarizing.

Execute. This word means to follow out to the end, to carry into effect, to accomplish, to fulfil, to perform; as, to execute an order, to execute a purpose. And the dictionaries and almost universal usage say that it also means to put to death in conformity with a judicial sentence; as, to execute a criminal. Some of our careful speakers, however, maintain that the use of the word in this sense is indefensible. They say that laws and sentences are executed, but not criminals, and that their execution only rarely results in the death of the persons upon whom they are executed. In the hanging of a criminal, it is, then, not the criminal who is executed, but the law and the sentence. The criminal is henged.

Expect. This verb always has reference to what is to come, never to what is past. We can not expect backward. Instead, therefore, of saying, "I expect, you thonght I would come to see you yesterday," we should say, "I suppose," etc.

Experience. "We experience great difficulty in getting him to take his medicine." The word have ought to be big enough, in a sentence like this, for anybody. "We experiencel great hardships." Better, "We suffiered."

Extend. This verb, the primary meaning of which is to stretch out, is used, especially by lovers of big words, in connections where to gire, to show, or to offer would be preferable. For example, it is certainly better to say, "They showed me every courtesy," than "They extended every courtesy to me." See Every.

False Grammar. Some examples of false grammar will show what every one is the better for knowing : that in literature nothing should be taken on trust ; that errors of grammar
even are found where we should least expeet them. "I do not know whether the imputation were just or not." Emerson. "I proceeded to inquire if the 'extract" . . . were a veritable quotation."-Emerson. Should be was in both eases. "How sweet the moonlight sleeps!"-Townsencl, "Art of Speech," vol. i, p. 114. Should be sweetly. "There is 110 question but these arts . . . will greatly aid him," etc.-Ibid., p. 130. Should be that. "Nearly all who have been distinguished in literature or oratory have made . . . the generous confession that their attainments linve been reached througln patient and laborions industry. They have declared that. speaking and writing, though once difficult for them, here become well-nigh reereations."-Ibid., p. 143. The have been should be were, and the have become should be became. "Many pronominal adverbs are correlatives of each other."-Hark. ness's "New Latin Grammar," p. 147. Should be one another. "Hot and cold springs, boiling springs, and quiet springs lic within a few feet of each other, but none of them are moperly geysers."-Appletons' "Condensed Cyclopredia," vol. ii, p. 414. Should be one another, and not one of them is properly " geyser. "How much better for you as seller and the nation as buyer . . . than to sink . . . in cutting one another... throats." Should be each other's. "A minister, noted f": prolixity of style, was once preaching before the inmates of lunatic asylum. In one of his illustrations he painted a scenr of a man condemned to be hung, but reprieved under the gallows." These two sentences are so fanlty that the onl: way to mend them is to rewrite them. They are from a work that professes to teach the "art of speech." Mended: "A minister, noted for his prolixity, once preached before the inmates of a lunatic asylum. By way of illustration he painted a scene in which a man, who had been condemned to be hanged, was reprieved under the gallows."

Female. The terms male and female are not untrequently used where good taste would suggest some other word. For example, we see over the doors of school-houses, "Entrance for males," "Entrance for females." Now bucks and bulls are males as well as boys and men, and cows and sows are females as well as girls and women.

Fetch. See Bring.
Fewer. See Less.
Final Completion. If there were such a thing as a plurality or a series of completions, there would, of course, be such a thing as the final completion; but, as every completion is final, to talk about a final completion is as absurd as it would be to talk about a final finality.

First rate. There are people who object to this phrase, and yet it is well enough when properly placed, as it is, for example, in such a sentence as this: "He's a 'first-class' fellow, and I like him first rate; if I didn't, 'you bet I'd just give him 'hail Columbia' for 'blowing' the thing all round town like the big fool that he is."

Firstly. George Washington Moon says in defense of firstly: "I do not object to the occasional use of first as an adverb; but, in sentences where it would be followed by secondly, thirdly, etc., I think that the adverbial form is preferable." To this, one of Mr. Moon's critics replies: "However desirable it may be to employ the word firstly on certair occasions, the fact remains that the employment of it on any occasion is not the best usage." Webster inserts firstly, but remarks, "Improperly used for first."

Flee-Fly. These verbs, though near of kin, are not interchangeable. For example, we cam not say, "He flew the city," "He flew from his enemies," "He flew at the approacn of danger," Jew being the imperfecr tense of to $f l$, whicin is
properly used to express the action of birds on the wing, of kites, arrows, etc The imperfect tense of to flee is fled: hence, "He fled the city," etc.

Forcible-feeble. This is a "novicy" kind of diction in which the would-be forcible writer defeats his object by the ovcruse of expletives. Examples: "And yet the great centralization of wealth is one of the [great] evils of the day. All that Mr. -utters [says] upon this point is forcible and just. This centralization is due to the enormous reproductive power of capital, to the immense adrantage that costly and complicated machinery gives to great [large] establishments, and to the marked difference of personal force among men." The first great is misplaced ; the word utters is misused; the second great is ill-chosen. The other words in italics only enfeeble the sentence. Again: "In countries where immense [large] estates exist, a breaking up of these vast demesnes into many minor freeholds would no doubt be a [of] very great adrautage." Substitute large for immense, and take out vast, many, and very, and the language becomes much more forcible. Again: "The very first effeet of the -- taxation plan would be destructive to the interests of this great multitude [class]; it would impoverish our innumerable farmers, it would confiscate the carnings of [our] industrious tradesmen and artisans, it woulle [and] paralyze the hopes of struygling millions." What a waste of portly expletives is here! With them the sentence is high-flown and weak; take them out, and introduce the words inclosed in brackets, and it becomes simple and forcible.

Friend-Acquaintance. Some philosopher has said that he who has half a dozen friends in the course of his life may esteem hinself fortunate; and yet, to judge from many people's talk, one would suppose they had friends by the score. No man kuows whether he has any fricuds or not
until he has "their adoption tried"; hence, he who is desirous to call things by their right names will, as a rule, use the word acquaintance instead of friend. "Your friend" is a favorite and very objectionable way many people, especially young people, have of writing themselves at the bottom of their letters. In this way the obscure stripling protests himself the friend of the first man in the land, and that, too, when he is, perhaps, a comparative stranger and asking a favor.

Galsome. Here is a good, sonorous Anglo-Saxon wordmeaning malignant, venomous, churlish-that has fallen into disuse.

Gentleman. Few things are in worse taste than to use the term gentleman, whether in the singular or plural, to designate the sex. "If I was a gentleman," says Miss Snooks. "Gentlemen have just as much curiosity as ladies," says Mrs. Jenkins. "Gentlemen have so much more liberty than we ladies have," says Mrs. Parvenue. Now, if these ladies were ladies, they wonld in each of these cases use the word man instead of gentleman, and woman instead of lady; further, Miss Snooks would say, "If I were." Well-bred men, men of culture and refinement-gentlemen, in short-use the terms lacly and gentleman comparatively little, and they are especially careful not to call themselves gentlemen when they can avoid it. A gentleman, for example, does not say, " $I$, with some other gentlemen, went," etc.; he is careful to leave out the word other. The men who use these terms most, and especially those who lose no opportunity to proclaim themselves gentlemen, belong to that class of men who cock their hats on one side of their heads, and often wear them when and where gentlemen would remove them; who pride themselves on their familiarity with the latest slang; who proclaim
their independence by showing the least possible considera. tion for others; who laugh long and loud at their own wit; who wear a profusion of cheap finery, sueh as outlandish watch-chains hooked in the lowest button-hole of their vests, Brazilian diamonds in their shirt-bosoms, and big seal-rings on their little fingers; who use bad grammar and interlard their conversation with big oaths. In business correspondenee Smith is addressed as Sir, while Smith \& Brown are often addressed as Gentlemen-or, vulgarly, as Gents. Better, much, is it to address them as Sirs.

Since writing the foregoing, I have met with the following paragraph in the London publication, "All the Year Round": "Socially, the term 'gentleman' has become almost vulgar. It is certainly less employed by gentlemen than by inferior persons. The one speaks of 'a man I know,' the other of 'a gentleman I know.' In the one case the gentleman is taken for granted, in the other it seems to need specifieation. Again, as regards the term 'lady.' It is quite in aecordance with the usages of society to speak of your aequaintance the duchess as 'a very nice person.' People who would say 'very nice lady' are not generally of a soeial elass which has much to do with duchesses; and if you speak of one of these as a 'person,' you will soon be inade to feel your mistake."

Gents. Of all vulgarisms, this is, perhaps, the most offensive. If we say gents, why not say lades?

Gerund. "' I have work to do,' ' there is no more to say,' are phrases where the verb is not in the common infinitive, but in the form of the gerund. 'He is the man to do it, or for doing it.' 'A house to let,' 'the eourse to steer by,' 'a place to lie in,' 'a thing to be done,' 'a city to take refuge in,' ' the means to do ill deeds,' are adjective gerunds; they may be expanded into clauses: ' $a$ house that the owner lets or will let'; 'the eourse that we should steer by'; ' $a$ thing that
should be done'; 'a city wherein one may take refuge'; 'the means whereby ill deerls may be done.' When the to ceased in the twelfth century to be a distinctive mark of the dative infinitive or gerund, for was introduced to make the writer's intention clear. Hence the familiar form in 'what went ye out for to see?' 'they came for to show him the temple.' "Bain.

Get. In sentences expressing simple possession-as, "I have got a book," "What has he got there?" "Have you got any news?" "They have got a new house," etc.-got is entirely superflnous, if not, as some writers contend, absolutely incorrect. Possession is completely expressed by have. "Foxes have holes; the birds of the air have nests"; not, "Foxes have got holes; the birds of the air have got nests." Formerly the imperfect tense of this verb was gat, which is now olbsolete, and the perfect participle was gotten, which, some grammarians say, is growing obsolete. If this be true, there is no good reason for it. If we say eaten, written, striven, forgotten, why not say gotten, where this form of the participle is more euphonious-as it often is-than got?

Goods. This term, like other terms used in trade, should be restricted to the vocabulary of commerce. Messis. Arnold \& Constable, in common with the Washington Market huckster, very properly speak of their wares as their goods; but Mrs. Arnold and Mrs. Constable should, and I doubt not do, speak of their gowns as being made of fine or coarse silk, cashmere, muslin, or whatever the material may be.

Gould against Alford. Mr. Edward S. Gould, in his review of Dean Alford's "Queen's English, "remarks, on page 131 of his "Good English": "And now, as to the style" of the Dean's book, taken as a whole. He must be held respon-

[^2]sible for every crror in it; because, as has been shown, he has had full leisure for its revision.* The errors are, nevertheless, numerous; and the shortest way to exhibit them is $t$ in tabular form." In several instances Mr. Gould would not have taken the Dean to task had he known English better. The following are a few of Mr. Gould's corrections in which he is clearly in the right:
Paragraph
4. "Into another land then"; should be, "into a land other than."
16. "We do not follow rule in spelling other words, but custom"; should be, "we do not follow rule, but custom, in spelling," etc.
18. "The distinction is observed in French, but never appears to have been made," etc.; read, "appects never to have been made."
61. "Rather to aspirate more than less"; should be, "to aspirate more rather than less."
9. "It is said also only to occur three times," etc.; read, occur only three times."
44. "This dombling only takes place in a sylfable, ete.; read, " talics place only."
142. "Which can only be decided when those circumstances are known"; read, "can be decidell only when," ete.
166. "I will only say that it produces," etc.; read, "I will say only," etc.
170. "It is said that this can only be filled in thus"; read, "can be filled in only thus."
368. "I can only deal with the complaint in a general way"; read, "dcal with the complaint only," etc.
86. "In so far as they are idiomatic," etc. What is the use of $i n$ ?
171. "Try the experiment"; "tried the experiment." Read, make and made.
345. "It is most generally used of that very sect," etc. Why most?
362. "The joining together two clauses with a third," etc.; read, " of two clauses," etc.

[^3]Gown. See Dress.
Graduated. Students do not graduate ; they are graduated. Hence most writers nowadays say, "I was, he was, or they were graduated"; and ask, "When were you, or was he, graduated ?"

Grammatical Errors. "The correctness of the expres sion grammatical errors has been disputed. 'How,' it has been askel, 'can an error be grammatical?' How, it may be replied, can we with propriety say, grammatically incorrect? Yet we can do so.
"No one will question the propriety of saying grammatically correct. Yet the expression is the acknowledgment of things grammatically incorrect. Likewise the phrase grammatical correctness implies the existence of grammatical incorrectness. If, then, a sentence is grammatically incorrect, or, what is the same thing, has grammatical incorrectness, it includes a grammatical frror. Grammatically incorrect signifies incorrect withi relation to the rules of grammar. (írammatical errors signifies errors witil relation to the roles of grammar.
"They who ridicule the phrase grammatical errors, and substitute the phrase errors in grammar, make an egregions mistake. Can there, it may be asked with some show of reason, be an error in grammar? Why, grammar is a science founded in our nature, referable to our ideas of time, relation, method; imperfect, doubtless, as to the system by which it is represented; but surely we can speak of error in that which is error's criterion ! All this is hypercritical, but hypercriticism must be met with its own weapons.
"Of the two expressions-a grammatical error, and an error in grammar-the former is preferable. If one's judgment can accept neither, one must relinquish the belief in the possibility of tersely expressing the itlea of an offence against
grammatical rules. Indeed, it would be difficult to express the idea even by circumlocution. Should some one say, 'This sentence is, according to the rules of grammar, incorrect.' 'What!' the hypercritic may exclaim, 'incorrect! and according to the rules of grammar!' 'This sentence, then,' the corrected person would reply, 'contains an error in grammar.' 'Nonsense!' the hypercritic may shout, 'grammar is a science; you may be wrong in its interpretation, but principles are immutable !'
"After this, it need scarcely be added that, grammatically, no one can make a mistake, that there can be no grammatical mistakes, that there can be no bad grammar, and, consequently, no bad English; a very pleasant conclusion, which would save us a great amount of trouble if it did not lack the insignificant quality of being true."-"Vulgarisms and Other Errors of Speech."

Gratuitous. There are those who object to the uae of this word in the sense of unfounded, unwarranted, unrcasonable, untrue. Its use in this sense, however, has the sanction of abundant authority. "Weak and gratuitous conjectures." -Porson. "A gratuitous assumption."-Godwin. "The gratuitous theory."-Southey. "A gratuitous invention."De Quincey. "But it is needless to dwell on the improbability of a hypothesis which has been shown to be altogether gratuitous."-Dr. Newman.

Grow. This verb originally meant to increase in size, but has normally come to be also used to express a change from one state or condition to another: as, to grow dark, to grow weak or strong, to grow faint, etc. But it is doubtful whether what is large can properly be said to grovo small. In this sense, become would seem to be the better word.

Gums. See Rubbers.

Had have. Nothing could be more incorrect than the bringing together of these two auxiliary verbs in this manner; and yet we occasionally find it in writers of repute. Instead of "Had I known it," "Had you seen it," "Had we been there," we hear, "Had I have known it," "Had you have seen it," "Had we have been there."

Had ought. This is a vulgarism of the worst description, yet we hear people, who would be highly indignant if any one should intimate that they were not ladies and gentlemen, say, "He had ought to go." A fitting reply would be, "Yes, I think he better had." Ought says all that had ought says.

Had rather. This expression and had better are much used, but, in the opinion of many, are indefensible. We hear them in such sentences as, "I had rather not do it," "You had better go home." "Now, what tense," it is asked, "is had do and had go? If we transpose the words thus, "You had do better (to) go home," it becomes at once apparent, it is asserted, that the proper word to use in connection with rather and better is not had, but would; thus, "I would rather not do it,": "You would better go home." Examples of this use of had can be found in the writing of our best authors. For what Professor Bain has to say on this subject in his "Composition Grammar," sce Subjunctive Mood.

Half. "It might have been expressed in one half the space." We see at a glance that one here is superfluous.

Hanged-Hung. The irregular form, hung, of the past participle of the verb to hang is most used; but, when the word denotes suspension by the neck for the purpose of destroying life, the regular form, hanyect, is always used by careful writers and speakers.

Haste. See Hurry.
Heading. See Caption.

Healthy --Wholesome. The first of these two words is often improperly used for the second; as, "Onions are a healthy vegetable." A man, if he is in good health, is healthy; the food he eats, if it is not deleterious, is wholesome. A healthy ox makes wholesome food. We speak of healthy surroundings, a healthy climate, situation, employment, and of wholesome food, advice, examples. Healthful is generally used in the sense of conducive to health, virtne, morality; as, heallhful exercise, the healhful spirit of the communitymeaning that the spirit that prevails in the community is conducive to virtue and good morals.

Helpmate. The dictionaries suggest that this word is a corruption of help and meet, as we find these words used in Gen. ii, 18, "I will make him a help meet for him," and that the proper word is helpmeet. If, as is possible, the words in Genesis mean, " 1 will make him a help, meet [suitable] for him," then neither helpmate nor helpmeet has any raison d'etre.

Highfalutin. This is a style of writing often called the freshman style. It is much indulged in by very young men, and by a class of older men who instinctively try to make up in elatter for what they lack in matter. Examples of this kind of writing are abundant in Professor L. T. Townsend's "Art of Speech," whieh, as examples, are all the better for not being of that exargerated description sometimes met with in the newspapers. Vol. i, p. 131: "Very often adverbs, prepositions, and relatives drift so far from their moorings as to lose themselves, or make attachments where they do not belong." Again, p. 135: "Every law of speech enforces the statement that there is no excuse for such inllated and defeetive style. [Such style!] To speak thus is treason in the realms and under the laws of language." Again, p. 175 : "Cultivate figure-making habitudes. This is done by asking the spiritual import of every physical objecet seen; also by
forming the habit of constantly metaphorizing. Knock at the door of anything met which interests, and ask, 'Who lives here?' The process is to look, then close the eyes, theu look within.', The blundering inanity of this kind of writing is equalled only by its bumptions graudiloquence. On p. 137 Dr . Townsend quotes this wholesome almonition from Coleridge: "If men would ouly say what they have to say in plain terms, how much more eloquent they would be!" As an example of reportorial highfalutin, I submit the followire: "The spirit of departed day had joined communion with the myriad ghosts of centuries, and four full hours fled into eternity before the citizens of many parts of the town found out there was a freshet here at all."

Hints. "Never write about any matter that you do not well understand. If you elearly understand all about your matter, you will never waut thoughts, and thoughts instantly become worls.
"One of the greatest of all faults in writing and in speaking is this: the use of many words to say little. In order to guard yourself against this fault, inquire what is the substance, or amount, of what you have said. Take a long speech of some talking Lord and put down upon paper what the amount of it is. You will most likely find that the cumount is rery small; but at any rate, when you get it, you will then be able to examine it and to tell what it is worth. A very few examinations of the sort will so frighten you that you will be for ever after upon your guard against talking a great deal and saying little."-Cobbett.
"Be simple, be maffected, be honest in your speaking and writing. Never use a long word where a short one will do. Call a spade a spede, not a well-known oblong instrument or manual husbandry; let home be home, not a residence; a place a place, not a locality; and so of the rest. Where a short
word will do, you always lose by using a long one. Y'ou lose in clearness; you lose in honest expression of your meaning; and, in the estimation of all men who are qualified to judge, you lose your reputation for ability. The only true way to shive, even in this false world, is to be modest and unassuming. Falschood may be a very thick crust, but, in the course of time, truth will find a place to break through. Elegance of language may not be in the power of all of us ; but simplicity and straightforwardness are. Write much as you would speak; speak as you think. If with your inferiors, speak no coarser than usual ; if with your superiors, no finer. Be what you say; and, within the rules of prudence, say what you are."-Dean Alford.
"Go critically over what you have written, and strike out cvery word, plarase, and clanse which it is found will leave the sentence neither less clear nor less forcible than it is without them."-Swinton.
"With all watchfulness, it is astonishing what slips are made, even by good writers, in the employment of an inappropriate word. In Gibbon's 'Rise and Fall,' the following instance occurs: 'Of nincteen tyrants who started up after the reign of Gallicnus, there was not one who enjoyed a life of peace or a natural death.' Alison, in his 'History of Europe,' writes: 'Two great sins-one of omission and one of commis-sion-have been commilled by the states of Europe in modern times.' And not long since a worthy Scotch minister, at the close of the services, intimated his intention of visiting some of his people as follows: 'I intend, during this week, to visit in Mr. M——'s district, and will on this occasion take the opportunity of embracing all the servants in the district.' When worthies such as these offend, who shall call the bellman in question as he cries, 'Lost, a silver-handled silk lady's parasol'?
"The proper arrangement of words into sentences and paragraphs gives clearness and strength. To attain a clear and pithy style, it may be necessary to cut down, to rearrange, and to rewrite whole passages of an essay. Gibbon wrote his 'Memoirs' six times, and the first chapter of his 'History' three times. Beginners are always slow to prune or cast away any thought or expression which may have cost labor. They forget that brevity is no sign of thoughtlessness. Nuch consideration is needed to compress the details of any subject into small compass. Essences are more difficult to prepare, and therefore more valuable, than weak solutions. Pliny wrote to one of his friends, 'I have not time to write you a short letter, therefore I have written you a long one.' Apparent elaborateness is always distasteful and weak. Vividness and strength are the product of an easy command of those small trenchant Saxon monosyllables which abound in the English language."- "Leisure Hour."
"As a rule, the student will do well to banish for the present all thought of ornament or elegance, and to aim only at expressing himself plainly and clearly. The best ornament is always that which comes unsought. Let him not beat about the bush, but go straight to the point. Let him remember that which is written is meant to be read; that time is short; and that-other things being equal-the fewer words the better. . . . Repetition is a far less serious fault than obscurity. Young writers are often unduly afraid of repeating the same word, and require to be reminded that it is always better to use the right word over again than to replace it by a wrong one-and a word which is liable to be misunderstood is a wrong one. A frank reptition of a word has even sometimes a kind of charm-as bearing the stamp of truth, the foundation of all excellence of style."-Hall.
"A young writer is afraid to be simple; he has no faith
in beanty unalorned, hence he crowds his sentences with superlatives. In his estimation, turgidity passes for eloquence, and simplicity is but another name for that which is weak and ummeaning."-George Washington Moon.

## Honorable. See Reverend.

How. "I have heard how in Italy one is beset on all sides by beggars"; read, "heard that." "I have heard how some critics have been pacified with claret and a supper, and others laid asleep with soft notes of flattery."-Dr. Johnson. The how in this sentence also should be that. How means the manner in which. We may, therefore, say, "I have heard how he went about it to circumvent you."
"And it is good judgment alone can dictate how fur to proceed in it and when to stop." Cobbett comments on this sentence in this wise: " $\mathrm{Dr}_{1}$. Watts is speaking here of writing. In such a case, an arlverb, like how fur, expressive of lonritudinal space, introduces a rhetorical figure; for the plain meaning is, that judgment will dictate how much to write on it aul not how fur to proceed in it. The figure, however, is very proper and much better than the literal words. But when a figure is begun it should be carried on thronghout, which is not the case here; for the Doctor begins with a figure of longitndinal space and ends with a figure of tine. It shouln has been, where to stop. Or, how long to procecd in it and when to stop. To tell a man how fur he is to go into the Western comutries of America and when he is to stop, is a very different thing from telling him how fur he is to go and where he is to stop. I have dwelt thus on this distinction for the purpose of putting you on the watch and guarding you against confomding figures. The less you use them the better, till yon understand more about them."

Humanitarianism. This word, n its original, theological sense, means the doctrine that denies the godhearl of

Jesus Christ, and avers that he was possessed of a human nature only; a humanitarian, therefore, in the theological sense, is one who believes this doctrine. The word and its derivatives are, however, nowadays, both in this country and in England, most used in a humane, philanthropic sense; thus, "The audience enthusiastically endorsed the humanitarianism of his eloquent discourse."-Hatton.

Hung. See Hanged.
Hurry. Though widely differing in meaning, both the verb and the noun hurry are continually used for haste and husten. Hurry implies not only haste, but haste with confusion, flury; while haste implies only rapidity of action, an eager desire to make progress, and, unlike hurry, is not incompatible with deliberation and dignity. It is often wise to hnsten in the affairs of life ; but, as it is never wise to proceed without forethought and method, it is never wise to hurry. Sensible people, then, may be often in haste, but are never in a hurry; and we tell others to make haste, and not to hurry up.

Hyperbole. The magnifying of things beyond their uratural limits is called hyperbole. Language that signifies, literally, more than the exact truth, more than is really intended to be represented, by which a thing is represented greater or less, better or worse, than it really is, is said to be hyperbolical. Hyperbole is exaggeration.
"Our common forms of compliment are almost all of them extravagant hyperboles."-Blair.

Some examples are the following "Rivers of blood and hills of slain."
"They were swifter than eagles; they were stronger than lions."
"The sky shrunk upward with unusual dread, And trembling Tiber div'd beneath his bed."
"So frowned the mighty combatants, that hell Grew darker \& their frown."
"I saw their chief tall as a rock of ice; his spear the blasted fir; his shield the rising moon; he sat on the shore like a clond of mist on a hill."

Ice-cream-Ice-water. As for ice-cream, there is no such thing, as ice-cream would be the product of frozen cream, i.e., cream made from ice by melting. What is called ice-cream is cream iced; hence, properly, iced cream, and not ire-cream. The product of melted ice is ice-water, whether it be cold or warm ; but water made cold with ice is iced water, and not ice-water.

If. "I doubt if this will ever reach you": say, "I doubt whether this will ever reach yon."

Ill. See Sick.
Illy. It will astonish not a few to learn that there is no such word as illy. The form of the adverb, as well as of the ailjective and the noun, is ill. A thing is ill formed, or ill done, or ill made, or ill constructed, or ill put together.
" Ill fares the land, to hastening ills a prey,
Where wealth accumulates and men decay."-Goldsmith.
Immodest. This adjective and its synonyms, indecent and indelicute, are often used without proper discrimination being made in their respective meanings. Indecency and im modesty are opposed to morality : the former in externals, as dress, words, and looks; the latter in conduct and disposition. "Indecency," says Crabb, may be a partial, immodesty is a positive and entire breach of the moral law. Indecency is less than immodesty, but more than indelicucy." It is indecent for a man to marry again very soon after the death of his wife. It is indelicute for any one to obtrude hinisely upon another's retirement. It is indecent for women to expose their persuns as do some whom we can not call immodest.
" Immodest words almit of no defence, For want of decerey is want of sense."

Impropriety. As a rhetorical term, defined as an error in using words in a sense different from their recognized signification.

Impute. Non-painstaking writers not unfrequently use impute instead of ascribe. "The numbers [of blunders] that have been imputed to him are endless."-"Appletons' Journal." The use of impute in this connection is by no means iudefensible ; still it would have been better to use ascribe.

In our midst. The phrase in our midst and in their midst are generally supposed to be of recent introduction; and, though they have been used by some respectable writers, they nevertheless find no favor with those who study propriety in the use of language. To the phrase in the midst no one objects. "Jcsus came and stood in the midst." "There was a hut in the midst of the forest."

In respect of. "The deliberate introduction of incorrect forms, whether by the coinage of new or the revival of obsolete and inexpressive syntactical combinations, ought to be resisted even in trifles, especially where it leads to the confusion of distinct ideas. An example of this is the recent use of the adverbial phrases in respect of, in regard of, for in or with respect to, or regard to. This innovation is without any syntacical ground, and ought to be condemned and avoided as a mere grammatical crochet."-George P. Marsh, "Lectures on the English Language," p. 660.

In so far as. A phrase often met with, and in which the in is superfluous. "A want of proper opportunity would suffice, in so far as the want could be shown." "We are to act up to the extent of our knowledge; but, in so far as our knowledge falls short," etc.

Inaugurate. This word, which means to install in office with certain ceremonies, is made, by many lovers of big words, to do service for begin; but the sooner these rnetorlica
high-flier's stop inaugurating and content themselves with simply beginning the things they are called upon to do in the ordinary routine of daily life, the sooner they will cease to set a very bad example.

Indecent. See Immodest.
Index expurgatorius. William Cnllen Bryant, who was a carefnl student of English, while he was editor of the "New York Evening Post," sought to prevent the writers for that paper from using "over and above (for 'more than'); artiste (for 'artist'); aspirant; authoress; beat (for 'defeat'); bagging (for 'capturing'); balance (for 'remainder'); banquet (for 'dinner' or 'supper'); bogus; casket (for 'coffin'); claimed (for 'asserted'); collided; commence (for 'begin'); compcte; cortége (for 'procession'); cotemporary (for 'contemporary'); couple (for 'two'); darky (for 'negro'); day before yesterday (for 'the day before yesterday'); délout; decrease (as a verb); democracy (applied to a political party); develop (for 'expose'); devouring element (for 'fire'); donate ; employé; enacted (for 'acted'); indorse (for 'approve'); en route; esq.; graduate (for 'is graduated '); gents (for 'gentlemen'); 'Hon.'; House (for 'House of Representatives'); humbug; inaugurate (for 'begin'); in our midst; item (for ' particle, extract, or paragraph'); is being done, and all passives of this form; jeopardize; jubilant (for 'rejoicing'); juvenile (for 'boy'); lady (for 'wife'); last (for 'latest'); lengthy (for 'long'); leniency (for 'lenity'); loafer; loan or loaned (for 'lend' or 'lent'); located; majority (relating to places or circumstances, for 'most'); Mrs. President, Mrs. Governor, Mrs. General, and all similar titles; mutnal (for 'common'); official (for 'officer'); ovation; on yesterday; over his signature; pants (for 'pantaloons'); parties (for 'persons'); partially (for 'partly'); past two weeks (for 'last two weeks' and all similar expressions relating to a definite time); poetess; portion (for
'part'); posted (for 'informed'); progress (for 'advance'); reliable (for 'trustworthy'); rendition (for 'performance'); repudiate (for 'reject' or 'disown'); retire (as an active verb); Rev. (for 'the Rev.'); rôle (for 'part'); roughs; rowdies; secesh; sensation (for 'notewortlly event'); standpoint (for ' point of view'); start, in the sense of setting out; state (for 'say'); taboo; talent (for 'talents' or 'ability'; talented; tapis; the deceased; war (for 'dispute' or 'disagreement')."

This index is offered here as a curiosity rather than as a guide, though in the main it may safely be used as such. No valid reason, however, can be urged for discouraging the use of several words in the list; the words aspirant, banquet, casket, compete, decrease, progress, start, talented, and deceased, for example.

Indicative and Subjunctive. "'I see the signal,' is unconditional ; 'if $I$ see the signal,' is the same fact expressed in the form of a condition. The one form is said to be in the indicatire mood, the mood that simply states or indicates the action; the other form is in the suhjunctive, conditional, or conjunctive mood. There is sometimes a slight variation made in English, to show that an affirmation is made as a condition. The mood is called 'subjunctive,' because the affirmation is subjoined to another affirmation: 'If I see the signal, I will call out.'
"Such forms as 'I may see,' 'I can sec,' have sometimes been considered as a variety of mood, to which the name 'Potential' is given. But this can not properly be maintained. There is no trace of any inflection corresponding to this meaning, as we find with the subjunctive. Moreover, such a mood would have itself to be subdivided into indicative and subjunctive forms: 'I may go,' 'if I may go.' And further, we might proceed to constitute other moods on the same analogy, as, for example, an obligatory mood-' I must
go,' or 'I ought to go'; a mood of resolution-'I will go, you shall go '; a moorl of gratification--'I am relighted to go'; of deprecation-'I am grieved to go.' The only difference in the last two instances is the use of the sign of the infinitise 'to,' which does not occur after 'may,' 'can,' 'must,' 'ought,' etc.; but that is not an essential difference. Some grammarians consider the form 'I do go' a separate mood, and term it the emphatic mood. But all the above objections apply to it likewise, as well as many others."-Bain. See Subjunctive Mood.

Individual. This word is often most improperly used for person; as, "The individual I saw was not over forty "; "There were several individuals on board that I had never seen before." Individual means, etymologically, that which can not be divided, and is used, in speaking of things as well as of persons, to express unity. It is opposed to the whole, or that which is divisible into parts.

Indorse. Careful writers generally discountenance the use of indorse in the sense of sanction, approve, applaud. In this signification it is on the list of prohibited words in some of our newspaper offices. "The following rules are indorsed by nearly all writers upon this subject."-Dr. Townsend. It is plain that the right word to use here is cpproved. "The public will heartily indorse the sentiments uttered by the court."-New York "Evening Telegram." "The public will heartily approve the sentiments expressed by the court," is what the sentence should be.

Infinitive Mood. When we can choose, it is generally better to use the verb in the infinitive that in the participial form. "Ability being in general the power of doing," etc. Say, to dio. "I desire to reply . . . to the proposal of sub. stituting a tax upon land values . . . aurl making this tax, as near [nearly] as may be, equal to rent," etc. Say, to substi.
tute and to make. "This quality is of prime importance when the chief object is the imparting of knowledge." Say, to impart.

Initiate. This is a pretentious word, which, with its derivatives, many persons-especially those who like to be grandiloquent-use, when homely English would serve their turn much better.

Innumerable Number. A repetitional expression to be avoided. We may say innumerable times, or numberless times, but we should not say an innumerable number of times.

Interrogation. The rhetorical figure that asks a question in order to emphasize the reverse of what is asked is called interrogation; as, "Do we mean to submit to this measure? Do we mean to submit, and consent that we ourselves, our country and its rights, shall be trampled on?"
"Doth God pervert judgment? or doth the Almighty pervert justice?"

Introduce. See Present.
Irony. That mode of speech in which what is meant is contrary to the literal meaning of the words-in which praise is bestowed when censure is intended-is called irony. Irony is a kind of delicate sarcasm or satire-raillery, mockery.
"In writings of humor, figures are sometimes used of so delicate a nature that it shall often happen that some people will see things in a direct contrary sense to what the author and the majority of the readers understand them; to such the most innocent irony may appear irreligion."-Cambridge.

Irritate. S.e Aggravate.
Is being built. A tolerable idea of the state of the discussion regarding the propriety of using the locution is being built, and all lide expressions, will, it is hoped, be obtained from the following extracts. The Rev. Peter Bullions, in his "Grammar of the English Language," says:
"There is properly no passive form, in English, corresponding to the proyressive form in the active voice, except where it is made by the participle ing, in the passive sense, thus, 'The house is building'; 'The garments are making'; - Wheat is selling,' etc. An attempt has been made by some grammarians, of late, to banish such expressions from the language, though they have been used in all time past by the best writers, and to justify and defend a clumsy solecism, which has been recently introduced chiefly through the newspaper press, but which has gained such currency, and is becoming so familiar to the ear, that it seems likely to prevail, with all its uncouthness and deformity. I refer to such expressions as 'The house is being built'; 'The letter is being written'; 'The mine is being worked'; 'The news is being telegraphed,' etc., etc.
"This mode of expression had no existence in the language till within the lust fifty years.* This, indeed, would not make the expression wrong, were it otherwise unexceptionable; but its recent origin shows that it is not, as is pretended, a necessary form.
"'This form of expression, when analyzed, is found not to express what it is intended to express, and would be used only by such as are either ignorant of its import or are careless and loose in their use of language. To make this manifest, let it be considered, first, that there is no proyressive form of the verb to be and no need of it; hence, there is no such expression in English as is being. Of course the expression 'is being built,' for example, is not a compound of is being and built, but of is and being built; that is, of the verb to be and the present -riciciple passive. Now, let it be observed that the only verbs in which the present participle passive expresses a continued action are those mentioned above as the

[^4]first class, in which the regular passive form expresses a conlinuance of the action; as, is loved, is desired, etc., and in which, of course, the form in question (is being built) is not required. Nobody would think of saying, 'He is being loved'; 'This result is being desired.'
"The use of this form is justified only by condemning an established usage of the language; namely, the passive sense in some verbs of the participle in ing. In reference to this it is flippantly asked, 'What does the house build?' 'What does the letter write?' etc.-taking for granted, without attempting to prove, that the participle in ing can not have a passive sense in any verb. The following are a few examples from writers of the best reputation, which this novelty would condemn: 'While the ceremony was performing.'-Tom Brown. 'The court was then holding.'-Sir G. McKenzie. 'And still be doing, never done.'-Butler. 'The books are selling.'-Allen's 'Grammar.' 'To know nothing of what is transacting in the regions above us.'-Dr. Blair. 'The spot where this new and strange tragedy was acting.'-E. Everett. 'The fortress was building.'-Irving. 'An attempt is making in the English Parliament.'-D. Webster. 'The church now erecting in the city of New York.'-'N. A. Review.' 'These things were transacting in England.'-Bancroft.
"This new doctrine is in opposition to the almost unanimous judgment of the most distinguished grammarians and critics, who have considered the subject, and expressed their views concerning it. The following are a specimen: 'Expressions of this kind are condemned by some critics; but the usage is unquestionably of far better antlority, and (according to my apprehension) in far better taste, than the more complex phraseology which some late writers adopt in its stead; as, "The books are now being sold."'-Goold Brown. 'As to the notion of introducing a new and more complex passive
form of conjugation, as, "The bridge is being built," "The bridge was being built," and so forth, it is one of the most absurd and monstrous innovations ever thought of. "The work is now being published," is certainly no better English than, "The work was being published, has been being published, had been being published, shall or will be being published, shall or will have been being published," and so on through all the moods and tenses. What a language we shall have when our verbs are thus conjugated!'-Brown's 'Gr. of English Gr.,' p. 361. De War observes: 'The participle in ing is alse passive in many instances; as, "The house is building," "I heard of a plan forming,"' etc.-Quoted is 'Frazee's Grammar,' p. 49. 'It would be an absurdity, indeed, to give up the only way we have of denoting the incomplete state of aetion by a passive form (viz., by the participle in ing in the passive sense).'-Arnold's 'English Grammar,' p. 46. 'The present participle is often used passively; as, "The ship is building." The form of expression, is being built, is being committed, etc., is almost universally condemued by grammarians, but it is sometimes met with in respectable writers; it oceurs most frequently in newspaper paragraphs and in hasty compositions. See Worcester's "Universal and Critical Dictionary."'-Weld's 'Grammar,' pp. 118 and 180. "When we say, "The house is building," the advocates of the new theory ask, "Building what?" We might ask, in turn, when ycu say, "The field ploughs well,"-"Ploughs what?" "Wheat sells well,"-"Sells what?" If usage allows us to say, "Wheat sells at a dollar," in a sense that is not active, why may we not say, "Wheat is selling at a dollar," in a sense that is not active ?'-Hart's 'Grammar,' p. 76. 'The prevailing practice of the best authors is in favor of the simple form ; as, "The house is building."'-Wells' 'School Grammar,' p. 148. 'Several other expressions of this sort
now and then occur, such as the new-fanglerl and most uncouth solecism, "is being done," for the good old Englishi idiom "is doing"-an absurd periphrasis driving out a pointed and pithy turn of the English language.'-'N. A. Review,' quoted by Mr. Wells, p, 148. 'The phrase, "is being built," and others of a similar kind, have been for a few years insinuating themselves into our language; still they are mot English.'-Harrison's 'Rise, Progress, and Present Structure of the English Language.' 'This mode of expression [thre house is being built] is becoming quite common. It is liable, however, to several important objections. It appears formal and pedantic. It has not, as far as I know, the support of any respectable grammarian. The easy and natural expression is, "The house is building."'-Prof. J. W. Gibbs."

Mr. Richard Grant White, in his "Words and Their Uses," expresses his opinion of the locution is being in this wise: "In bad eminence, at the head of those intruders in language which to many persons seem to be of established respectability, but the right of which to be at all is not fully admitted, stands out the form of speech is being done, or rather, is being, which, about seventy or eighty years ago, began to affront the eye, torment the ear, and assault the common sense of the speaker of plain and idiomatic English." Mr. White devotes thirty pages of his book to the discussion of the subject, and adduces evidence that is more than sufficient to convince those who are content with an ex parte examination that "it can hardly be that such an incongruous and ridiculous form of speech as is being done was contrived by a man who, by any stretch of the name, should be includerl among grammarians."

Mr. George P. Marsh, in his "Lectures on the English Language," says that the deviser of the locution in question was "some grammatical pretender," and that it is "a
awkward neologism, which neither convenience, intelligibility, nor syntactical congruity demands."

To these gentlemen, and to those who are of their way of thinking with regard to is being, Dr. Fitzedward Hall replies at some length, in an article pulbished in "Scribner's Monthly," for April, 1872. Dr. Hall writes:
" 'All really well educated in the English tongue lament the many innovations introluced into our language from America; and I doubt if more than one of these novelties deserve acceptation. That one is, sulstituting a componnd participle for an active verb used in a nenter signification: for instance, "The house is beiny built," instead of, "The house is building." Such is the asscrtion and such is the opinion of some anonymous luminary, ${ }^{*}$ who, for his liberality in welcoming a supposed Americanism, is somewhat in advance of the herd of his countrymen. Almost any popular expression which is considered as a novelty, a Briton is pretty certain to assume, off-hand, to have originated on onr site of the Atlantic. Of the issertion I have quoted, no proof is offered; and there is little probability that its author had any to offer. 'Are being,' in the phrase 'are being thrown up,' is spoken of in 'The North American Review' $\ddagger$ as 'an out rage upon English idion, "to be detested, abhorred, exe crated, and given over to six thousand" penny-paper editors', and the fact is, that phases of the form here pointed at have hitherto enjoyed very much less favor with us than with the English.

[^5]"As lately as 1860 , Dr. Worcester, referring to is bein! built, etc., while acknowledging that 'this new form has Leen used by some respectable writers,' speaks of it as having 'been introduced' 'within a few years.' Mr. Richard Grant White, by a most peculiar process of ratiocination, endeavors to prove that what Dr. Worcester calls 'this new form' came into existence just fifty-six years ago. He premises that in Jarvis's translation of 'Don Quixote,' published in 1742, there occurs 'were carrying,' and that this, in the edition of 1818 , is sophisticated into 'were being carried.' 'This change,' continues our logician, 'and the appearance of is being with a perfect participle in a very few books published between A. D. 1815 and 1820 , indicate the former period as that of the origin of this phraseology, which, although more than half a century old, is still pronounced a novelty as well as a nuisance.'
"Who, in the next place, devised our modern imperfect passive? The question is not, originally, of my asking; but, as the learned are at open feud on the subject, it should not be passed by in silence. Its deviser is, more than likely, as undiscoverable as the name of the valiant antediluvian who first tasted an oyster. But the deductive character of the miscreant is another thing; and hereon there is a war between the philosophers. Mr. G. P. Marsh, as if he had actually spotted the wretched creature, passionately and categorically denounces him as 'some grammatical pretender.' 'But,' replies Mr. White, 'that it is the work of any grammarian is more than doubtful. Grammarians, with all their faults, do not deform language with fantastic solecisms, or even seek to enrich it with new and startling verbal combinations. They rather resist novelty, and devote themselves to formulating that which use has already established.' In the
same page with this, Mr. White compliments the great unknown as 'some precise and feeble-minded soul,' and elsewhere calls him 'some pelantic writer of the last generation.' To add cven one word toward a solution of the knotty point here indicated transcends, I confess, my utmost competence. It is painful to picture to one's self the agonizing emotions with which certain philologists would contemplate an authentic effigy of the Attila of speech who, by his is being built or is being done, first offered violence to the whole circle of the proprieties. So far as I have observed, the first grammar that exhibits them is that of Mr. R. S. Skillern, M.A., the first edition of which was published at Gloucester in 1802. Robert Southey had not, on the 9th of October, 1795 , been out of his minority quite two months when, evidently delivering himself in a way that had already become familiar enough, he wrote of 'a fellow whose uttermost upper grinder is being torn out by the roots by a mutton-fisted barber.'* This is in a letter. But repeated instances of the same kind of expression are seen in Southey's graver writings. Thus, in his 'Colloquies,' ctc., $\dagger$ we read of 'such [nunneries] as at this time are being rec̈stablishecl.'
"' While my hand was being drest loy Mr. Young, I spoke for the first time.' wrote Colcridge, in March, 1797.
"Charles Lamb speaks of roalitios which 'are being acted before us,' and oi 'a man who is being strangled.'
"Walter Savage Landor, in an imaginary conversation, represents Pitt as saying: 'The man who possessed them may read Swedenborg and Kant while he is being tossed in a blanket.' Again: 'I have seen nobles, men and women,

[^6]kneeling in the street before these bishops, when no ceremony of the Catholic Church was being performed.' Also, in a translation from Catullus: 'Some criminal is being tried for murder.'
"Nor does Mr. De Quincey scruple at such English as ' made and being made,' 'the bride that was being married to him,' and 'the shafts of Heaven were even now being forged.' On one occasion he writes, 'Not done, not even (according to modern purism) being done'; as if 'purism' meant exactness, rather than the avoidance of neoterism.
"I need, surely, name no more, among the dead, who found is being built, or the like, acceptable. 'Simple-minded common people and those of culture were alike protected against it by their attachment to the idiom of their mother tongue, with which they felt it to be directly at variance.' So Mr. White informs us. But the writers whom I have quoted are formidable exceptions. Even Mr. White will scarcely deny to them the title of "people of culture."
"So much for offenders past repentance; and we all know that the sort of phraseology under consideration is daily becoming more and more common. The best written of the English reviews, magazines, and journals are perpetually marked by it ; and some of the choicest of living English writers employ it freely. Among these, it is enough if I specify Bishop Wilberforce and Mr. Charles Reade. *
"Extracts from Bishop Jewel downward being also given, Lord Macaulay, Mr. Dickens, 'The Atlantic Monthly,' and 'The Brooklyn Eagle' are alleged by Mr. White in proof that people still use such phrases as 'Chelsea Hospital was building,' and 'the train was preparing. 'Hence we see,' he

[^7]adds," 'that the form is being done, is being made, is being built, lacks the support of authoritative nsage from the period of the earliest classical English to the present day.' I fully concur with Mr. White in regarding 'neither "The Brooklyn Eagle" nor Mr. Dickens as a very high anthority in the use of language ${ }^{\text {; }}$ yet, when he has renounced the aid of these contemned straws, what has he to rest his inference on, as to the present day, but the practice of Lord Macaulay and 'The Atlantic Monthly'? Those who think fit will bow to the dictatorship here prescribed to them; but there may be those with whom the classic sanction of Sonthey, Coleridge, and Landor, will not be wholly void of weight. All scholars are aware that, to convey the sense of the imperfects passive, our ancestors, centuries ago, prefixed, with is, etc., in, afterward corrupted into $a$, to a verbal substantive. 'The house is in building' conld be taken to mean nothing but ades relificantur; and, when the in gave place to $a, \dagger$ it was still manifest enough, from the context, that building was governed by a preposition. The second stage of change, however, namely, when the $a$ was omitted, entailed, in many eases, great danger of confusion. In the early part of the last century, when English was undergoing what was then thought to be purification, the polite world substantially resigued is a-building to the vulgar. Toward the close of the same century, when, under ine influcuce of free thought, it began to be felt that even ideas had a right to faithful and unequivocal representation, a just resentment of ambiguity was evidenced in the creation of is being built. The lament is too late that the instinct of reformation did not restore the old form. It has gone forever; and we are now to make the best

[^8]of its successors. ""The brass is forging,"' in the opinion of Dr. Johnson, is 'a vicious expression, probably corrupted from a phrase more pure, but now somewhat obsolete, . . . "the brass is a-forging.", Yet, with a true Tory's timidity and aversion to change, it is not surprising that he went on preferring what he found establishcd, vicious as it confessedly was, to the end. But was the expression 'vicions' solely becanse it was a corruption? In 1787 William Beckford wrote as follows of the fortune-tellers of Lisbon: 'I saw one dragginy into light, as I passed by the ruins of a palace thrown down by the earthquake. Whether a familiar of the Inquisition was griping her in his clutches, or whether sle was taking to account by som disappointed volary, I will not pretend to answer.' Are the expressions here italicized either perspicuous or graceful? Whatever we are to have in their place, we should be thankful to get quit of them.
"Inasmuch as, concurrently with building for the active participle, and being built for the corresponding passive participle, we possessed the former, with is prefixed, as the active present imperfect, it is in rigid accordance with the symmetry of our verb that, to construct the passive present imperfect, we prefix is to the latter, producing the form is being built. Such, in its greatest simplicity, is the procedure which, as will be seen, has provoked a very levanter of ire and vilifica. tion. Rut anything that is new will be excepted to by minds of a certain order. Their tremulous and impatient dread of removing ancient landmarks even disqualifies them for thoronghly investigating its character and pretensions. In has built and will build, we find the active participle perfect and the active infinitive subjoined to auxiliaries ; and so, in has been built and will be built, the passive participle perfect and he passive infinitive are subjoined to arcxiliaries. In is building and is being built, we have, in strict harmony with
the constitution of the perfect and future tenses, an auxiliary followed by the active participle present and the passive participle present. Built is determined as active or passive by the verbs which qualify it, have and be; and the grammarians are right in considering it, when embodied in has Imilt, as active, since its analogue, embodied in has been built, is the exclusively passive been built. Besides this, has been + built would signify something like has existed, built,* which is plainly neuter. We are debarred, therefore, from such an analysis; and, by parity of reasoning, we may not resolve is being built into is being + built. It must have been an inspiration of analogy, felt or unfelt, that suggested the form I am discussing. Is being + built, as it can mean, pretty nearly, only exists, built, wonld never have been proposed as adequate to convey any but a neuter sense; whereas it was perfectly natural for a person aiming to express a passive sense to lrefix is to the passive concretion being built. $\dagger$
"The analogical justification of is being built which I have brought forward is so obvious that, as it occurred to myself more than twenty years ago, so it must have occurred spontancously to hundreds besides. It is very singular that those who, like Mr. Marsh and Mr. White, hare pondered long and painfully over locutions typified by is being built, should have missed the real ground of their grammatical defensibleness, and should have warmed themselves, in their opposition to them, into uttering opinions which no calm judgment can accept.

[^9]"'One who is being beaten' is, to Archbishop Whately, 'uncouth English.' " "The bridge is being built," and other phrases of the like kind, lave pained the eye' of Mr. David Booth. Such phrases, according to Mr. M. Harrison, 'are not English,' To Professor J. W. Gibbs 'this mode of expression . . . appears formal and pedantic'; and 'the easy and natural expression is, "The house is building."'* In all this, little or nothing is discernible beyond sheer prejudice, the prejudice of those who resolve to take their stand against au innovation, regardless of its utility, and who are ready to find an argument against it in any random epithet of disparagement provoked by unreasoning aversion. And the more recent denouncers in the same line have no more reason on their side than their elder brethren.
"In Mr. Marsh's estimation, is being built illustrates 'corruption of language'; it is 'clumsy and unidiomatic'; it is 'at best but a philological coxcombry'; it 'is an awkward neologism, which neither convenience, intelligibility, nor syntactical congruity demands, and the use of which ought, therefore, to be discountenanced, as an attempt at the artificial improvement of the language in a point which needed no amendment.' Again, 'To reject' is building in favor of the modern phrase 'is to violate the laws of language by an arbitrary change; and, in this peculiar case, the proposed substitute is at war with the genius of the English tongue.' Mr. Marsh seems to have fancied that, wherever he points out a beauty in is building, he points out, inclusively, a blemish in is being built.
"The fervor and feeling with which Mr. White advances to the charge are altogether tropical. "The full absurdity of

[^10]this phrase, the essence of its nonsense, seems not to have been hitherto pointed out.' It is not 'consistent with reason'; and it is not 'conformed to the normal developuent of the language.' It is 'a monstrosity, the illogical, confusing, inaccurate, unidiomatic character of which I have at some length, but yet imperfectly, set forth.' Finally, 'In fact, it means nothing, and is the most incongruous combination of words and ideas that ever attained respectable usage in any civilized language.' These be 'prave 'ords'; and it seems a pity that so much sterling vituperative ammunition should be expended in vain. And that it is so expended thinks Mr. White himself; for, though passing sentence in the spirit of a Jeffreys, he is not really on the judgment-seat, but on the lowest hassock of despair. As concerns the mode of expression cxemplified by is being built, he owns that 'to check its diffusion would be a hopeless undertaking.' If so, why not reserve himself for service against some evil not avowedly beyond remedy?
"Again we read, 'Some precise and feeble-minded soul, having been taught that there is a passive voice in English, and that, for instance, building is an active participle, and builded or built a passive, felt conscientious scruples at saying "the house is building." For what could the house build?' As children say at play, Mr. White burns here. If it had occurred to him that the 'conscientious scruples' of his hypothetical, 'precise, and feeble-minded soul' were rouscil by been buill, not by built, I suspect his chapter on is being build wonld have been much shorter than it is at present, and very different. 'The fatal absurlity in this phrase consists,' he tells us, 'in the combination of is with being; in the making of the verb to be a supplement, or, in grammarian's phrase, an auxiliary to itself-an absurlity so palpable, so monstrous, so ridiculous, that it should need only to be
pointed out to be scouted.' * Lastly, 'The question is thus narrowerl simply to this, loes to be bein! (esse ens) mean anything more or other than to be?'
"Having convicted Mr. White of a mistaken analysis, I am not concerned with the observations which he founds on his mistake. However, even if his analysis had been correct, some of his arguments would avail him nothing. For instance, is leing luit, on his understanding of it, that is to say, is being + buit, he represents by ens cedificatus est, as 'the supposed corrcsponding Latin phrase.' $\dagger$ The Latin is illegitimate; and he infers that, therefore, the English is the same. But redificans est, a translation, on the model which he offers, of the active is building, is quite as illegitimate as ens adificatus est. By parity of non-sequitur, we are, therefore, to surrender the active is building. Assume that a phrase in a given langnage is inclefensible unless it has its counterpart in some other language; from the conception and definition of an icliom every idiom is illegitimate.
"I now pass to another point. 'To be and to exist are,' to Mr. White's apprehension, 'perfect synonyms, or more nearly perfect, perhaps, than any two verbs in the language. In some of their meanings there is a shade of difference, but in others there is none whatever ; and the latter are those which serve our present purpose. When we say, " He , being forewarned of danger, fled," we say, "He, existing forewarned of

[^11]danger, fled." When we say that a thing is done, we say that it exists done. . . . Is being done is simply exists existin!! done.' But, since is and exists are equipollent, and so lein!! and existing, is leing is the same as the nuimpeachable is existing. Q. non E. D. Is existing ought, of course, to be no less objectionable to Mr. White than is being. Just as absurd, too, should he reckon the Italian sono stato, era stato, sia stato, fossi stato, saro strito, sarei stato, essere stato, and essrndo stato. For in Italian both essere anu stare are required to make up the verb substantive, as in Jatin both esse and the offspring of fuere are required; and stare, primarily 'to stand,' is modified into a true auxiliary. The alleged 'full absurdity of this phrase,' to wit, is being bui't, 'the essence of its nonsense,' vanishes thus into thin air. So I was about to comment bluntly, not forgetting to regret that any gentleman's cultivation of logic should fructify in the shape of irrepressible tendencies to suicide. But this would be precipitate. Agreeably to one of Mr. White's judicial placita, which I make no apology for citing twice, 'no man who has preserved all his senses will doubt for a monent that " to exist a mastiff or a mule" is absolutely the same as "to he a mastiff or a mule."' Declining to admit their identity, I have not preserved all my senses; and, accordingly-though it may be in me the very superfetation of lunacy-I would caution the reader to keep a sharp eye on my arguments, hercabonts particularly. The Cretan, who, in declaring all Cretans to be liars, left the question of his veracity doulitful to all eternity, fell into a pit of his own digging. Not nulike the unfortunate Cretan, Mr. White has tumblell headlong into his own snare. It was, for the rest, entirely unavailing that he insisted on the insanity of those whe should gainsay
fumlamental postulate. Sanity, of a crude sort, may accept it; and sanity may put it to a use other than is propounder's.
"Mr. Marsh, after setting forth the all-sufficiency of is building, in the passive sense, goes ou to say: 'The reformers who object to the phrase I am defending must, in consistency, employ the proposed substitute with all passive participles, and in other tenses as well as the present. They must say, therefore, "The subscription-paper is being missed, but I know that a considerable sum is being wanted to make up the amount"; "the great Victoria Brilge has been being built more than two years"; "when I reach Londun, the ship Leviathan will be being built"; "if my orders had been followed, the coat woild have been being made yesterday"; "if the house had then been being buit, the mortar would have been being mixed."' We may reply that, while awkward instances of the old form are most abundant in our literature, there is no fear that the repulsive elaborations which have been worked out in ridicule of the new forms will prove to have been anticipations of future usage. There was a time when, as to their adverbs, people compared them, to a large extent, with eer and est, or with more and most, just as their ear or pleasure dictated. They wrote plainlier and plgintiest, or more plainly and most pluinly; and some adverbs, as early, late, often, seldom, and soon, we still compare in a way now become anomalous. And as our forefathers treated their adverls we still treat many adjectives. Furthermore, obligingnéss, preparedness, and designedly seem quite natural ; yet we do not feel that they authorize us to talk of 'the seeingness of the eye,' ' the understoodness of a sentence,' or of 'a statement acknowlellyetly correct.' 'The now too notorious fact' is tolerable; but 'the never to be sufficiently execrated monster Bonaparte' is intolerable. The sun may be shom of his splendor; but we do not allow eloudy weather to shear him of it. How, then, can any one claim that a man who prefers to say is bein! luill shonld say has been being built? Are not
a whward instances of the old form, typified by is building, as easily to be picked out of extant literature as such instances of the new form, likely ever to be used, are to be invented? And 'the reformers' have not forsworn their ears. Mr. Marsh, at p. 135 of his admirable 'Lectures,' lays down that 'the adjective reliable, in the sense of wortly of confidence, is altogether unidiomatic'; and yet, at p. 112, he writcs 'reliable evidence.' Again, at p. 396 of the same work, he rules that whose, in 'I passed a house whose windows were open,' is 'ly no means yet fully established': and at p. 145 of his very learned 'Man and Nature' he writes 'a quadrangular pyramid, the perpendicular of whose sidcs,' etc. Really, if his own judgments sit so very loose on his practical conscience, we may, without being chargeable with exaction, ask of him to relax a little the rigor of his requirements at the hands of his neighbors.
" Beckford's Lisbon fortune-teller, before had into court, was 'drectging into light,' and, perchance, 'was taking to account.' Many moderns would say and write 'being draygrel into light,' and 'was being taken to account.' But, if we are to trust the conservative critics, in comparison with expressions of the former pattern, those of the latter are 'uncouth,' 'clumsy,' 'awkward neologisms,' 'philological coxcombrics,' 'formal and pedantic,' 'incongruous and ridiculous forms of speech,' 'illogical, confusing, inaccurate monstrositics.' Moreover, they are neither 'consistunt with reason' nor 'conformed to the normal development of the language'; they are 'at war with the genius of the English tongue'; they are 'unidiomatic'; they are 'not English.' In passing, if Mr. Marsh will so define the term unidiomatic as to evince that it has any applicability to the case in hand, or if he will arrest and photograph 'the genius of the linglish tongue,' so that we may know the original when we meet with it, he will confer
a public favor. And now I submit for consideration whether the sole strength of those who decry is being built and its congeners does not consist in their talent for calling hard names. If they have not an uneasy subconsciousmess that their cause is weak, they would, at least, do well in eschewing the violence to which, for want of something better, the advocates of weak causes proverbially resort.
"I once had a friend who, for some microscopic penumbra of heresy, was charged, in the words of his accuser, with 'as near an approach to the sin against the Holy Ghost as is practicable to human infirmity.' Similarly, on one view, the feeble potencies of philological turpitude seem to have exhibited their most consummate realization in engendering is being built. The supposed enormity perpetrated in its production, provided it had fallen within the sphere of ethics, would, at the least, have ranked, with its denunciators, as a brand-new exemplification of total depravity. But. after all, what incontestable defect in it has any one succeeded in demonstrating? Mr. White, in opposing to the expression objections based on an erroneous analysis, simply lays a phantom of his own evoking; and, so far as I am informed, other impugners of is being built have, absolutely, no argument whatever against it over and beyond their repugnance to norelty. Subjected to a little untroubled contemplation, it would, I am confident, have ceased long ago to be matter of controversy; but the dust of prejudice and passion, which so distempers the intellectual vision of theologians and politicians, is seen to make, with ruthless impartiality, no exception of the perspicacity of philologists.
"Prior to the evolution of is being built and was being built, we possessed no discriminate equivalents to cedificatur and (Felificabatur; is built and was built, by which they were rendered, corresponding exactly to cedificatus est and adifica-
tus erat. Cum aedificaretur was to us the same as adificabatur. On the wealth of the Greek in expressions of imperfect passive I need not dwell. With rare exceptions, the Romans were satisficd with the present-imperfect and the past-imperfect; and we, on the comparatively few occasions which present themselves for expressing other imperfects, shall be sure to have recourse to the old forms rather than to the new, or else to use periphrases.* The purists may, accordingly, dismiss their apprehensions, especially as the neoterists have, clearly, a keener horror of phraseological ungainliness than themselves. One may have no hesitation about saying 'the house is being built,' and may yet recoil from saying that 'it should have been being built last Christmas'; and the same personjust as, provided he did not feel a harshness, inadequacy, and ambiguity in the passive 'the house is building,' he would use the expression-will, more likely than not, elect is in prepuration preferentially to is leing prepared. If there are any who, in their zealotry for the congruous, choose to adhere to the new form in its entire range of exchangeability for the old, let it be hoped that they will find, in Mr. Marsh's speculative approbation of consistency, full amends for the discomfort of encountering smiles or frowns. At the same time, let them be mindful of the career of Mr. White, with his black flag and no quarter. The dead Polonius was, in Hamlet's pirase, at supper, 'not where he eats, but where he is eaten.' Shake. speare, to Mr. White's thinking, in this wise expressed him. self at the best, and deserves not only admiration therefor, but to be imitated. 'While the ark was buill,' 'while the ark

[^12]was prepared,' writes Mr. White himself.* Shakespeare is commended for his ambiguous is eaten, though in eating or an cating would have been not only correct in his day, but, where they would have come in his sentence, univocal. With equal reason a man would be entitled to commendation for tearing his mutton-chops with his fingers, when he might cut them up with a knife and fork. 'Is eaten,' says Mr. White, 'does not mean has been eaten.' Very true; but a continuous unfinished passion-Polonius's still undergoing manducation, to speak Johnsonese-was in Shakespeare's mind; and his words describe a passion no longer in generation. The King of Denmark's lord chamberlain had no precedent in Herod, when 'he was eaten of worms'; the original, $\gamma \varepsilon v$ ó $\mu \varepsilon v o s$ бк ю $\lambda \eta \kappa$ о́ $\beta \rho \omega \tau \sigma$, yielding, but for its participle, 'he became worm-eaten.'
" Having now done with Mr. White, I am anxious, before taking leave of him, to record, with all emphasis, that it would be the grossest injustice to write of his elegant 'Life and Genius of Shakespeare,' a book which does credit to American literature, in the tone which I have fornd unavoidable in dealing with his 'Words and their Uses.'"

The student of English who has honestly weighed the arguments on both sides of the question, must, I believe, be of opinion that our language is the richer for having two forms for expressing the Progressive Passive. Further, he must, I believe, be of opinion that in very many cases he conforms to the most approved usage of our time by employing the old form; that, however, if he were to employ the old form in all cases, his meaning would sometimes be uncertain.

It. Cobbett discourses of this little neuter pronoun in this wise: "The word it is the greatest troubler that I know of in language. It is so small and convenient that few are * "'Words and their Uses,' p. 343."
careful enough in using it. Writers seldom spare this word. Whenever they are at a loss for either a nominative or an objective to their sentence, they, without any kind of ceremony, clap in an it. A very remarkable instance of this pressing of poor it into actual service, contrary to the laws of grammar and of sense, occurs in a piece of composition, where we might, with justice, insist on correctness, This piece is on the subject of grammar ; it is a piece written by a Doctor of Divinity and read by him to students in grammar and language in an acadeny; and the very sentence that I am now about to quote is selected by the author of a grammar as testimony of high authority in favor of the excellence of his work. Surely, if correctness be ever to be expected, it must be in a case like this. I allude to two sentences in the 'Charge of the Reverend Doctor Abercrombie to the Senior Class of the Philadelphia Academy,' published in 1S06; which sentences have been selected and published by Mr. Lindley Murray as a testimonial of the merits of his grammar ; and which sentences are by Mr. Murray given to us in the following words: 'The unwearied exertious of this gentleman have done more toward elucidating the obscurities and embellishing the structure of our language than any other writer on the subject. Such a work has long been wanted, and from the success with which it is executed, can not be too highly appreciated.'
"As in the learned Doctor's opinion obscurities can be elucidated, and as in the same opinion Mr. Murray is an able hand at this kind of work, it would not be amiss were the grammarian to try his skill upon this article from the hand of his dignified eulogist ; for here is, if one may use the expression, a constellation of obscurities. Our poor oppressed it, which we find forced into the Doctor's service in the second sentence, relates to 'such a work,' though this work is nothing
that has an existence, notwithstanding it is said to be 'exccuted.' In the first sentence, the 'excrtions' become, all of a sudden, a 'writer': the exertions have done more than 'any other writer'; for, mind you, it is not the gentleman that has done anything; it is 'the cxertions' that have done what is said to be done. The word gentleman is in the possessive case, and has nothing to do with the action of the sentence. Let us give the sentence a turu, and the Doctor and the grammarian will hear how it will sound. 'This gentleman's exertions have done more than any other writer.' This is on a level with 'This gentleman's doy has killed more hares than any other sportsman.' No doubt Doctor Abercrombie meant to say, 'The exertions of this gentleman have done more than those of any other writer. Such a work as this gentleman's has long heen wanted; his work, seeing the successful manner of its execution, can not be too highly commended.' Meant! No doubt at all of that! And when we hear a Hampshire ploughboy say, 'Poll Cherrycheek have giv'd a thick handkecher,' we know very well that he mectns to say, 'Poll Clierrycheek has given me this handkerchief'; and yet we are too apt to laugh at him aud to call him ignorant; which is wrong, because he has no pretensions to a knowledge of grammar, and he may be very skillful as a ploughboy. However, we will not laugh at Doctor Abercrombie, whom I knew, many years ago, for a very kind and worthy man. But, if we may, in any case, be allowed to laugh at the ignorance of our fellow-creatures, that case certainly does arise when we see a professed grammarian, the anthor of voluminous precepts and examples on the subject of grammar, producing, in imitation of the possessors of valuable medical secrets, testimonials vouching for the efficacy of his literary panacea, and when, in those testimonials, we find most flagrant instances of bad grammar.
"However, my dear Jaines, let this strong and striking instance of the misuse of the word it serve you in the way of caution. Never put an it upon paper without thinking well of what you are about. When I see many its in a page, I always tremble for the writer."

Jeopardize. This is a modern word which we could easily do without, as it means neither more nor less than its venerable progenitor to jeopard, which is greatly preferred by all eareful writers.

Just going to. Instead of "I am just going to go," it is better to say, "I am just about to go."

Kids. "This is another vile contraction. Habit blinds people to the unscemliness of a term like this. How would it sound if one should speak of silk gloves as silks?"

Kind. See Polite.
Knights Templars. The name of this ancient body has been adopted by a branch of the Masonic fraternity, but in a perverted form-Knights Templar; and this form is commonly seen in print, whether referring to the old knights or to their modern imitators. This doubtless is due to the erroneous impression that T'emplar is an adjective, and so can not take the plural form ; while in fact it is a case of two nouns in apposition-a double designation-meaning Knights of the order of Templars. Hence the plural should be Knights T'emplars, and not knights T'emplar. Members of the contemporaneous order of St. John of Jerusalem were commonly called Kinights Hospitallers.

Lady. To use the term lady, whether in the singular or in the plural, simply to designate the sex, is in the worst possible taste. There is a kind of pin-feather gentility which seems to have a settled aversion to using the terms man and woman. Gentlemen and ladies estallish their claims to being called such by their bearing, and not by arrogating to them-
selves, even indirectly, the titles. In England, the title lady is properly correlative to lord; but there, as in this country, it is used as a term of complaisance, and is appropriately applied to women whose lives are exemplary, and who have received that school and home eclucation which enables them to appear to advantage in the better circles of society. Such expressions as "She is a fine lady, a clever lady, a welldressed lady, a good lady, a modest lady, a charitable lady, an amiable lady, a handsome lady, a fascinating lady," and the like, are studiously avoided by persons of refinement. Ladies say, "we women, the women of America, women's apparel," and so on; vulgar women talk about "us ladies, the ladies of America, ladies' apparel," and so on. If a woman of culture and refinement-in short, a lady-is compelled from any cause soever to work in a store, she is quite content to be called a sales-woman; not so, however, with your young woman who, being in a store, is in a better position than ever before. She, Heaven bless her! boils with indignation if she is not denominated a sales lady. Lady is often the proper term to use, and then it would be very improper to use any other; but it is very certain that the terms lady and gentleman are least used by those persons who are most worthy of being designated by them. With a nice discrimination worthy of special notice, one of our daily papers recently said: "Miss Jennie Halstead, daughter of the proprietor of the 'Cincinnati Commercial,' is one of the most brilliant young women in Ohio."

In a late number of the "London Queen" was the following: "The terms ladies and gentlemen become in themselves vulgarisms when misapplied, and the improper application of the wrong term at the wrong time makes all the difference in the world to ears polite. Thus, calling a man a gentleman when he sliould be called a man, or speaking of a man as a
man when he shonld be spoken of as a gentleman; or alluding to a lady as a woman when she should be alluded to as a lecly, or speaking of a woman as a lady when she should properly be termed a woman. 'Tact and a sense of the fitness of things deeide these points, there being no fixed rule to go upon to determine when a man is a man or when he is a gentleman; and, althongh he is far oftener termed the one than the other, he does not thereby lose his attributes of a gentleman. In common parlance, a man is always a mun to a man, and never a gentleman; to a woman, he is occasionally a man and occasionally a gentleman; but a man would far oftener term a woman a women than he would term her a lady. When a man makes use of an adjective in speaking of a lady, he almost invariably calls her a woman. Thus, he would say, 'I met a rather agreeable woman at dinner last night'; but he would not say, 'I met an agreeable lady'; but he might say, 'A lady, a friend of mine, told me,' etc., when he would not say, 'A womun, a friend of mine, told me,' etc. Again, a man wonld say, 'Which of the ladies did you take in to dinner?' He would certainly not say, 'Which of the women,' etc.
"Speaking of people en masse, it would be to belong to a very advanced school to refer to them in conversation as 'men aud women,' while it would be all but vulgar to style them 'ladies and gentlemen,' the compromise between the two being to speak of them as 'ladies and men.' Thus a larly would say, 'I have asked two or three ladies and several men'; she would not say, 'I have asked several men and women'; neither would she say, 'I have asked several ladies and gentlemen.' And, speaking of numbers, it would be very usual to say, 'There were a great many lalies, and but very few men present,' or, 'The laties were in t' a majority, so few men being present.' Again, a lady wonld not say, 'I expect
two or three men,' but she would say, 'I expect two or three gentlemen.' When people are on ceremony with each other [one another], they might, perhaps, in speaking of a man, call him a gentleman; but, otherwise, it would be more usual to speak of him as a man. Ladies, when speaking of each other [one another], usually employ the term woman in preference to that of lady. Thus they would say, 'She is a very goodnatured woman,' 'What sort of a woman is she?' the term lady being entircly out of place under such circumstances. Again, the term young lady gives place as far as possible to the term girl, although it greatly depends upon the anount of intimacy existing as to which term is employed."

Language. A note in Worcester's Dictionary says: "Language is a very general term, and is not strictly confined to utterance by words, as it is also expressed by the countenance, by the eyes, and by signs. Tongue refers especially to an original language; as, 'the Hebrew toregue.' The modern languages are derived from the original tongues." If this be correct, then he who speaks French, German, Eng. lish, Spanish, and Italian, may properly say that he speaks five languages, but only one tongue.

Lay-Lie. Errors are frequent in the use of these two irregular verbs. Lay is often used for lie, and lie is sometimes used for lay. This confusion in their use is due, in some measure, doubtless, to the circumstance that lay appears in both verbs, it bcing the imperfect tense of to lie. We say, "A mason lays bricks," "A ship lies at anchor," etc. "1 must lie down"; "I must lay myself down"; "I must lay this book on the table"; "He lies on the grass"; "He lays his plans well"; "He lay on the grass"; "He laid it away"; "He has lain in bed long enough"; "He has laid up some money," "in a stock," "down the law"; "He is laying out the grounds"; "Ships lie at the wharf"; "Hens lay eggs";
"'The ship lay at anchor": "The hen laid an egg." It will be seen that lay always expresses transitive action, and that lie expresses rest.
"Here lies our sovereign lord, the king, Whose word no man relies on;
He never says a foolish thing,
Nor ever does a wise one."

- Written on the bedehamber door of Charles II. by the Earl of Pochester.

Learn. This rerb was long ago used as a synonym of teach, but in this sense it is now obsolete. To teach is to give instruction; to learn is to take instruction. "I will learn, if you will teach me." Sec Teach.

Leave. There are grammarians who insist that this rerl, shonld not be used withont an object, as, for example, it is used in such sentences as "When do you leave?" "I leave to-morrow." The object of the verl)-home, town, or whatcrer it may be-is, of course, understool; but this, say these gentlemen, is not permissible. On this point opinions will, I think, differ; they will, however, not differ with regard to the vulgarity of 1 sing leare in the sense of let; thus, "Leare me be"; "Loure it alone": "Leave her be-don't bother her."; "Leare me see it."

Teend. See Loañ.
Jengthy. This word is of comparatively recent origin, and, though it is said to be an Americanism, it is a gool dleal nsed in England. The most eareful writers, however, both here and elsewhere, much prefer the word long: "a long discussion," "a lon!/ diseaurse," ete.

Leniency. Mr. Gould ealls this word and lenience "two philological abortions." Lenity is undoulstedly the proper word to use, though both Webster and Worcester du recugnize lenicury and lenience.

Less. This word is much used instead of fewer. Less relates to quantity; fewer to number. Instead of, "There were not less than twenty persons present," we should say, "There were not fewer than twenty persons present."

Lesser. This form of the comparative of little is accounted a corruption of less. It may, however, be used instead of less with propriety in verse, and also, in some cases, in prose. We may say, for example, "Of two evils choose the less," or "the lesser." The latter form, in sentences like this, is the more euphonions.

Liable. Richard Grant White, in inveighing against the misuse of this word, cites the example of a member from a rural district, who called out to a man whom he met in the village, where he was in the habit of making lintle purchases: "I say, mister, kin yer tell me whar I'd be li'ble to find some beans?" See, also, Apt.

Lie. See Lay.
Like-As. Both these words express similarity ; like (adjective) comparing things, as (adverb) comparing action, existence, or quality. Like is followed by an object only, and does not admit of a verb in the same construction. As must be followed by a verb expressed or understood. We say, "He looks like his brother," or "He looks as his brother looks." "Do as I do," not "like I do." "You must speak as James does," not "like James dues." "He died as he haa lived, like a dog." "It is as blue as indigo"; i.e., "as indigo is."

Like, To. See Love.
Likely. See Apt.
Lit. This form of the past participle of the verb to lighr is now obsolete. "Have you lighted the fire?" "The gas is lighted." Het for heated is a similar, but much greater, vulgarism.

Loan-Lend. There are those who contend that there is no such verb as to loan, although it has been found in our literature for more than three hundred years. Whether there is properly such a verb or not, it is quite certain that it is only those having a vulgar penchant for big words who will prefer it to its synonym lend. Better far to say "Lend ine your umbrella" than "Loan me your umbrella."

Locate-Settle. The use of the verb to locate in the sense of to settle is said to be an Americanism. Althongh the dictionaries recognize to locate as a neuter verb, as such it is marked "rarely used," and, in the sense of to settle, it is among the vulgarisms that careful speakers and writers are studious to avoid. A man settles, not locates, in Nebraska. "Where do you intend to settle?" not locate. Sce, also, SETTLE.

Loggerheads. "In the mean time France is at loggerheads internally."-"New York Herald," April 29, 1881. Loggerheads internally?!

Looks beautifully. It is sometimes interesting to note the difference between vulgar bad grammar and genteel bad grammar, or, more properly, between non-painstaking and painstaking bad grammar. The former uses, for example, adjectives instead of adverbs; the latter uses adverbs instead of adjectives. The former says, "This bonnet is trimmed shocking"; the latter says, "This bonnet looks shockingly." In the first sentence the epithet qualifies the verb is trimmed, and consequently should have its adverbial form-shockingly; in the second sentence the epithet qualifies the appearancea noun-of the bonnet, and consequently should have its adjectival form-shocking. The second sentence means to say, "This bonnet presents a shocking appearance." The bonnet certainly does not really look; it is looked at, and to the looker its appearance is shocking. So we say, in like manner, of a
person, that he or she looks sweet, or charming, or beautiful, or handsome, or horrid, or gracejul, or timid, and so on, always using an adjective. "Miss Coghlan, as Lady Teazle, looked churmingly." The grammar of the "New York Herald" would not have been any more incorrect if it had said that Miss Coghlan looked gladly, or sadly, or madly, or delightedly, or pleasedly. A person may look sick or sickly, but in both cases the qualifying word is an adjective. The verbs to smell, to feel, to sound, and to appear are also found in sentences in which the qualifying word must be an adjective and not an adverb. We say, for example, "The rose smells sweet"; "The butter smells good, or bad, or fresh"; "I feel glad, or sal, or bal, or despondent, or annoyed, or nervous"; "This construction sounds harsh"; "How delightful the country appears!"

On the other hand, to look, to feel, to smell, to sound, and to uppear are found in sentences where the qualifying word must be an adverb; thius, "He feels his loss keenly"; "The king looked graciously on her"; "I smell it faintly." We might also say, "He feels sad [adjective], because he feels his loss keenly" (adverb); "He appears well" (adverb).

The expression, "She seemed confusedly, or timidly," is not a whit more incorrect than "She looked beautifully, or charmingly." See Adjectives.

Love-Like. Men who are at all careful in the selection of language to express their thoughts, and have not an undue leaning toward the superlative, love few things: their wives, their sweethearts, their kinsmen, truth, justice, and their country. Women, on the contrary, as a rule, love a multitude of things, and, among their loves, the thing they perhaps love most is-taffy.

Luggage-Baggage. The former of these words is generally userl in England, the latter in America.

Lunch. This word, when used as a substantive, may at the best be accounted an inelegant abbreviation of luncheon. The dictionaries barely recognize it. The proper phraseology to use is, "Have you lunched?" or, "Have you had your luncheon?" or, belter, "Have you had huncheon?" as we may" in most cases presuppose that the persou addressed wonld hardly take anybody's else luneheon.

Luxurious-Luxuriant. The line is drawn mnch more sharply between these two words now than it was formerly. Luxurions was once used, to some extent at least, in the sense of rank grouth, but now all eareful writers and speakers use it in the sense of indulying or delighting in luxury. We talk of a luxurious table, a luxurious liver, luxurious ease, luxurious freedom. Luxuriant, on the other hand, is restricted to the sense of rank, or excessire, growth or proluction; thns, luxuriant weeds, luxuriant foliage or branches, luxurient growth.
"Prune the luxuriant, the unconth refine, But show no mercy to an empty line. "-Pope.
Mad. Professor Richard A. Proctor, in a recent number of "The Gentieman's Magazine," says: "The word marl in America seems nearly always to mean anyry. For mad, as we use the word, Americans say cruzy. Herein they have manifestly impaired the language." Have they?
"Now, in faith, Gratiano,
You give your wife too unkind a cause of grief ; An 'twere to me, I would be mad at it."

> -"Merchant of Venice."
"And being exeeedingly mad against them, I persecuted them even unto strange eities."-Acts xxvi, 2.

Make a visit. The phrase "make a visit," according to Dr. Hall, wh itever it once was, is no longer English.

Male. Sue Female.

Marry. There has been some discussion, at one time and another, with regard to the use of this word. Is John Jones married to Sally Brown or with Sally Brown, or are they married to each other? Inasmuch as the woman loses her name in that of the man to whom she is wedded, and becomes a member of his family, not he of hers-inasmuch as, with few exceptions, it is her life that is merged in his-it would seem that, properly, Sally Brown is married to John Jones, and that this would be the proper way to make the announcement of their having been wedded, and not John Jones to Sally Brown.

There is also a difference of opinion as to whether the active or the passive form is preferable in referring to a person's wedded state. In speaking definitely of the act of marriage, the passive form is necessarily used with reference to either spouse. "John Jones was married to Sally Brown on Dec. 1, 1881 "; not, "John Jones married Sally Brown" on such a date, for (unless they were Quakers) some third person married him to her and her to him. But, in speaking indefinitely of the fact of marriage, the active form is a matter of course. "Whom did John Jones marry?" "He married Sally Brown." "John Jones, when he had sown his wild oats, married [married himself, as the French say] and settlerl down." Got married is a vulgarism.

May. In the sense of can, may, in a negative clause, has become obsolete. "Though we may say a horse, we may not say a ox." The first may here is permissible; not so, however, the second, which should be can.

Meat. At table, we ask for and offer beef, mutton, veal, steak, turkey, duck, etc., and do not ask for nor offer mea'. which, to say the least, is inelegant. "Will you have [not. take] another piece of beef [not, of the beef]?" not, "Will you have another niece of ment '".

Memorandum. The plural is memoranda, except when the singular means a book; then the plural is memorandums.

Mere. This word is not unfrequently misplaced, and sometimes, as in the following sentence, in consequence of being misplaced, it is changed to an adverb: "It is true of men as of God, that words merely meet with no response." What the writer evidently intended to say is, that mere words meet with no response.

Metaphor. An implied comparison is called a metaphor; it is a more terse form of expression than a simile. Take, for example, this sentence from Spenser's "Philosophy of Style": "As, in passing through the crystal, beams of white light are decomposed into the colors of the rainbow ; so, in traversing the soul of the poet, the colorless rays of truth are transformed into brightly-tinted poetry." Expressed in metaphors, this becomes: "The white light of truth, in traversing the many-sided, transparent soul of the poet, is refracted into iris-hucd poetry."

Worcuster's definition of a metaphor is: "A figure of speech founded on the resemblanee which one object is supposed to bear, in some respect, to another, or a figure by which a word is transferred from a subject to which it properly belongs to another, in such a manuer that a comparison is implied, though not formally expressed; a comparison or imile comprised in a word; as, 'Thy word is a lamp to my cet.'" A metaphor differs from a simile in being expressed withoat any sign of comparison; thus, "the silver moon" is a metaphor; "the moon is bright as silver" is a simile. Examples:
"But look, the morn, in russet mantle clad,
Walks o'er the dew of yon high eastern hill."
"Canst thou not minister to a mind diseased-
Pluck from the memory a rooted sorrow?"

## "At length Erasinus

Stemmed the wild torrent of a barbarous age, And drove those holy Vandals off the stage.'
"Censure is the tax a man pays to the public for being eminent."

Metonymy. The rhetorical figure that puts the effect for the cause, the cause for the effect, the container for the thing contained, the sign, or symbol, for the thing signified, or the instrument for the agent, is called metonymy.
"One very common species of metonymy is, when the badge is put for the office. Thiss we say the miter for the priesthood; the crown for royalty; for military occupation we say the sword; and for the literary professions, those especially of theology, law, and physic, the common expression is the goon."-Campbell.

Dr. Quackenbos, in his "Course of Composition and Rhetoric," says: "Metonymy is the exchange of names between things related. It is founded, not on resemblance, but on the relation of, 1, Cause and effect; as, 'They have Moses and the prophets,' i. e., their writings; 'Gray hairs should be respected,' i.e., old age. 2. Progenitor and posterity; as, 'Hear, O Israel !’ i. e., descendants of Israel. 3. Subject and attribute ; as, 'Youth and beauty shall be laid in dust,' i.e., the young and beautiful. 4. Place and inhabitant; as, 'What land is so barbarous as to allow this injustice?' i.e., what people. 5. Container and thing contained; as, 'Our ships next opened fire,' i.e., our sailors. 6. Sign and thing signified; as, 'The scepter shall not depart from Judah,' i.e., kingly power. 6. Material and thing made of it; as, 'His steel gleamed on high,' i.e., his sword."
"Petitions having proved unsuccessful, it was determined to approach the throne more boldly."

Midst, The. See In our midst.

Mind-Capricious. "Lord Salisbury's mind is capri rious."-"Tribune," April 3, 1881. See Eqיanimity of Mind.

Misplaced Clauses. In writing and speaking, it is as important to give each clause its proper place as it is to place the words properly. The following are a few instances of misplaced clauses and adjuncts: "All these circumstances brought close to us a state of things which we never thought to have witnessed [to witness] in peaceful England. In the sister island, indeed, we had rearl of such horrors, but now they were brought home to our very household hearth."-Swift. Better: "We had read, inileen, of such horrors occurring in the sister island," etc.
"The savage people in many places in America, except the goverument of families, have no government at all, and live at this day in that savage mamer as I have said before." -Hobbes. Better: "Ihe savage people . . . in America have no government at all, except the govermment of familics," etc.
"I shall have a comedy for you, in a season or two at farthest, that I believe will be worth your acceptance."Goldsmith. Bettered: "In a season or two at furthest, I shall have a comedy for you that I believe will be worth your acceptance.'

Among the following examples of the wrong placing of words and clanses, there are some that are as amnsing as they are instructive: "This orthography is regarded as normal in Englend." What the writer intended was, "in England ces normal"-a very different thought. "The Normal School is a commodious building capahle of accommodating three hundred students four stories high." "Holsekefpri- - A highly respectable middle-aged l'erson who has been filling the above Gituation with a gentleman for upwards of eleven
years and who is now deceased is anxious to meet a similar one." "To Piano-Forte Makers.-A lady keeping a firstclass school requiring a good piano, is desirous of receiving a danghter of the above in exchange for the same." "The Moor, seizing a bolster boiling over with rage and jealousy, smothers her." "The Dying Zouave the most wonder:ul mechanical representation ever seen of the last breath of life being shot in the breast and life's blood leaving the wound." "Mr. T-presents his compliments to Mr. H—, and I have got a hat that is not his, and, if he have a hat that is not yours, no doubt they are the expectant ones." See Only.

Misplaced Words. "Of all the faults to be found in writing," says Cobbett, "this is one of the most common, and perhaps it leads to the greatest number of misconceptions. All the words may be the proper words to be used upon the occasion, and yet, by a misplacing of a part of them, the meaning may be wholly destroyed; and even made to be the contrary of what it ought to be."
"I asked the question with no other intention than to set the gentleman free from the necessity of silence, and to give him an opportunity of mingling on equal terms with a polite assembly from which, however uneasy, he could not then escape, by a kind introduction of the only subject on which I believed him to be able to speak with propriety."-Dr. Johnson.
"This," says Cobbett, "is a very bad sentence altogether. 'However uneasy' applies to a 18 smbly and not to gentleman. Only observe how easily this might have been avoided. 'From which he, however uneasy, could not then escape.' After this we have, 'he could not then escape, by a kind introduction.' We know what is meant; but the Doctor, with all his commas, leaves the sentence confused. Let us see whether we can not make it clear. 'I asked the question with no
other intention than, by a kind introduction of the only subject on which I believed him to be able to speak with propriety, to set the gentleman free from the necessity of silence, and to give him an opportunity of mingling on equal terms with a polite assembly from which he, however uneasy, could not then escape.'"
"Reason is the glory of human nature, and one of the chicf eminences whereby we are raised above our fellowcreatures, the brutes, in this low rerld."-Doctor Watts' "Logic."
"I have before showed an error," Cobbett remarks, "in the first sentence of Doctor Watts' work. This is the second sentence. The words in this lower world are not words misplaced only; they are wholly unnecessary, and they do great harm; for they do these two things: first, they imply that there are brutes in the higher world; and, second, they excite a doubt whether we are ruised above those brutes.
"I might greatly extend the number of my extracts from these authors; but here, I trust, are enough. I had noted down about two hundrel errors in Dr. Johnson's 'Lives of the Poets' ; but, afterward perceiving that he had revised and corrected 'The Rambler' with extraordinary care, I chose to make my extracts from that work rather than from the 'Lives of the Poets.' "

The position of the adverb should be as near as possible to the word it qualifies. . Sometimes we place it before the auxiliary and sometimes after it, according to the thought we wish to express. The difference between "The fish should properly be broiled" and "The fish should be properly broiled" is apparent at a glance. "The colon may be properly used in the following cases": should be, "may properly be used." "This mode of expression rather suits a familiar than a grave style": should be, "suits a familiar rather than a
grave style." "It is a frequent error in the writin! $/ 3$ even of some good authors": should be, "in the writings of even some good authors." "Both the circumstances of contingency aud futurity are necessary": should be, "The circumstances of contingency and futurity are both necessary." "He has made charges . . . which he has failed utterly to sustain." "New York Tribune." Here it is uncertain at first sight which verb the adverb is intended to qualify; but the nature of the case makes it probable that the writer meant "has utterly failed to sustain."

Mistaken. "If I am not mistaken, you are in the wrong": say, "If I mistale not." "I tell you, you are mistaken." Here mistaken means, "You are wrong; you do not understand"; but it might be taken to mean, "I mistake you." For "you are mistaken," say, "you mistake." If, as Horace and Professor Davidson aver, usage in language makes right, then the grammarians ought long ago to have invented some theory upon which the locution you are mistaken could be defended. Until they do invent such a theory, it will be better to say you mistake, he mistakes, and so on; or you are, or he is-as the case may be-in error.

- More perfect. Such expressions as, 'the more perfect of the two," "the most perfect thing of the kind I have ever seen," "the most complete cooking-stove ever invented," and the like, can not be defended logically, as nothing can be more perfect than perfection, or more complete than completeness. Still such phrases are, and probably will continue to be, used by good writers.

Most. "Everybody abuses this word," says Mr. Gould in his "Good English"; and then, in another paragraph, he adds: "If a man would cross out most wherever he can find it in any book in the English language, he would in almost every instance improve the style of the book." That this
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statement may appear within bounds, he gives many exarples from good authors, sine of which we the following: "a most profomm silence"; "a most just ilea"; "a most complete orator"; "this was most extraordinary"; "an oljjeet of most perfect esteem"; "a most extensive erulition"; "he gave it most liberally away"; "it is, most assuredly, not because I value his services least"; "would most serionsly affect us"; "that such a system must most widely and most powerfully," etc.; "it is most effectually nailed to the counter"; "it is most undeniable that," etc.

This word is much, and very erroneously, used for almost. "He comes here most every day." The user of such a sentence as this means to say that he comes nearly every day, but he really says, if he says anything, that he comes more every day than he rloes every night. In such sentences almost, and not most, is the word to use.

Mutual. This word is much misused in the phrase "our mutual friend." Macanlay says: "Mutual friend is a low vulgarism for common friend." Mutual properly relates to two persons, and implies reciprocity of sentiment-sentiment, be it what it may, recoivel and returned. Thus, we say properly, "John and J.umes have a mutucl affection, or a muthal aversion," i.e., they like or dislike each other; or, "John and James are mutualiy dependent," i. e., they ate dependent on each other. In using the word mutual, care should be taken not to add the words for each other or on euch other, the thought conveyed by these words being alrealy expressed in the word mutual. "Dependent on each other" is the exact equivalent of "mutually dependent"; hence, saying that Johu and James are mutually dependent on each other is as rednudant in form as it would be to say that the editurs of "The Great Vilifier" are the biggest, greatest mudslingers in America.

Myself. This form of the personal pronoun is properly used in the nominative case only where increased emphasis is aimed at.
"I had as lief not be a live to be
In awe of such a thing as I myself."
"I will do it myself," "I saw it myself." It is, therefore, incorrect to say, "Mrs. Browu and myself were both very much pleased."

Name. This word is sometimes improperly used for mention; thus, "I never named the matter to any one": should be, "I never mentioned the matter to any one."

Neighborhood. See Vicinity.
Neither. See Either.
Neither-Nor. "He would neither give wine, nor oil, nor money."-Thackeray. The conjunction should be placed before the excluded object; "neither give" implies neither some other verb, a meaning not intended. Re-arrange thus, taking all the common parts of the contracted sentences together: "He would give neither wine, nor oil, nor money." So, "She can neither help her beauty, nor her courage, nor her cruelty" (Thackeray), should be, "She can help neither," etc. "He had neither time to intercept nor to stop her" (Scott), should be, "He had time neither to intercept," etc. "Some neither can for wits nor critics pass" (Pope), should be, "Some can neither for wits nor critics pass."

Never. Grammarians differ with regard to the correct ness of using never in such sentences as, "He is in error, though never so wise," "Charm he never so wisely." In sentences like these, to say the least, it is better, in common with the great majority of writers, to use ever.

New. This adjective is often misplaced. "He has a new suit of clothes and a new pair of gloves." It is not the suit and the prir that are new, but the clothes and the gloves.

Nice. Archdeacon Hare remarks of the use, or rather misuse, of this word: "That stupid vulgarism by which we use the word nice to denote almost every mode of approbation, for almost every variety of quality, and, from sheer poverty of thought, or fear of saying anything definite, wrap up everything indiscriminately in this characterless domino, speaking at the same breath of a nice cheese-cake, a nice tragedy, a nice sermon, a nice day, a nice country, as if a universal deluge of niaiserie-for nice seems originally to have been only niais-had whelmed the whole island." Nice is as good a word as any other in its place, but its place is not everywhere. We talk very properly about a nice distinction, a nice discrimination, a nice calculation, a nice point, and about a person's being nice, and over-nice, and the like; but we certainly ought not to talk about "Othello's" being a nice tragedly, about Sạlvini's being a nice actor, or New York bay's bcing a nice harbor.*

Nicely. The very quintessence of popinjay vulgarity is reached when nicely is made to do service for well, in this wise: "How do you do?" "Nicely." "How are you?" "Nicely."

No. This word of negation is responded to by nor in sentences like this: "Let your meaning be obscure, and no grace of diction nor any music of well-turned sentences will make amends."
"Whether he is there or no." Supply the ellipsis, and we have, "Whether he is there or no there." Clearly, the word to use in sentences like this is not no, but not. And yet our best writers sometimes inadvertently use no with whether.

[^13]Example: "But perhaps some people are quite indifferent whether or no it is said," etc.-Richard Grant White, in "Words and Their Uses," p. 81. Supply the ellipsis, and we have, "said or no said." In a little book entitled "Live and Learn," I find, "No less than fifty persons were there; No fewer," etc. In correcting one mistake, the writer himself makes one. It should be, "Not fewer," etc. If we ask, "There were fifty persons there, were there or were there not?" the reply clearly would be, "There were not fewer than fifty." "There was no one of them who would not have been proud," etc., shove, "There was not one of them."

Not. The correlative of not, when it stands in the first member of a sentence, is nor" or neither. "Not for thy ivory nor thy gold will I unbind thy chain." "I will not do it, neither shall you."

The wrong placing of not often gives rise to an imperfect negation; thus, "John and James were not there," means that John and James were not there in company. It does not exchude the preseuce of one of them. The negative should precede in this case: "Neither John nor James was there." "Our company was not present" (as a company, but some of us might have been), should be, "No member of our company was present.'

Not-but only. "Errors frequently arise.in the use of not-but only, io understand which we must attend to the force of the whole expression. 'He did not pretend to extirpate French music, but only to cultivate and cirilize it.' Here the not is obviously misplaced. 'He pretended, or professed, not to extirpate.' "-Bain.

Notorious. Thongh this worl can not be properly used in any but a bad sense, we sometimes see it used instead of noicel, which may be used in either a grood or a bad sense. Actorious characters are always persons to be shumned,
whereas noted characters may or may not be persons to be shunned.
"This is the tax a man must pay for his virtnes-they hold up a torch to his vices and render those frailties notori:थs in him which would pass without observation in another." -Lacou.

Novice. See Amateur.
Number. It is not an uncommon thing for a pronoun in the plural number to be used in connection with an antecedent in the singular. At present, the following notice may be seen in some of our Broadway omnibuses: "Fifty dollars reward for the conviction of any person caught collecting or keeping fares given to them to deposit in the box." Should be, to him. "A person may be very uear-sighted if they can not recognize an acquaintance ten feet off." Shonld be, if he.

The verb to be is often used in the singular instead of in the piural ; thus, "There is several reasons why it would be better": say, ure. "How many is there?" say, are. "There is four": say, are. "Was there many?" say, were. "No matter how many there was": say, were.

A verb should agree in number with its subject, and not with its predicate. We say, for example, "Death is the wages of sin," and "The wages of $\sin$ are death."
"When singular nouns connected by and are preceded by each, every, or no, the verb must be singular." We say, for example," E'ach boy and each girl studies." "Every leaf, and every twig, and every drop of water teems with life." "No book and no paper was arranged."

Eacl being singular, a pronoun or verb to agree with it must also be singular; thus, "Let them depend each on his own exertions"; "Each city has its peculiar privileges"; "Everybodly has a right to look after his own interest."

Errors are often the result of not repeating the verb;
thus, "Its significance is as varied as the passions"; correctly, "as are the passions." "The words are as incapable of analysis as the thing signified"; correctly, "as is the thing signified."

Observe. The dictionaries authorize the use of this word as a synonym of say and remark; as, for example, "What did you observe?" for "What did you say, or remark?" In this sense, however, it is better to leave observe to the exclusive use of those who delight in being fine.

O'clock. "It is a quarter to ten o'clock." What does this statement mean, literally? We understand by it that it lacks a quarter of ten, i.e., of being ten; but it does not really mean that. Inasmuch as to means toward, it really means a quarter after nine. We should say, then, a quarter of, which means, literally, a quarter out of ten.

Of all others. "The vice of covetousness, of all others, enters deepest into the soul." This sentence says that covetousness is one of the other vices. A thing can not be another thing, nor can it be one of a number of other things. The sentence should be, "Of all the vices, covetousness enters deepest into the soul"; or, "The vice of covetousness, of all the vices, enters," etc.; or, "The vice of covetonsness, above all others, enters," etc.

Of any. This phrase is often used when of all is meant; thus, "This is the largest of any I have seen." should be, " the largest of all," etc.

Off of. In such sentences as, "Give me a yard off of this piece of calico," either the off or the of is vulgarly superfluous. The sentence would be correct with either one, but not with both of them. "The apples fell off of the tree": read, "fell off the tree."

Often. This adverb is properly compared by changing its termil.ation: often, of tener, oftenest. Why some writers
use more and most to compare it, it is not easy to se., this mode of comparing it is certainly not euphonions.

Oh O. It is only the most eareful writers who use these two interjections with proper discrimination. The distinction between them is said to be morlern. Oh is simply an exelamation, and should always be followed by some mark of punctuation, usually by an exclamation point. "Oh! you are come at last." "Oh, help him, you sweet heavens!" "Oh, woe is me!" "Oh ! I die, Horatio." $O$, in addition to being an exclamation, denotes a calling to or adjuration; thus, "Hear, $O$ heavens, and give ear, $O$ earth !" "O grave, where is thy victory!" "O heavenly powers, restore him!" "O shame! where is thy blush?"

Older-Elder. "He is the older man of the two, and the oldest in the neighborhood." "He is the elder of the two sons, and the eldest of the family." "The elder son is heir to the estate; he is older than his brother by ten years."

On to. We gret on a chair, on an omnibus, on a stump, and on a spree, and not on to.

One. Certain pronouns of demoustrative signification are called indefinite becanse they refer to no particular subjeet. This is one of them. If we were putting a supposition by way of argunent or illustration, we might say, "Suppose $I$ were to luse my way in a woul"; or, "Suppose you were to lose your way in a wood"; or, "Suppose one were to lose one's way in a wood." All these forms are used, but, as a rule, the last is to be preferred. The first verges on egotism, and the second makes free with another's person, whereas the third is indifierent. "If one's honesty were impeached, what should one do?" is more courtly than to take either one's self or the person addressed for the example.

One shoulil be followed by one, and not by he. "The better acquainted one is with any kind of rhetorical trick, the
less liable he is to be misled by it." Should be, "the less liable one is to be misled by it."

In the phrase, "any of the little ones," one is the numeral employed in the manner of a pronoun, by indicating something that has gone before, or, perhaps, has to come after. "I like peaches, but I must have a ripe one, or ripe ones."

Professor Bain says, in his "Composition Grammar":
"This pronoun continually lands writers in difficulties. English idiom requires that, when the pronoun has to be again referred to, it should be used itself a second time. The correct usage is shown by Pope: 'One may be ashamed to consume half one's days in bringing sense and rhyme together.' It would be against idiom to say 'half his days.'
"Still, the repetition of the pronoun is often felt to be heavy, and writers have recourse to various substitutions. Even an ear accustomed to the idiom can scarcely accept with unmixed pleasure this instance from Browning :

## " ' Alack ! one lies onesely

Even in the stating that one's end was truth, Truth only, if one states so much in words.'
"The representative ' $I$ ' or 'we' occasionally acts the part of 'one.' The following sentence presents a curious alternation of 'we' with 'one'-possibly not accidental (George Eliot): 'It's a desperately vexatious thing that, after all one's reflections and quiet determinations, we should be ruled by moods that one can't calculate on beforehand.' By the use of 'we' here, a more pointed reference is suggested, while the vagueness actuaily remains.
" Fenimore Cooper, like Scott, is not very particular; an example may be quoted: ' Modesty is a poor man's wealth; hut, as we grow substantial in the world, patroon, one can afford to begin to speak truth of himself as well as of his neighbour.' Were Cooper a careful writer, we might per-
suade ourselves that he chose 'we' and 'one' with a purpose : 'we' inight indicate that the speaker had himself and the patroon directly in his eye, although at the same time he wanted to put it generally; and 'one' might hint that moclesty succeeded in getting the better of him. But 'himself' and 'his' would alone show that such speculations are too .efined for the occasion.
'"The form 'a man,' which was at one time common, seems to be reviving. In 'Adam Bele' we have, 'A man can never do anything at variance with his own nature.' We might substitute 'one.'
" 'Men' was more frequent in good writing formerly than now. 'Neither do men light a candle, and put it under a bushel.' 'Do men gather grapes of thorns?' Hume is fond of expressing a gencral subject by 'men.'
"'Small bircls are much more exposed to the cold than large ones.' This usage is hardly 'indefinite'; and it neculs no further exemplification."

Only. This word, when used as an adjective, is more frequently misplaced than any other word in the language. Indecd, I am confilent that it is not correctly placed half the time, either in conversation or in writing. Thus, "In its pages, papers of sterling merit [only] will only appoar" (Miss Braddon); "Things are getting dull down in Texas; they only shot [only] three men duwn there last week"; "I have only got [only] three." Unly is sometimes improperly used for ercept or unless; thas, "The trains will not stop only when the bell rings." The meaning here is clearly "except when the bell rings."

Dr. Bain, in his " Higher English Grammar," speaking of the order of words, says :

[^14]"According to the position of only, the same words may be made to express very different meanings.
"'He only lived for their sakes.' Here only must be hcl! as qualifying 'lived for their sakes,' the emphasis being on lived, the word immediately adjoining. The meaning then is 'he lived,' but did not work, did not die, did not do any othes thing for their sakes.
"' He lived only for their sakes.' Only now qualifies 'for their sakes,' and the sentence means he lived for this one reason, namely, for their sakes, and not for any other reason.
"'He lived for their sakes only.' The force of the worl when placed at the end is peculiar. Then it often has a diminutive or disparaging signification. 'He lived for their sakes,' and not for any more worthy reason. 'He gave sixpence only,' is an insinuation that more was expected.
"By the use of alone, instead of only, other meanings are expressed. 'He alone lived for their sakes'; that is, he, and nobody else, did so. 'He lived for their sakes alone,' or, 'for the sake of them alone'; that is, not for the sake of any other persons. 'It was alone by the help of the Coufederates that any such design could be carried out.' Better only.
" 'When men grow virtuous in their old age, they only make a sacrifice to God of the devil's leavings. '-Pope. Hcre only s rightly placed. 'Think only of the past as its remembrance gives you pleasure,' should be, 'think of the past, only as its remembrance,' etc. 'As he did not leave his name, it was only known that a gentleman had called on business' : it was known only. 'I can only refute the accusation by laying before you the whole': this would mean, 'the only thing I am able to do is to refute ; I may not retaliate, or let it drop, I must refute it.' 'The negroes are to appear at church only in boots'; that is, when the negroes go to church they are to have no clothing hnt bonts. 'The negroes are to appear only
at church in boots' night mean that they are not to appear anywhere but at church, whether in boots or ont of them. The proper arrangement would be to connect the adverlial adjunct, in boots, with its verb, appear, and to make only qualify at church and no more: 'the negroes are to appear in boots only at church.'"

It thus appears very plain that we should look well to our onlys.

Ought-Should. These two words, though they both imply obligation, should not be used indiscriminately. Ought is the stronger term; what we ought to do, we are morally bound to do. We ought to be truthful and honest, and should be respectful to our elders and kind to our inferiors.

Overflown. Flown is the past participle of to fly, and flowed of to flow. As, therefore, a river does not $f l y$ over its banks, but flows over them, we should say of it that it has overflowed, and not that it has overflown.

Overly. This word is now used only by the unschooled. Owing. See Due.
Pants. This abbreviation is not used by those who are careful in the choice of words. The purist does not use the word pantaloons even, but trousers. Pants are worn by gents who eat lunches and open wine, and trouscrs are worn by gentlemen who eat luncheons and order wine.

Paraphernalia. This is a law term. In Roman law, it meant the goods which a woman brought to her husband besides her dowry. In English law, it means the goods which a woman is allowed to have after the death of her husband, besides her dower, consisting of her apparel and ornaments suitable to her rank. When used in speaking of the affairs of every-day life, it is generally misused.

Parlor. This word, in the sense of drawing-room, according to Dr. Hall, except in the United States and some of the English colonies, is obsolete.

Partake. This is a very fine word to use for eat; just the word for young women who hobble on French heels.

Partially-Partly. "It is only partially done." This use of the adverb particlly is sanctioned by high authority, but that does not make it correct. A thing done in part is partly, not partially, done.

Participles. When the present participle is used substantively, in sentences like the following, it is preceded by the definite article and followed by the preposition of. The omitting of the preposition is a common error. Thus, "Or, it is the drawing a conclusion which was before either unknown or dark," should be, "the drawing of a conclusion." "Prompted by the most extreme vanity, he persisted in the writing bad verses," should̀ be, "in writing bad verses," or "in the writing of bad verses." "There is a misuse of the article $a$ which is very common. It is the using it before the word most."-Moon, Most writers would have said. "the using of it." Mr. Moon argues for his construction.

Particles. "Nothing but study of the best writers and practice in composition will enable us to decide what are the prepositions and conjunctions that ought to go with certain verbs. The following examples illustrate some common blunders :
"' 'It was characterized with eloquence': read, 'by.'
"'A testimonial of the merits of his grammar': read, 'to.'
"' It was an example of the love to form comparisons': rearl, 'of forming.'
"' Repetition is always to be preferred hefore obscurity': read, 'to.'
"'He made an effort for meeting them': read, 'to meet.'
"' They have no other object but to come': read, 'other object than,' or omit 'other.'
" Two verbs are not unfrequently followed hy a single preposition, which accords with one only; e.g., "This duty is repirated and inculcated upon the reader.' 'Repeat upon' is nonsense; we must read 'is repcated to and inculcated upon.' "-Nichol's "English Composition," p. 39. We often see for used with the substantive sympathy; the best practice, however, uses with; thus, "Words can not express the deep sympathy I feel with you."-Qucen Victoria.

Party. This is a very gnod word in its place, but it is rery much out of its place when used-as it often is by the vulgar-where good taste would use the word person.

Patronize. This word and its derivatives would be mueh less used by the American tradesman than they are, if he were better acquainted with their true meaning. Then he would solicit his neighbors' custom, not their patronage. A man can have no patrons without incurring obligations without becoming a protégé; while a man may have customers innumerable, and, instead of placing himself under obligations to them, he may place them under obligations to him. l'rinces are the patrons of those tradesmen whom they allow to call themselves their purveyors; as, "John Smith, Haberdasher to H. R. H. the Prince of Wales." Here the Prince fatronizes John Smith.

Pell-mell. This adverb means mixed or mingled together; as, "Men, horses, chariots, crowded pell-mell." It can not properly be applied to an individual. To say, for example, "He rushed pell-mell down the stairs," is as incorrect as it would be to say, "He rushed down the stairs mixed together."

Per. This Latin preposition is a good deal nsed in Eing. lish, as, for example, is such phrases as per day, per man, per pound, per ton, and so on. In all such cases it is better to use plain English, and say, a day, a man, apound, $a$ ton, etc.

Per is correct before Latin nouns only ; as, per annum, per dicm, per cent., etc.

Perform. "She performs on the piano beautifully." In how much better taste it is to say simply, "She plays the piano well," or, more superlatively, "exceedingly well," or "arlmirably"! If we talk about performing on musical instruments, to be consistent, we should call those who perform, piano-performers, cornet-performers, violin-performers, and so on.

Perpetually. This word is sometimes mlsused for continually. Dr. William Mathews, iu his "Words, their Use and Abuse," says: "The Irish are perpetually using shall for will." Perpetual means never ceasing, continuing without intermission, uninterrupted; while continual means that which is constantly renewed and recurring with perhaps frequent stops and interruptions. As the Irish do something besides misuse shall, the Doctor should have said that they continually use shall for will. I might perhaps venture to intimate that perpetually is likewise misused in the following sentence, which I copy from the "Londou Queen," if I were not conscious that the mouster who can write and print such a sentence would not hesitate to cable a thunderbolt at an offender on the slightest provocation. Judge, if my fears are groundless: "But some few people contract the ugly habit of making use of these expressions unconsciously and continually, perpetually interlarding their conversation with them."

Person. Sce Party; also, Individual.
Personalty. This word does not, as some persons think, mean the articles worn on one's person. It is properly a law term, and means personal properiy. "There is but one case on record of a peer of England leaving over $\$ 7,500,000$ personalty."

Personification. That rhetorical figure which attributes sex, life, or action to inanimate objects, or ascribes to objects and brutes the acts and qualities of rational beings, is called personification or prosopopaia.
"The mountains sing together, the hills rejoice and clap their hands." "The worm, aware of his intent, harangued him thus."
"See, Winter comes to rule the varied year,
Sullen and sad with all his rising train."-Thomson.
" So saying, ber rash hand, in evil hour, Forth reaching to the fruit, she plucked, she ate I Earth felt the wound; and Nature from her seat, Sighing through all her works, gave signs of woe, That all vas lost."-Milton.
"War and Love are strange compeers.
War sheds blood, and Love sheds tears ;
War has swords, and Love has darts;
War breaks heads, and Love breaks hearts."
"Levity is often less foolish and gravity less wise than each of them appears."
"The English language, by reserving the distinction of gender for living beings that have sex, gives especial scope for personification. The highest form of personification should be used seldom, and only when justified by the presence of strong feeling."-laain.
"Knowlerlge and wisdom, far from being one,
Have ofttimes no connection. Knowledge dwells
In heads replete with thoughts of other men;
Wisdom in minds attentive to their own.
Knowledge is proud that he has learned so much;
Wisdom is humble that he knows no more."-Cowper.
Phenomenon. Plural, phenomena.
Plead. The imperfect tense and the perfect participle of
the verb to plead are both pleaded and not plead. "He pleaded not guilty." "You should have pleadel your canse with more fervor."

Plenty. In Worcester's Dictionary we tind the following note: "Plenty is much used colloquially as an arljective, in the sense of plentiful, both in this country an l in England; and this use is supported by respectable authorities, though it is condemned by varions crities. Johnson says: 'It is used barbaronsly, I think, for plentiful'; and Dr. Campbell, in his 'Philosophy of Rhetoric,' says: 'Plenty for plentiful appears to me so gross a vulgarism that I shonld not have thought it worthy of a place here if I hal not sometimes found it in works of cousiderable merit." We should say, then, that money is p'entifu!, and not that it is plenty.

Pleonasim. Redundancy or pleouasm is the use of more worls than are necessary to express the thought clearly. "They returned bacis aydain to the same city from whence they came forth": the five words in italics are redundant or pleonastic. "The different departmeuts of science and of art mutually reflect light on each other": either of the expressions in italics embodies the whole idea. "The universal opinion of all men" is a pleonastic expression often heard. "I wrote you a letter yesterday": here a letter is redundant.

Redundancy is sometiones permissible for the surer conveyance of meaning, for emphasis, and in the language of poetic embellishment.

Polite. This word is much used by persons of doubtful culture, where those of the better sort use the word kind. We accept kind, not polite, invitations; and, when any one has been obliging, we tell him that he has been kind; and, when an interviewing reporter tells us of his having met with a polite reception, we may be sure that the person by whom he has been received deserves well for his considerate kindness.
"I thank you and Mrs. Pope for my hind reception." Atterbury.

Portion. This word is often incorrectly used for part. A portion is properly a part assigned, allotted, set aside for a special purpose; a share, a division. The verb to portion means to divide, to parcel, to endow. We ask, therefore, "In what part [not, in what portion] of the country, state, county, town, or street do you live?"-or, if we prefer grandiloquence to correctness, reside. In the sentence, "A large portion of the land is untilled," the right word would be either part or proportion, according to the intention of the writer.

Posted. A word very much and very inelegantly used for informed. Such expressions as, "I will post you," "I must post myself up," "If I had been better posted," and the like, are, at the best, but one remove from slang.

Predicate. This word is often very incorrectly used in the sense of to base; as, "He predicates his opinion on insufficient data." Then we sometimes hear people talk about predicating an action upon certain information or upon somebody's statement. To predicate means primarily to speak before, and has come to be properly used in the sense of assumed or believed to be the consequence of. Examples: "Contentment is predicated of virtue"; "Good health may be predicated of a good constitution." He who is not very sure that he uses the word correctly would do better not to use it at all.

Prejudice-Prepossess. Both these words mean, to incline in one direction or the other for some reason not founded in justice; but by common consent prejudice has come to be used in an unfavorable sense, and prepossess in a favorable one. Thus, we say, "He is rrejudiced against him," and "He is prepossessed in his favor." We sometimes hear the
expression, "He is prejudiced in his favor," but this can not be accounted a good use of the word.

Prepositions. The errors made in the use of the prepositions are very numerous. "The indolent child is one who [that?] has a strong aversion from action of any sort."Graham's "English Synonymes," p. 236. The prevailing and best modern usage is in favor of to instead of from after averse and aversion, and before the object. "Clearness . . . enables the reader to see thoughts without noticing the language with which they are clothed."-Townsend's "Art of Speech." We clothe thoughts in language. "Shakespeare . . . and the Bible are . . . models for the English-speaking tongue." -Ibid. If this means models of English, then it should be of; but if it means models for English organs of speech to practice on, then it should be for; or if it means models to model English tongues after, then also it should be for. "If the resemblance is too faint, the mind is fatigued while attempting to trace the analogies." "Aristotle is in error while thus describing governments."-Ibid. Here we have two examples, not of the misuse of the preposition, but of the erroneous use of the adverb while instead of the preposition in. "For my part I can not think that Shelley's poetry, except by snatches and fragments, has the value of the good work of Wordsworth or Byron."-Matthew Arnold. Should be, "except in snatches." "Taxes with us are collected nearly [alnost] solely from real and personal estate.""Appleton's Journal." Taxes are levied on estates and collected from the owners.
"If I am not commended for the beauty of my works, I may hope to be pardoned for their brevity." Cobbett com. ments on this sentence as follows: "We may commend him for the beauty of his works, and we may pardon him for their brevity, if we deem the brevity a fault; but this is not what
he means. He means that, at any rate, he shall have the meril of brevity. 'If I am not commended for the beauty of my works, I may hope to be pardoned on account of their brevity. This is what the Doctor meant; but this would have marred a little the antithesis: it would have unsettled a little of the balance of that seesaw in which Dr. Johnson so much delighted, and which, falling into the hands of novel-writers and of members of Parliament, has, by moving unencuinbered with any of the Doctor's reason or sease, lulled so many thousands aslecp! Dr. Johnson created a race of writers and speakers. 'Mr. Speaker, that the state of the nation is very critical, all men will allow ; but that it is wholly desperate, few will believe.' When you hear or see a sentence like this, be sure that the person who speaks or writes it has been reading Dr. Johnson, or some of his imitators. But, observe, these imitators go no further than the frame of the sentences. They, in general, take care not to imitate the Doctor in knowledge and reasoning."

The rhetoricians would have us avoid such forms of expression as, "The boy went to and asked the advice of his teacher"; "I called on and had a conversation with my brother."

Very often the preposition is not repeated in a sentence, when it should be. We say properly, "He comes from Ohio or from Indiana"; or, "He comes either from Ohio or Indiana."

Prepossess. See Prejcinice.
Present-Introduce. Few errors are more common, especially among those who are always straining to be fine, than that of using present, in the social world, instead of introduce. Present means to place in the presence of a superior; introrluce, to bring to be aernainted. A person is presented at court, and on an official occasion to our l'resi-
deut; but persons who are unknown to each other are introIuced by a common acquaintance. And in these introductions, it is the younger who is introduced to the older ; the lower to the higher in place or social position; the gentleman to the lady. A lady should say, as a rule, that Mr. Blank was introduced to her, not that she was introduced to Mr. Blank.

Presumptive. This word is sometimes misused by the careless for presumptuous.

Preventive, A useless and unwarranted syllable is sometimes added to this word-preventative.

Previous. This adjective is much used in an adverbial sense; thus. "Previous to my return," etc. Until previous is recognized as an adverb, if we would speak grammatically, we must say, "Preriously to my return." "Previously to my leaving England, I called ou his lordship."

Procure. This is a word much used by people who strive to be fine. "Where did you get it ?" with them is, "Where did you procure it?"

Profanity. The extent to which some men habitually interlard their talk with oaths is disgusting even to many who, on occasion, do not themselves hesitate to give expression to their feelings in oaths portly and unctuous. If these fellows could be made to kuow how offensive to decency they make themselves, they would, perhaps, be less profane.

Promise. This word is sometimes very improperly used for assure; thus, "I promise yon I was very much astonished."

Pronouns of the First Person. "The ordinary uses of ' $I$ ' and 'we,' as the singular and plural pronouns of the first person, would appear to be above all ambiguity, uncertainty, or dispute. Yet when we consider the force of the plural 'we,' we are met with a contradiction; for, as a rule, only one person can speak at the same time to the same audience. It is only by some exceptional arranement, or
some latitude or license of expression, that several persons cau be conjoint speakers. For example, a plurality may sing together in chorus, and may join in the responses at church, or in the simultancous repetition of the Lord's Prayer or the Creed. Again, one person may be the anthorizerl spokesman in delivering a judgment or opinion held by a number of persons in common. Finally, in written compositions, the 'we' is not unsuitable, because a plurality of persons may append their names to a document.
"A speaker using 'we' muy speak for himself and one or more others; commonly he stamls forward as the representative of a class, more or less comprehensive. 'As soon as my companion and I had entered the field, we saw a man coming toward us'; 'we like our new curate'; 'you do us poets the greatest injustice'; 'we must see to the efficiency of our forces.' The widest use of the pronoun will be mentioned presently.
"' We' is used for ' $I$ ' in the decrees of persons in authority ; as when King Lear says:
' Know that we have divided In three our kingdom.'
By the fiction of plurality a veil of modesty is thrown over the assumption of vast superiority over human beings generally. Or, 'we' may be regarded as an official form whereby the speaker personally is maguified or enabled to rise to the dig. nity of the occasion.
"The editorial 'we' is to be understood on the same principle. An author using 'we' appears as if he were not alone, but sharing with other persons the responsibility of his views.
" This representative position is at its utmost stretch in the practice of using 'we' for hmnan beings generally; as in discoursing on the laws of human nature. The preacher, the novelist, or the philosopher, in dwelling upon thi peculiaritv
of our common constitution, being himself an example of what he is speaking of, associates the rest of mankind with him, and speaks collectively by means of 'we.' 'We are weak and fallible'; 'we are of yesterday'; ' we are doomed to dissolution.' 'Here have we no continuing city, but we seek one to come.'
"It is not unfrequent to have in one sentence, or in close proximity, both the editorial and the representative meaning, the effect being ambiguity and confusion. 'Let us [the author] now. consider why we [humanity generally] overrate distant good.' In such a case the author should fall back upon the singular for himself-' $I$ will now consider-.' 'We [speaker] think we [himself and hearers together] should come to the conclusion.' Say, either ' $I$ think,' or 'you would.'
"The following extract from Butler exemplifies a similar confusion : 'Suppose we [representative] are capable of happiness and of misery in degrees equally intense and extreme, yet we [rep.] are capable of the latter for a much longer time, beyond all comparison. We [chnnge of subject to a limited class] see men in the tortures of pain-. Such is our [back to representative] make that anything may become the instrument of pain and sorrow to us.' The 'we' at the commencement of the second sentence-' We see men in the tortures'could be advantageously changed to 'you,' or the passive construction could be substituted; the remaining we's wuld then be consistently representative.
"From the greater emphasis of singularity, energetic speakers and writers sometimes use ' $I$ ' as representative of mankind at large. Thus: 'The current impressions received through the senses are not voluntary in origin. What $I$ see in walking is seen because $I$ have an organ of vision.' The question of general moral obligation is forcibly stated by Paiey in the individual form, 'Why am $I$ obliged to keep my
word? It is sometimes well to confine the attention of the hearer or reader to his own relation to the matter under consideration, more especially in difficult or non-popular argument or exposition. The speaker, by using 'I,' does the action himself, or makes himself the example, the hearer being expected to put himself in the same position."-Bains "Composition Grammar."

Pronouns of the Second Person. "Anomalous usages have sprung up in connection with these pronouns. The plural form has almost wholly superseded the singular; a usage more than five centuries old.*
"The motive is courtesy. The singling out of one person for address is supposed to be a liberty or an excess of familiarity; and the effect is softened or dilnted by the fiction of taking in others. If our address is uncomplimentary, the sting is lessened by the plural form; and if the reverse, the shock to modesty is not so great. This is a refinement that was unknown to the ancient languages. The orators of Greece delighted in the strong, pointed, personal appeal implied in the singular 'thou.' In moderu German, 'thou' (du) is the address of familiarity and intimacy; while the ordinary pronoun is the curiously indirect 'they' (Sie). On solemn occasions, we may revert to 'thon.' Cato, in his meditative soliloquy on reading Plato's views on the immortality of the soul before killing himself, says: 'Plato, thou reasonest well.' So in the Commandments, 'thou' addresses to each inciividual an unavoidable appeal : 'Thou shalt not ——' But our ordi. nary means of making the personal appeal is, 'you, sir,' 'you, madam,' 'my Lord, you-_,' etc.; we reserve 'thou' for the speeial case of addressing the Deity. The application of the

[^15]motive of courtesy is here reversed; it would be irreverent to merge this vast personality in a promiscuous assemblage.
'" 'You' is not unfrequently employed, like 'we,' as a representative pronoun. The action is represented with great vividness, when the person or persons addressed may be put forward as the performers: 'There is such an echo among the old ruins and vaults, that if you stamp a little louder than ordinary, you hear the sound repeated'; 'Some practice is required to see these animals in the thick forest, even when you hear thom close by you.'
"There should not be a mixture of 'thou' and 'you' in the same passage. Thus, Thackeray (Adventures of Philip): 'So, as thy sun rises, friend, over the humble house-tops round about your home, shall you wake many and many a day to duty and labor.' So, Cooper (Water-Witch): 'Thou hast both master and mistress? You have told us of the latter, but we would know something of the former. Who is thy master ?' Shakespeare, Scott, and others might also be quoted.
"'Ye' and 'you' were at one time strictly distinguished as different cases; 'ye' was nominative, 'you' objective (dative or accusative). But the Elizabethan dramatists confounded the forms irredeemably ; and 'you' has gradually ousted 'ye' from ordinary use. ' Ye ' is restricted to the expression of strong feeling, and in this employment occurs chiefly in the poets."-Bain's "Composition Grammar."

Proof. This word is much and very improperly used for evidence, which is only the medium of proof, proof being the effect of evidence. "What evidence have you to offer in proof of the truth of your statement?" See also Evidence.

Propose-Purpose. Writers and speakers often fail to discriminate properly between the respective neeanings of these two rerbs. Propose, correctly used, means, to put for-
ward or to offer for the consideration of others; hence, $a$ propnsal is a scheme or design offered for aeceptance or consideration, a proposition. Purpose means, to inteud, to design, to resolve; hence, a purpose is an intention, an aim, that which one sets before one's self. Examples: "What do you purpose doing in the matter?" "What do you propose that we slall do in the matter?" "I will do" means "I purpose doing, or to do." "I purpose to write a history of England from the accession of King James the Sccond down to a time which is within the memory of men still diving." Macaulay. It will be observed that Macaulay says, "I purpose to write," and not, "I purpose wriling," using the verb in the infinitive rather than in the participial form. "On which he purposed to mount one of his little guns." See Infinitive.

Proposition. This word is often used when proposal would be better, for the reason that proposal has but one meaning, and is shorter by one syllable. "He demonstrated the proposition of Euclid, and rejected the proposal of his iricud."

Prosaist. Dr. Hall is of opinion that this is a word we shall do well to encourage. It is used by good writers.

Proven. This form for the past participle of the verb in prove is said to be a Scotticism. It is not used by careful writers and spcakers. The correct form is proved.

Providing. The present participle of the verb to provide is sometimes vulgarly used for the conjunction provided, as in this sentence from the "Loudon Queen": "Society may be congratulated, . . . providing that," etc.

Provoke. See Aggravate.
Punctuation. The importance of punctuation can not be overestimated; it not only helps to make plain the meaning of what one writes, but it may prevent one's being miscon-
strued. Though no two writers could be found who punctuate just alike, still in the main those who pay attention to the art put in their stops in essentially the same manner. The difference that punctuation may make in the meaning of language is well illustrated by the following anecdote:

At Ramessa there lived a benevolent and hospitable prior, who caused these lines to be painted over his door
"Be open evermore,
O thou my door !
To none be shut-to honest or to poor :
In time the good prior was succeeded by a man as selfish as his predecessor was generous. The lines over the door of the priory were allowed to remain; one stop, however, was altered, which made them read thus:
"Be open evermore, O thou my door !
To none-be shut to honest or to poor!"
He punctuates best who makes his punctuation contribute most to the clear expression of his thought; and that construction is best that has least need of being punctuated.

The Comma.-The chief difference in the punctuation of different writers is usually in their use of the comma, in regard to which there is a good deal of latitude ; much is left to individual taste. Nowadays the best practice uses it sparingly. An idea of the extent to which opinions differ with regard to the use of the comma may be formed from the following excerpt from a paper prepared for private use :
"In the following examples, gathered from various sources -chiefly from standard books-the superfluous commas are inclosed in parentheses:
"1. 'It remains(,) perhaps(,) to be said(,) that, if any lesson at all(,) as to these delicate matters(,) is needed(,) in this period, it is not so much a lesson,' etc. 2. 'The obedi.
ence is not due to the power of a right authority, but to the spirit of fear, and(,) therefore(,) is(,) in reality(,) no obedience at all.' 3. 'The patriotelisturbances in Canada . . . awakened deep interest among the people of the United States(,) who lived adjacent to the frontier.' 4. 'Observers(,) who have recently investigated this point(.) do not all agree,' etc. 5. 'The wind did(,) in an instant(,) what man and steam together had failed to do in hours.' 6. 'All the cabin passengers(,) situated beyond the center of the boat(,) weresaved.' 7. 'No other writer has depicted(,) with so much art or so much accuracy(,) the labits, the manners,' etc. 8. 'If it shall give satisfaction to those who have(,) in any way(,) befriended it, a author will feel,' etc. 9. 'Formed(,) or consisting of(,) - ay.' 10. 'The subject [witcheraft] grew interesting; and(,) to examine Sarah Cloyce and Elizabeth Proctor, the deputygovernor(, ) and five other magistrates(,) went to Salem.' 11. 'The Lusitanians(,) who had not left their home(, ) rose as a man,' etc. i2. 'Vague reports . . . had preceded him to Washington, and his Mississippi friends(,) who chanced to be at the capital(,) were not backward to make their boast of him.' 13. 'Our faith has acquired a new vigor(, ) and a clearer vision.' 14. 'In $1819($,$) he removed to Cambridge.' 15$. 'Doré was born at Strasburg(,) in 1832, and labors,' etc. 16. 'We should never apply dry compresses, charpie, or wadding(,) to the wound.' 17. '-to stand idle, to look, act, or think $($,$) in a leisurely way. 18. '-portraits taken from the$ farmers, schoolmasters, and peasantry(, ) of the neighborhood.' 19. '-gladly welcomed painters of Flanders, Holland, and Spain(,) to their shores.'
"In all these cases the clauses between or following the inclosed commas are so closely connectell grammatically with the immediately preceding worls or phrases, that they should be read without a perceptible pause, or with only a slight
one for breath, without change of voice. Some of the commas would grossly pervert the meaning if strictly construed. Thus, from No. 3 it would appear that the people of the United States in general lived adjacent to the frontier ; from No. 4, that all observers have recently investigated the point in question ; from No. 6, that all the cabin passengers were so situated that they were saved, whereas it is meant that only a certain small proportion of them were saved; from No. 10 (Bancroft), that somebody whose name is accidentally omitted went to Salem 'to examine Sarah Cloyce and Elizabeth Proctor, the deputy-governor, and five other magistrates'; from No. 11, that none of the Lusitanians had left their home, whereas it was the slaughter by the Romans of a great number of them who had left their home that caused the rising.
"Commas are frequently omitted, and in certain positions rery generally, where the sense and correct reading require a pause. In the following examples, such commas, omitted in the works from which they were taken, are enclosed in brackets :
" 1 . 'The modes of thought[,] and the types of character which those modes produce[,] are essentially and universally transformed.' 2. 'Taken by itself[,] this doctrine could have no effect whatever; indeed[,] it would amount to nothing but a verbal proposition.' 3. 'Far below[,] the little stream of the Oder foamed over the rocks.' 4. 'When the day returned[,] the professor, the artist[,] and I rowed to within a hundred yards of the shore.' 5. 'Proceeding into the interior of India[,] they passed through Belgaum.' 6. 'If Loring is defeated in the Sixth District[,] it can be borne.'
"In No. 3, the reader naturally enunciates 'the little stream of the Oder' as in the objective case after 'below'; but there he comes to a predicate which compels him to go back and read differently. In No. 4, it appears that 'the day
returned the professor,' and then 'the artist and I rowed,' etc."

All clauses should generally be isolated by commas; where, however, the comection is very close or the clause is very short, no point may be necessary. "But his pride is greater than his ignorance, and what he wants in knowledge he supplies by sufficiency." "A mau of polite imagination can converse with a picture, and fiud an agreeable companion in a statue." "Though he slay me, yet will I trust him." "The prince, his father being dead, succeeded." "To confess the truth, I was much at fault." "As the heart panteth after the water-brooks, so panteth my soul after thee." "Where the bee sucks, there suck I." "His father dying, he succeeded to the estate." "The little that is known, and the circumstance that little is known, must be considered as honorable to him."

The comma is used before and after a phase when co-ordinating and not restrictive. "The jury, having retired for half an hour, brought in a verdict." "The stranger, unwill. ing to obtrude himself on our notice, left in the morning.' "Rome, the city of the Emperors, became the city of the Popes." "His stories, which made everybody laugh, were often made to order." "He did not come, which I greatly regret." "The younger, who was yet a boy, had nothing striking in his appearance." "They passed the cup to the stranger, who drank heartily." "Peace at any price, which these orators seem to advocate, means war at any cost." "Sailors, who are generally superstitious, say it is unlucky to embark on Friday."

Adverbs and short phrases, when they break the connection, should be between commas. Some of the most common words and plirases so used are the following: Alas, too, there, indeed, perhaps, surely, moreover, likewise, however, finally, namely,
therefore, apparently, meanwhile, consequently, unquestionably, accordingly, notwithstanding, in truth, in fact, in short, in general, in reality, no doubt, of course, as it were, at all events, to be brief, to be sure, now and then, on the contrary, in a word, by chance, in that casc, in the meantime, for the most part. "History, in a word, is reple'e with moral lesons." "As an orator, however, he was not great." "There is, remember, a limit at which forbearance ceases to be a virtue." "Our civilization, therefore, is not an unmixed good." "This, I grant you, is not of great importance."

If, however, the adverb does not break the connection, but readily coalesces with the rest of the sentence, the commas are omitted. "Morning will come at last, however dark the night may be." "We then proceeded on our way." "Our civilization is therefore not an unmixed good." "Patience, I say ; your mind perhaps may change."

Adverbial phrases and clauses beginning a sentence are set off by commas. "In truth, I could not tell." "To sum up, the matter is this." "Everything being ready, they set out." "By looking a little deeper, the reason will be found." "Finally, let me sum up the argument." "If the premises were admitted, I should deny the conclusion." "Where your treasure is, there will your heart be also."

Words used in apposition should be isolated by commas. "Newton, the great mathematician, was very modest." "And he, their prince, shall rank among my peers." In such sentences, however, as, "The mathematician Newton was very modest," and "The Emperor Napoleon was a great so ier," commas are not used.

The name or designation of a person addressed is isolated by commas. "It touches you, my lord, as well as me." "John, come here." "Mr. President, my object is peace." "Tell me, boy, where do you live?" "Yes, sir, I will do as you say." "Mr. Brown, what is your number?"

Pairs of words. - "Old anl young, rich and poor, wise and foolish were involved." "Sink or swim, live or die, survive or perish, I give my hand and heart to this vote." "Interest and ambition, honor and shame, friendship and enmity, gratitude and revenge, are the prime movers in public transactions."

A restrictive clause is not separated by a comma from the noun. "Every one must love a boy who [that] is attentive and docile." "He preaches sublimely who [that] lives a holy life." "The things which [that] are seen are temporal. "A king depending on the support of his subjects can not rashly go to war." "The sailor who [that] is not superstitious will embark any day."

The comma is used after adjectives, nouns, and verbs in sentences like the following :
"Are all thy conquests, glories, triumphs, spoils Shrunk to this little measure?"
"He fills, he bounds, connects and equals all."
"Who to the enraptured heart, and ear, and eye Teach beauty, virtue, truth, and love, and meloly." *
"He rewarded his friends, chastised his foes, set Justice on her seat, and marle his conquest secure."

The comma is used to separate adjectives in opposition, but closely connected. "Though deep, yet clear; though gentle, yet not dull." "Liberal, not lavish, is kind Nature's hand." "Though black, yet comely; and though rash, benign."

After a nominative, where the verb is understood. "To err is human; to forgive, divine." "A wise man secks to

[^16]shine in himself; a fool, in others." "Conversation makes a ready man ; writing, an exact man; reading, a full man."

A long subject is often separated from the predicate by a comma. "Any one that refuses to earn an honest livelihoorl, is not an object of charity." "The circumstance of his being unprepared to adopt immediate and decisive measures, was represented to the Government." "That he had persistently disregarded every warming and persevered in his reckless course, had not yet undermined his credit with his dupes." "That the work of forming and perfecting the character is difficult, is generally allowed."

In a series of adjectives that precede their noun, a comma is placed after each except the last; there usage omits the point. "A beautiful, tall, willowy, sprightly girl." "A quick, brilliant, studious, learued man."*

A comma is placed between short, members of compound sentences, connected by and, but, for, nor, or, because, whereas, that expressing purpose (so that, in order that), and other conjunctions. "Be virtuous, that you nay be respected." "Love not sleep, lest you come to poverty." "Man proposes, bu God disposes."

A comma must not be placed before that except when it is equivalent to in order that. "He says that he will be here."

A comma must not be placed before and when it connects two words only. "Time and tide wait for no man." "A rich and prosperous people." "Plain and honest truth wants no artificial covering."

A comma is sometimes necessary to prevent ambiguity. "He who pursues pleasure only defeats the object of his

[^17]creation." Without a comma before or after only, the mean. ing of this sentence is doubtful.

The following sentences present some miscellaneous examples of the use of the comma by writers on punctuation: "Industry, as well as genius, is essential to the prorluction of great worke." "Prosperity is secured to a state, not hy the acquisition of territory or riches, but by the encomragement of industry." "Your manners are affable, and, for the most part, pleasing." *
"However farrly a bad man may appear to act, we distrust him." "Why, this is rank injustice." "Well, follow the dictates of your inclination." "The comma may be omitted in the case of too, also, therefore, and perhaps, when introduced so as not to interfere with the harmonious flow of the period; and, particularly, when the sentence is short." $\dagger$ "Robert Horton, M. D., F.R.S." "To those who labor, sleep is doubly pleasant"; "Sleep is doubly pleasant to those who labor." "Those who persevere, succeed." "To be overlooked, slighted, and neglected; to be misunderstood, misrepresented, and slandered; to be trampled underfoot by the envious, the ignorant, and the vile; to be crushed by foes, and to be distrusted and betrayed even by friends-such is too often the fate of genins. "She is tall, though not so handsome as her sister." "Verily, verily, I say unto you." "Whatever is, is right." "What is foreordained to be, will be." "The Emperor Angustus was a patron of the fine arts." "Augnstus, the Emperor, was a patron of the fine arts." "United, we stand; divided, we fall." "God said, Let there be light." "July 21, 1881." "President Garfield was shot, Saturday morning, July 2, 1881; he died, Monday night,

[^18]Sept. 19, 1881." "I am, sir, very respectfully, your obedient servant, John Jones." "New York, August, 1SS1." "Room" 20, Equitable Building. Broadway, New York."
"When you are in doubt as to the propricty of insertin! commas, omit them; IT is better to have too few than too MiNX."-Quackenbos.

Thi Semicolon.-Reasons are preceded by semicolons; "Economy is no disgrace; for it is better to live on a little than to outlive a great deal." Clauses in opposition are separated by a semicolon when the second is introluced by an adversative: "Straws swim at the surface; but pearls lie at the bottom"; "Lying lips are an abomination to the Lord; but they that deal truly are his delight." Without the adversative, the colon is to be preferred: "Prosperity showeth vice : adversity, virtue." The great divisions of a sentence must be pointed with a semicolon when the minor divisiuns are pointed with commas: "Mirth should be the embroidery of conversation, not the web; and wit the ornament of the mind, not the furniture." The things enumerated must be separated by semicolons, when the enunciation of particulars is preceded by a colon: "The value of a maxim depends on four things : the correctness of the principle it embodies; the subject to which it relates; the extent of its application; and the case with which it may be practically carried out." When as introduces an example, it is preceded by a semicolon. When several successive clauses have a common connection with a preceding or following clause, they are separated by semicolons; as, "Children, as they gamboled on the beach; reapers, as they gathered the harvest; mowers, as they rested from using the scythe; mothers, as they busied themselves about the household-were victims to an enemy, who disnppeared the moment a blow was struck." "Reason as we may, it is impossuble not to read in such a fate much that we
know not how to interpret; much of provacation to cruel deeds and deep resentment; much of apology for wrong and perfily; much of doubt and misgiving as to the past; much of painful recollections; much of dark foreboding." "Philosophers assert that Nature is unlimited; that her treasures are endless; that the increase of knowlerge will never cease."

The Colon.-This point is less used now than formerly ; its place is supplied by the period, the semicolon, or the dash; and sometines, even by the comma. The colon is used very differently by different writers. "He was heard to say, '] have done with this world.'" Some writers would put a colon, some a comma, after say. "When the quoted passage is brought in without any introluctory word, if short," says Quackenbos, "it is generally preceded by a comma; if long, by a colon; as, 'A simpleton, meeting a philosopher, asked him, "What affords wise mon the greatest pleasure?" Turning on his heel, the sage replied, "To get rid of fools." ""

Formal enumerations of particulars, and direct quotations, when introducel by such phrases as in these words, as follows, the following, numely, this, these, thus, etc., are properly precelled by a colon. "We hold these truths to be self-evident: that all men are created equal ; that they are endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights; that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiucss." "Lord Bacon has summed up the whole matter in the following words: 'A little philosophy inclinet.' men's minds to atheism; but depth in philosophy bringeth men's minds to religion.'" "The buman family is composed of five races: first, the Caucasian; second, the Mongolian; third, the," etc.
"All were attentive to the godlike man, When from his lofty conch he thus began 1 'Great qucen,' " ete. - Drydcn.
When the quotation, or other matter, begins a new para.
graph, the colon is, by many writers, followed with a dash; as, "The cloth being removed, the President rose and said:"'Ladies and gentlemen, we are," etc.
The colon is used to mark the greater breaks in sentences, when the lesser breaks are marked by semicolons. "You have called yourself an atom in the universe; you have said that you are but an insect in the solar blaze: is your present pride consistent with these professions?" "A clause is either independent or dependent: independent, if it forms an assertion by itself; dependent, if it enters into some other clause with the value of a part of speech." A colon is sometimes used instead of a period to separate two short sentences, which are closely connected. "Never flatter people: leave that to such as mean to betray them." "Some things we can, and others we can not do: we can walk, but we can not fly."

The Perion.-Complete sentences are always followed either by a period, or by an exclamation or an interrogation point.*

The period is also used after abbreviations; as, R. D. Van Nostrand, St. Louis, Mo.; Jno. B. Morris, M. D., F. R. S., London, Eng.; Jas. W. Wallack, Jr., New Yurk City, N. Y.; Jas. B. Roberts, Elocutionist, Phila., Pa.

Interrogation-point.-This point is used after questions put by the writer, and after questions reported dircetly. "What can I do for you?" "Where are you going?" "What do you say?" cried the General. "The child still lives?" It should not be used when the question is reported indirectly. "He asked me where I was going." "The Judge asked the witness if he beliered the man to be guilty."

Exclamation-point. - This mark is placed after interjec-

[^19]tions, after sentences and clauses of sentences of passionate import, and after solemn invocations and addresses. "Zounds! the man's in earnest." "Pshaw! what can we do?" "Bah! what's that to me?" "Indeed! then I must look to it." "Look, my lord, it comes!" "Rest, rest, perturbed spirit!" "O heat, dry up my brains !" "Dear maid, kind sister, sweet Ophelia!" "While in this part of the country, I once more revisited-and, alas, with what melancholy presenti-ments!-the home of my youth." "O rose of May!" "Oh, from this time forth, my thoughts be bloody or be nothing worth !" "O heavens! die two months ago, and not forgotten yet?"
"Night, sable goddess ! from her ebon throne, In rayless majesty now stretches forth
Her learlen scepter o'er a slumbering world.
Silence, how dead ! and darkness, how profound !"
--Young.
"ITail, holy light! offspring of heaven just born!"-Milton.
" But thoư, O hope! with eyes so fair, What was thy delighted measure ?"-Collins.
It will be observed that the interjection O is an exception to the rule : it is often followel by a comma, but never by an exclamation-point.

An exclanation-point sometimes gives the same words quite another meaning. The difference between "What's that ?" and "What's that!" is obrious.

Tie Disir.-Cobbett did not favor the use of this mark, as we see from the following: "Let me cantion you against the use of what, by some, is called the dash. The diash is a stroke along the line; thus, 'I am rich-I was poor-I shall be poor again.' 'This is wild work indced! Who is to know what is intended by these deshos? Those who have thought proper. like Mr. Lindley Murriy, to place the dash amongst
the grammatical points, ought to give us some rule relative to its different longitudinal dimensions in different cases. The inch, the three-quarter inch, the half-inch, the quarter-inch: these would be something determinate ; but 'the dash,' without measure, must be a perilous thing for the young grammarian to handle. In short, 'the dash' is a cover for ignorance as to the use of points, and it can answer no other purpose."

This is one of the few instances in which Cobbett was wrong The dash is the proper point with which to mark an unexpected or emphatic pause, or a sudden break or transition. It is very often preceded by another point. "And Huitzilo. pochtli-a sweet name to roll under one's tongue-for how many years has this venerable war-god blinked in the noonday sun!" "Crowds gathered about the newspaper bulletins: recalling the feverish scenes that occurred when the President s life was thought to be hanging by a thread. 'Wouldn't it be too bad,' said one, 'if, after all-no, I won't allow myself to think of it."" "Was there ever-but I scorn to boast." "You are-no, I'll not tell you what you are."
"He suffered-but his pangs are o'er;
Enjoyed-but his delights are fled;
Had frieuds-his friends are now no more;
And foes-his foes are dead."-Montgomery.
"Greece, Carthage, Rome-where are they?" "He chastens; -but he chastens to save."

Dashes are much used where parentheses were formerly employed. "In the days of Tweed the expression to divide fair-forcible, if not grammatical-acquired much currency.' "In truth, the character of the great chief was depicted two thousand five hundred years before his birth, and depictedsuch is the power of genius-in colors which will be fresh as many years after his death." "To render the Constitution perpetual-which God grant it may be !-it is necessary that
its benefits should be practically felt by all parts of the country."

Parentinesis.-This mark is comparatively little used nowadays. The dash is preferred, probably because it disfigures the page less. The office of the parenthesis is to isolate a phrase which is merely incidental, and which might be omitted without detriment to the grammatical construction.
"Know then this truth (enough for man to know), Virtue alone is happiness below."-Pone.
"The bliss of man (could pride that blessing find) Is not to act or think beyond mankind."
Brackets. -This mark is used principally to inelose words improperly omitted by the writer, or words introduced for the purpose of explanation or to correct an error. The bracket is often used in this book.

The Apostropile.-This point is used to denote the omission of letters and sometimes of figures; as, Jan'y, '81; rve for I have; you'll for you will; 'tis for it is; don't for do not; can $t$ for can not; It was in the year ' 93 ; the spirit of ' 76 ; It was in the years 1S12, '13, and '14.

Also to denote the possessive case; as, Brown's house; the king's commind; Moses' staff; for conscience' sake ; the boys' garden.

Also with $s$ to denote the plural of letters, figures, and signs; as, Cross your $t$ 's, dot your $i$ 's, and mind your $p$ 's and $q$ 's ; make your 5's better, and take ont the $x$ 's.

Capitals.-A eapital letter should hegin every sentence, every line of verse, and every direct quotation.

All names of the Deity, of Jesus Christ, of the Trinity, and of the Virgin Mary must begin with a capital. Pronouns wre usually capitalized when they refer to the Deitg.

Proper names, and nouns and adljectives formed from proper names, names of strects, of the months, of the days of the week, and of the holidays, are capitalized.

Titles of nobility and of high office, when used to designate particular persons, are capitalized; as, the Earl of Dunraven, the Mayor of Boston, the Baron replied, the Cardinal presided.

The Paragraph. - In writing for the press, the division of matter into paragraphs is often quite arbitrary; in letterwriting, on the contrary, the several topics treated of should, as a rule, be isclated by paragraphic divisions. These divisions give one's letters a shapely appearance that they otherwise never have.

Purchase. This word is much preferred to its synonyin buy by that class of people who prefer the word reside to live, procure to get, inuugurute to begin, and so on. They are generally of those who are great in pretense, and who would be greater still if they were to pretend to all they have to pretend to.

Purpose. See Propose.
Quantity. This word is often improperly used for number: Quantity should be used in speaking of what is measured or weighed; number, of what is countecl. Examples: "What quantity of apples have you, and what number of pineapples?" "Delaware prorluces a large quantity of peaches and a large number of melons."

Quit. This word means, properly; to leave, to go away from, to forsake; as, "Avaunt! quit my sight." This is the only sense in which the English use it. In America, it is generally used in the sense of to leave off, to stop; as, "Quit your nonsense"; "Quit laughing"; "Quit your noise "; "He has quit smoking," and so on.

Quite. This word originally meant completely, perfectly, totally, entirely, fully; and this is the sense in which it was used by the early writers of English. It is now often used in the sense of rather; as, "It is quite warm','; "She is quite
tall"; "He is quite proficient." Sometimes it is incorrectly used in the sense of considerable; as, quite an amount, quite a number, quite a fortune. Quite, according to good modern usagc, may qualify an adjective, but not a noun. "She is quite the lady," is a vile phrase, meaning, "She is very or quite ladylike."

Railroad Depot. Few things are more offensive to fastidious ears than to hear a railway station called a depot. A depot is properly a place where goods or stores of any kind are kept; and the places at which the trains of a railroador, better, railway-stop for passengers, or the points from winich they start and at which they arrive, are, properly, the stutions.

Railway. The English prefer this word to railroad.
Raise the rent. An expression incorrectly used for increuse the rent.

Rarely. It is no uncommon thing to see this adserb improperly used in such sentences as, "It is very rurely that the puppets of the romancer assume, "etc.-"Appletons' Journal," February, 18S1, 1. 177. "But," says the defender of this phraseology, "rarely qualifies a verb-the verb to be." Not at all. The sentence, if written out in full, would be, "It is a very rare thing that," etc., or "The circumstance is a very rare one that," ctc., or "It is a very rare occurrence that," ete. To those whro contend for "It is very rarely that," etc., I wonld say, It is very sadly that persons of culture will write and then defend-or rather try to defend-such grammar.

Ratiocinate. See Effectuate.
Real. This adjective is often vulgarly used in the sense of the adverb very; thus, real nice, real pretty, real angry, real cute, and so on.

Recommend. This word, which means to commend ur praise to another, to declare worthy of csteem, trust, or favor, is sometimes put to strange uses. Example: "Kesolved,
that the tax-payers of the county he recommended to meet," etc. What the resolving gentlemen meant was, that the tax payers should be counseled to meet.

Redundancy. See Pleonasm.
Reliable. This is a modern word which is often met with ; but it is not used by our careful writers. They prefer its synonym trustworthy, and argue that, in consequence of being ill-formed, reliable can not possibly have the signification in which it is used.

Remainder. See Balance
Rendition. This word is much misused for rendering Example: "The excellence of Mr. Gilbert's rendition of certain characters, Sir Peter and Sir Antony, for instance, is not equaled." etc. Rendition means the act of yielding possession, surrender, as the rendition of a town or fortress. The sentence above should read, "The excellence of Mr. Gilbert's rendering," etc. Rendition is also sometimes improperly used for performance.

Reply. Sce Answer.
Reputation. See Character.
Reside. A big word that Mr. Wouldbe uses where Mr. Is uses the little word live.

Residence. In speaking of a man's domicile, it is not only in better taste but more correct to use the term house than residence. A man has a residence in New York, when he has lived here long enough to have the right to exercise the franchise here; and he may have a house in Fifth Avenue where he lives. People who are live in houses; people who would be reside in residences. The forner buy things; the latter purchase them.

Rest. See Balance.
Restive. Some of the dictionaries, Richard Grant White, and some other writers, contend that this word, when properly
used, means unwilling to go, standing still stubbornly, obstinate, stubborn, and nothing else. In combating this opinion, Fitzedward Hall says: "Very few instances, I apprehend. can be produced, from our literature, of this use of restice." Wrebster gives impatient, uncasy, as a second meaning; and this is the sense in which the word is nearly always used.

Retire. It is only the over-nice who use retire in the sense of go to bed.

Peverend-Honorable. Many persons are in doubt whether they shonld or should not put the before these adjectives. Emphatically, yes, they should. Sce "Words and Their Uses," by Richard Grant White, for a full discussion of the question ; also, "Good English," by Edward S. Gould.

Rhetoric. The art which has for its object the rendering of language effective is called rheforic. Without some study of the art of composition, no one can expect to write well, or to judge the literary work of others.
" 'True ease in writing comes from art, not chance,
As those move easiest who have learned to dance."
Ride-Drive. Fashion, both in England and in this country, says that we must always use the second of these words when we speak of going out in a carriage, although ride means, according to all the lexicographers, "to be carried on a horse or other animal, or in any kind of vehicle or carriage."

Right. Singularly enough, this word is made, by some people, to do service for ought, in duty bound, under obligation to ; thus, "You had a right to tell me," meaning, "You should have told me." "The Colonists contended that they had no riyht to pay taxes," meaning, "They were under no obligation to pay taxes," i.e., tbat it was unjust to tax them.

Right here. The expressions "right here" and "right there" are Americanisms. Correctly, "just here" and "just there."

Rolling. The use of this participial adjective in the sense of undulating is said to be an Americanism. Whether an Americanism or not, it would seem to be quite unobjectionable.

Rubbers. This word, in common with guems and arctics, is often, in defiance of good taste, used for overshoes.

Sabbath. This term was first used in England for Sunday, or Lord's day, by the Puritans. Nowadays it is little used in this sense. The word to use is Sunday.

Sarcasm. Bain says that sarcasm is vituperation softened in the outward expression by the arts and figures of disguise-epigram, innuendo, irony-and embellished with the figures of illustration. Crabb says that sarcasm is the indulgence only of personal resentment, and is never jnstifiable.

Satire. The holding up to ridicule of the follies and weaknesses of mankind, by way of rebuke, is called satire. Satire is general rather than individnal, its object being the reformation of abuses. A lampoon, which has been defined as a personal satire, attacks the individual rather than his fault, and is intended to injure rather than to reform.

Said Sneridan: "Satires and lampoons on particular people circulate more by giving copies in confidence to the friends of the parties than by printing them."

Saw. The imperfect tense of the verb to see is carelcssly uscl by good writers and speakers when they shonld use the perfect; thus, "I never scuw anything like it bofore," when the meaning intended is, "I have never [in all my life] scen anything like it before [until now]." We say properly, "I never saw anything like it when $I$ was in Paris"; but, when the period of time referred to extends to the time when the statement is made, it must be have seen. Like mistakes are made in the use of other verbs, but they are hardly as
common; yet we often hear such expressions as, "I was never in Philadelphia," "I never went to the theatre in my life," instead of have been in Philailelphia, and hure gone to the theatre.

Section. The use of this word for region, neighborhood, vicinity. part (of the town or country), is said to be a Westernism. A section is a division of the pulilic lands containing six hundred and forty acres.

Seem-Appear. Graham, in his "English Synonymes," says of these two words: "What seems is in the mind; what appecurs is external. Things appear as they present themselves to the eye; they seem as they are represented to the mind. Things appear good or bad, as far as we can judge by our senses. Things seem right or wrong as we determine by reflection. Perception and sensation have to do with appearing; reflection and comparison, with seeming. When things are not what they appear, our senses are deceived; when things are not what they seem, our judgment is at fault."
"No man had ever a greater power over himself, or was less the man he seerned to be, which shortly after appearel to everybody, when he cared less to keep on the mask."Clarendon.

Seldom or ever. This phrase should be "seldom if ever," or "seldom or nerer."

Seraphim. This is the plural of seraph. "One of the scraphim." "To Thee cherubim and seraphim continually do cry." See Cuerubim.

Set-Sit. The former of these two verbs is often incorrectly used for the latter. To set; imperfect tense, set; participles, selling, set. To sit; imperfect tense, sat; participles, siliing, sat. To sel means to put, to place, to plant; to put in any place, condition, state, or posture. We say, to sel about, to set against, to set out, to sel going, to set apart, to
set aside, to set down (to put in writing). To sit means to rest on the lower part of the body, to repose on a seat, to perch, as a bird, etc. We say, "Sit up," i.e., rise from lying to sitting; "We will sit up," i.e., will not go to bed; "Sit down," i.e., place yourself on a seat. We sit a horse and we sit for a portrait. Garments sit well or otherwise. Congress sits, so does a court. "I have sat up long enongh." "I have set it on the table." We set down figures, but we sit down on the ground. We set a hen, and a hen sits on eggs. We should say, therefore, "as cross as a silting [not, as a setting] hen."

Settle. This word is often inelegantly, if not incorrectly, used for pay. We pay our way, pay our fare, pay our hotelbills, and the like. See, also, Locate.

Shall and Will. The nice distinctions that should be made between these two auxiliaries are, in some parts of the Euglish-speaking world, often disregarded, and that, too, by persons of high culture. The proper use of shall and will can much better be learned from example than from precept. Many persons who use them, and also should and would, with well-nigh unerring correctuess, do so unconsciously; it is simply habit with them, and they, though their culture may be limited, will receive a sort of verbal shock from Biddy's inquiry, "Will I put the kettle on, ma'am?" when your Irish or Scotch countess would not be in the least disturbed by it.

SHall, in an affirmative sentence, in the first person, and will in the second and third persons, merely announce future action. Thus, "I shall go to town to-morrow." "I shall not; I shall wait for better weather." "We shall be glad to see you." "I shall soon be twenty." "We shall set out early, and shall try to arrive by noon." "You will be pleased." "You will soon be twenty." "You will find him honest.', "He will go with us."

Shall, in an affirmative sentence, in the second and third persons, announces the speaker's intention to control. Thus, "You shall hear me out." " lou shall go, sick or well." "He shull be my heir." "They shcell wo, whether they want to go or not."

Will, in the fired person, expresses a promise, announces the speaker's intention to control, proclains a determinution. Thus, " 1 will [I promise to] assist you." "I will [I am determinel to] have my right." "We will [we promise to] come to you in the morning."

Shall, in an interroyative sentence, in the first and third persons, consults the will or judgment of unother; in the second person, it inquires concerning the intention or future action of unother. Thms, "Shull I go with you?" "When shall we see you again?" "When shull I receive it?" "When shull I get well?" "W'heu shall we get there?" "shall he come with us?" "shall you demand indemnity?" "shall you go to tuwn tu-morrow?" "What shall you do about it?"

Will, in un interroyatire sentence, in the second person, asks concerning the wish, ant, in the third person, concernin! the purpuse or future action of others. Thus, "HFill you have an apple?" "Will you go with me to my uncle's?" "Will he be of the party?" "W'ill they be willing to receive us?" "When will he be here?"

Hill can not be used interrogatively in the first person singular or plural. We can not say, " Will I go?" "Hill I help you?" "Wrill I be late?" "Wrill we get there in time?" "Will we see you again soon?"

Othicial courtesy, in order to avoid the semblance of compulsion, conveys its commands in the you-will form instead of the strictly grammatical you-shall form. It says, for example, "I You voill proceed to Key West, where you will find further instructions awaiting you.'

A clever writer on the use of shall and will says that whatever concerus one's, beliefs, bopes, fears, likes, or dislikes, can not be expressed in conjunction with $I$ will. Are there 110 exceptions to this rule? If I say, "I think I shall go to Philadelphia to-morrow," I conrey the impression that my going depends upon circumstances heyond my control : but if I sar, "I think I will go to P'ilarlelphia to-morrow," I convey the impression that my going depends upon circumstances within my coutrol-that my going or not depents on mere inclimation. We certainly must say, "I fear that I shall lose it": "I hope that I shail be well"; "I believe that I shall hare the ague"; "I hope that I shall not be left alone"; "I fear that we shall have bad weather'; "I shall dislike the country"; "I shall like the performance." The writer referred to asks, "How can one say, 'I will have the headache '?" I answer, Very easily, as every young woman knows. Let us see: "Mary, you know you promised John to drive out with him to-morrow ; how shall you get ont of it?" "Oh, I will have the headache!" We request that people will do thus or so, and not that they shall. Thus, "It is requested that no one will leave the room."

Shall is rarely, if ever, used for will; it is will that is used for shall. Expressions like the following are common: "Where will you be next week?" "I will be at home." "We will have dinner at six o'clock." "How will you go about it?" "When will you begin?" "When will you set ont?" "What will you do with it?" In all such expressions, when it is a question of mere future action on the part of the person speaking or spoken to, the auxiliary must be shall, and not will.

Should and would follow the regimen of shall and will. Would is often used for shoulel; should rarely for rould. Correct speakers say: "I should go to town to-morrow if I had
a horse." "I should not; I should wait for better weather." "We should be glad to see you." "We should have started carlicr, if the weather had been clear." "I should like to go to town, and would go if I conld." "I would assist you if I could." "I should have been ill if I had gone." "I would I were home again!" "I should go fishing to-day if I were home." "I should so like to go to Europe !" "I should prefer to see it first." "I should be delighterl." "I should be glad to have you sup with me." "I knew that I should be ill." "I feared that I should lose it." "I hoperl that I should see him." "I thought I should have the ague." "I hoped that I should not be left alonc." "I was afraid that we should have bad weather." "I knew I should dislike the country." "I should not like to do it, and will not [determination] unless compelled to."

Shimmy. "We derive from the French language our word chemise-pronounced shemmeeze. In French, the word denotes a man's shirt, as well as the under garment worn by women. In this country, it is often pronounced by people who should know better-shimmy. Rather than call it shimmy, resume the use of the old English words shift and smock. Gond usage uuqualifiedly condemns gents, pants, kids, gums, and shimmy."-"Vulgarisms and Other Errors of Speceh."

Should. Sce Ougrt.
Sick-Ill. These words are often used indiscriminately. Sick, however, is the stronger word, and generally the hetter word to use. Ill is used in England more than with us: there sick is generally limited to the expressing of nausea; as, "sick at the stomach."

Signature, over or under? A man writes under, not over, a signature. Charles Dickens wrote under the signature of "Boz"; Mr. Samuel L. Clemens writes under the signature
of "Mark Twain." The reason given in Webster's Dictionary for preferring the use of under is absurd ; viz., that the paper is under the hand in writing. The expression is clliptical, and has no reference to the position either of the signature or of the paper. "Given under my hand and seal" means "under the guarantee of my signature and my seal." "Under his own signature" or "name" means "under his own character, without disguise." "Under the signature of Boz" means "under the disguise of the assumed name Boz." We always write under a certain date, though the date be placed, as it often is, at the bottom of the page.

Signs. In one of the principal business streets of New York there is a sign which reads, "German Lace Store." Now, whether this is a store that makes a specialty of German laces, or whether it is a store where all kinds of lace are sold, kept by a Gerinan or after the German fashion, is something that the sign donbtless means to tell us, but, owing to the absence of a hyphen ("German-Lace Store," or "German Lace-Store"), does not tell us. Nothing is more common than erroneous punctuation in signs, and gross mistakes by the unlettered in the wording of the simplest printed matter.

The bad taste, incorrect punctuation, false grammar, and ridiculous nonsense met with on signs and placards, and in advertisements, are really surprising. An advertisement tells us that "a pillow which assists in procuring sleep is a benediction"; a placard, that they have "Charlotte de Russe" for sale within, which means, if it means anything, that they have for sale somebody or something called Charlotte of Russian ; and, then, on how many signs do we see the possessive case when the plural number is intended!

Simile. In rhetoric, a direct and formal comparison is called a simile. It is generally denoted by like, as, or so; as,
"I have ventured,
like little wanton boys that swim on bladders, These many summers in a sea of glory."
"Thy smile is as the dawn of vernal day."-Shakespeare.
" As, down in the sunless retreats of the ocean,
Sweet flow'rets are springing no mortal can see; So, deep in my bosom, the prayer of devotion,

Unheard by the world, rises silent to thee."-Moore.
"' 1 lis with our judgments as with our watches; none Go just alike, yet each believes his own."-Pupe.
" Grace abused brings forth the foulest deeds, As richest soil the most luxuriant weeds."-Cowper.
" $A s$ no roads are so rough as those that have just been mended, so no simers are so intolerant as those who have just turned saints."-"Lacon."

Sin. See Crime.
Since Ago. Dr. Johnson says of these two alverbs: " Reckoning time toward the present, we use since; as, 'It is a ycar since it happenel': reekoning from the present, we use ago ; as, 'It is a yeur ago.' This is not, perhaps, always observed."

Dr. Johnson's rule will hardly suffice as a sure guide. Since is often used for ago, but ayo never for siuce. Ago is derived from the participle agone, while since comes from a preposition. We say properly, "not long" or "some time ugo [agone]." Since requires a verbal clause after it; as, "Since I saw you'; "Since he was here."

Sing. Of the two forms-sany and sung-for the imperfect tense of the verb to sing, the former-sang-is to be preferred.

Sit. Sce Ser.
Slang. The slang that is heard among respectable people is made up of genuine words, to which un arbitrary meaning
is given. It is always low, generally coarse, and not. unfrequently foolish. With the exception of cant, there is nothing that is more to be shumned. We sometimes meet with persons of considerable culture who interlard their talk with slang expressions, but it is safe to assert that they are always persons of coarse natures.

Smart. See Clever.
Smell of. See Taste of,
So. See As; Such : That.
So much so. "The shipments by the const steamers are very large, so much so [large ?'] as to tax the capacity of the different lines."-"'Telegran,", September 19, 1881. The seatence should be, "The shipments by the coast steamers are very large, so large as to tax," etc.

Solecism. In rhetoric, a solecism is defined as an offense ugainst the rules of grammar by the use of words in a wrong constructiou; false syntax.
"Modern granmarians designate by solecism any word or expression which does not agree with the established usage of writing or speaking. But, as customs change, that which at one time is considered a solecism may at another be regarded as correct language. A solecism, therefore, differs from a barbarism, inasmuch as the latter consists in the use of a word or expression which is altogether contrary to the spinit of the language, and can, properly speaking, never become established as correct language."-"Penny Cyclopredia." See, also, Barbarism.

Some. This word is not unfrequently misused for somewhat; thus, "She is some better to-day." It is likewise often misused for about; thus, "I think it is some ten miles from here ": read, " about ten miles from bere."

Specialty. This form has within a recent period been generally substituted for speciality. There is no apparent
reason, however, why the $i$ should be dropped, since it is required by the etymology of the word, and is retained in nearly all other words of the same formation.

Specious Fallacy. A fallacy is a sophism, a logical artifice, a deceitful or false appearance; while specious means having the appearance of truth, plausible, Hence we see that the rery essence of a fullacy is its specionsness. We nay very properly saty that a fullacy is more or less specious, but we can not properly say that a fallacy is specious, since without specionsmess we can have no fallacies.

Splendid. This poor word is used by the gentler sex to - qualify woll-nigh everything that has their approval, from a sugar-plum to the national capitol. In fact, splendid and acfial seem to be about the only adjectives some of our superlative young women have in their vocalularies.

Gitanclpoint. This is a worl to which many students of Finglish serivu ly ohject, and among them are the editors of some of our daily papers, who do not allow it to appear m their culmme. The phrase to which no one objects is, puint of viel.

State. This word, which properly means to make known specifically, to explain particularly, is often misused for say. When suly says all one wants to say, why use a more pretentious word?

Stop. "Where are you stoppin!?" "At the Metropolitan." The proper word to use hare is stuyiny. To stop, means to rease to gorwarl, to leave ofl ; and to stuy means to abile, to tarry, to dwell, to sojourn. We slay, not stop, at home, at a hotel, or with a friend, as the case may be.

Storm. Many persons indulge in a careless use of this word, using it when they unean to say simply that it rains or snows. 'To a storm a violent commotion of the atmosphere is iudispensable. A very high wiud constitutes a storm, though it be ily:

Straightway. Here is a good Anglowaxon word of two syllables whose place, without any good reason, is being usurped by the Jatin word immediately, of five syllables.

Street. We live in, not on-meet our acquaintances in, not on-things occur in, not on-horses are built in, not on, the street, and so forth.

Style. This is a term that is used to characterize the peculiarities that distinguish a writer or a composition. Correctness and clearness properly belong to the domain of diction; simplicity, conciseness, gravity, elegance, diffuseness, floridity, force, feebleness, coarseness, etc., belong to the domain of style.

Subjunctive Mood. This mood is unpopular with not a few now-a-day grammarians. Onc says that it is rapidly falling into disuse; that, in fact, there is good reason to suppose it will soon become obsolete. Another says that it would, perhaps, be better to abolish it entirely, as its use is a continual source of dispute among grammarians and of perplexity to schools. Another says that it is a universal stumbling-block; that nobody seems to understand it, although almost everybody attempts to use it.

That the subjunctive mood is much less used now than it was a hundred years ago is certain, but that it is obsolescent is very far from certain. It would not be easy, I think, to find a single contemporary writer who does not use it. That it is not always easy to determine what form of it we should employ is very true; but if we are justified in abolishing it altogether, as Mr. Chandler suggests, because its correct use is not always easy, then we are also justified in abolishing the use of shall and will, and of the prepositions, for surely their right use is likewise at times most puzzing. Meanwhile, most persons will think it well to learn to use the subjunctive mood properly. With that object in view, one can not, per-
baps, do betterothan to attend to what Dr. Alexander Bain, Professor of Logie in the University of Aberdeen, says upon the subject. In Professor Bain's "Higher English Grammar" we find:
"In subordinate clanses.-In a clause expressing a condition, and introduced by a conjunction of condition, the verb is sometimes, but not always, in the subjunctive mood: 'If I be able,' 'if I were strong enough,' 'if thou should come.'
"The subjunctive inflexions have heen wholly lost. The sense that something is wanting appears to have led many writers to use indieative forms where the suhjunctive might be expected. The tendency appears strongest in the case of 'wert,' which is now usell as indicative (for 'wast') only in poetical or elevated language.
"The following is the rule given for the use of the subjunctive mood:
"When in a conditional clause it is intended to express doubt or denial, use the subjunctive mood." 'If I were sure of what you tell me, I would go.'
"When the conditional elause is affirmative and certain, the verb is indicative: 'If that is the case' (as you now tell me, and as I believe), 'I can understand you.' This is equivalent to a elause of assumption, or supposition: 'That being the case, ' inasmuch as that is the case,' etc.
"As fulurity is by its nature uncertain, the subjunctive is extensively used for future conditionality: ' If it ruin, we shall not be able to go'; 'if I be well'; 'if he come shortly'; 'if thour return at all in peace'; 'though he slay me, yet will I trust in him.' These events are all in the uncertain future, and are put in the subjunctive. $\dagger$

[^20]'A future result or consequence is expressed by the subjunctive in such instances as these: 'I will wait till he return'; 'no fear lest dinner cool'; 'thou shalt stone him with stones, that he die'; 'take liecd lest at any time your hearts be overchargeri with surfeiting.'
"Uncertainty as to a past event may arise from our own ignorance, in which case the subjunctive is properly employed, and serves the useful purpose of distinguishing our ignorance from our knowledge. 'If any of my readers has looked with so little attention upon the world around him'; this would mean-'as I know that they have.' The meaning intended is probably-'as I do not know whether they have or not,' and therefore the subjunctive 'lave' is preferable. 'If ignorance is bliss,' which I (ironically) adnit Had Gray been speaking serionsly, he wonld have said, 'if ignorance be bliss,' he himself dissenting from the proposition.
"A wish contrary to the fact takes the subjunctive: 'I wish he were here' (which he is not).
"An intention not yet carried out is also subjunctive: 'The sentence is that you be imprisoned.'
"The only correct form of the future subjunctive is- 'if I should.' We may say, 'I do not know whether or not I shall come'; but 'if I shall come,' expressing a condition, is not an English construction. 'If he will' has a real meaning, as

[^21]being the present subjunctive of the verb 'will' 'if he be willing,' 'if he have the will.' It is in accordance with good usage to express a future subjunctive meaning by a present tense; but in that case the form must be strictly subjunctire, and not indicative. 'If any nember absents himself, he shall forfeit a penny for the use of the club'; this ought to be either 'absent,' or 'should absent.' 'If thou neglectest or doest unwillingly what I command thee, I will rack thee with old cramps'; better, 'if thou neglect or do unwillingly,' or 'if thou should neglect.' The indicative would be justified by the speaker's belief that the supposition is sure to turn out to be the fact.
"The past subjunctive may imply denial; as, "if the book were in the library (as it is not), it should be at your service.'
"' If the book be in the library,' means, 'I do not know whether it be or not.' We have thus the power of discriminating three different suppositions. 'If the book is in the library' (as I know it is) ; 'if it be' (I am uncertain) ; 'if it were' (as I know it is not). So, 'if it rains,' 'if it rain,' 'if it rained.' 'Nay, and the villains march wide between the legs, as if they had gyves on,' implying that they had not.
"The same power of the past tense is exemplified in ' if I could, I would,' which means, 'I can not'; whereas, 'if I can, I will,' means 'I do not know.'
"The past subjunctive may be expressed by an inversion: 'Had I the power,' 'icere I as I have been.'
"In Principal Clauses.-The principal clause in a conditional statement also takes the subjunctive form when it refers to what is future and contingent, and when it refers to what is past and uncertain, or denied. 'If he should try, he would succeed'; 'if I had seen him, I should have asked him.'
"The usual forms of the subjunctive in the principal clause are 'would,' 'should,' 'would have,' 'should have';
and it is to be noted that in this application the second persons take the inflexional ending of the indicative: 'shouldst, 'wouldst.'
" 'If 'twere done when 'tis done, then 'twere (would be) well
It were (should be) done quickly.'
"The English idiom appears sometimes to permit the use of an indicative where we should expect a subjunctive form. - Many acts, that had been otherwise blamable, were em ployed'; 'I had fainted, unless I had believed,' ete.
"' Which else lie fnrled and shrouded in the soul.'
"In 'else' there is implied a conditional clause that would suit 'lie'; or the present may be regarded as a more vivid forin of expression. 'Had' may be indicative; just as we sometimes find pluperfect indicative for pluperfect subjunctive in the same circumstances in Latin. We may refer it to the general tendency, as already seen in the uses of 'could,' 'would,' 'should,' etc., to express conditionality by a past tense; or the indicative may be used as a more direct and rivid mode. 'Had' may be subjunctive; 'I had fainted' is, in construction, analogous to 'I should have fainted'; the word for futurity, 'shall,' not being necessary to the sense, is withdrawn, and its past inflexion transferred to 'have.' Compare Germ. wïrde haben and hätte."

In addition to the foregoing, we find in Professor Bain's "Composition Grammar" the following:
"The case most suited to the subjunctive is contingent futurity, or the expression of an event unknown absolutely, as being still in the future: 'If to-morrow be fine, I will walk with you.'
"، Unless I were prepared,' insinuates pretty strongly that I am or am not prepared, according to the manner of the principal clause.
"' What's a tall man unless he fight?'
"' The sword hath ended him: so shall it thee, Unless thou yield thee as my prisoner.'
"' Who but must laugh, if such a man there be? Who would not weep, if Atticus were he?'
"'I am to second Ion if lie juil'; the failing is left quite doultful. 'I should very imperfectly exceute the task which I have undertaken if I were merely to treat of battles and sieges.' Macaulay thus implies that the scope of his work is to be wider than mere battles and sieges.
"The subjunctive appears in some other constructions. 'I hope to sce the exhibition lefore it close'; 'wait till he return'; 'thou shalt stand by the river's brink against he come'; 'take heel lest passion sway thy judginent'; 'speak to me, though it be in wrath'; 'if he smite him with an instrument of iron so that he die, he is a murderer'; 'beware this night that thou cross mot iny footsteps' (Shelley).
"Again. 'Whatever this be'; 'whoever he be'; 'howe'er it be' (Temyson) ; and such like.
"' And as len!!, O (iod, as she
Hure a grain of love for me, Solong, no dunbt, no doubt, Shall 1 murse in my dark heart, However weary, a spark of will Not to be trampled out.'
"The Future Subjunctive is given in our scheme of the verb as 'should' in all persons: 'If I should, if thou should, if he should.' In old English, we have 'thou shouldst': 'if thou, Lord, shouldst mark iniquities.'
"An inverted conditional form has taken deep root in our language, and may be regarded as an elegant and forcible variety. While dispensing with the conjunction, it does not cause ambiguity ; nevertheless, conditionality is well marked.
"' If you should abandon your Penelope and your home for Calypso, --': 'should you abaudon --.'
"' Go not my horse the better,
1 must become a borrower of the night For a dark hour or twain.'
" 'Here had we now our country's honor roof'd
Were the graced persou of our Banywo present.'
" ' Be thou a spirit of health or goblin dann'd, Bring.with thee airs from heaven or blasts from hell, Be thy intents wicked or charitable, Thou com'st is. such a questionable shape That I will speak to thee.'
"' C'ome one, come all, this rock shall fly
From its firm base as soon as I.' -Scott.

- The following examples are given by Mätzner:
"'Varney's communications, be they what they might, were operating in his favor.'-Scott.
"' Governing persons, were they never so insignificant intrinsically, have for most part plenty of Memoir-writers.' Carlyle.
"' Even were I disposed, I could not gratify the reader.'Warren.
- '. Bring them back to me, cost what it may.'--Coleridge, - Wallenstein.'
"' And will you, nill you, I will marry you "-'Taming the Shrew.'
"Were is used in the principal clause for 'should be' or 'would be.' *
" ' I were (=should be) a fool, not less than if a panther Were panic-stricken by the antelope's eye, If she escape me.'-Shelley.

[^22]"'Were you but riding forth to air yourself, Such parting were too petty.'
"' He were ( $=$ would be) no lion, were not Romans hinds.'
:' 'Should he be ronsed out of his slecp to-night, . . .
It were not well; indeed it were not well.'-Shelley.
"Had is sometimes used in the principal clause for 'shonld have' or 'would have.' *
"'Had I known this before we set ont, I think I had ( = would have) remained at home.' - Scott.
"'Hadst thou been kill'd when first thou didst presume, Thou hadst not lived to kill a son of mine.'
"' If he

Had killed me, he had done a kinder deed.'
" ' For once he had been ta'en or slain, An it had not been his ministry.'-Seott.
"' If thou harlst said him nay, it had been sin.' $\dagger$
"'Huel better, rather, best, as lief, as well,' cte., is a form that is explained under this heading. 'Hal' stands for 'would have.' The exploded notion that 'hal' is a corrupted 'would ' must be guarded against.
"'I had as lief not be.' That is-' I would as lief have not (to) be.' = 'I would as willingly (or as soon) have nonexistence.'
"' Had you rather Casar were living-_?' 'Would you rather have (would you prejer that) Casar were living?'
"' He had better reconsider the matter' is 'he would better have (to) reconsider the matter.'

[^23]*' I had rather be a kitten and cry mew
Than one of these same metre ballad-mongers;
I had rather hear a hrazen canstick turned.'
"Let us compare this form with another that appears side by side with it in early writers. (Cp. Lat. 'habeo' and 'mihi est.')
"The construction of 'had' is thus illustrated in Chaucer, as in-Nonne Prestes Tale, 300:
"'By God, I hadde levere than my scherte, That ye hadde rad his legend, as I have.'
"Compare now:
"' Ah me were levere with lawe loose my lyf Then so to fote hem falle.'-Wright, 'Polit. S.'
"Here 'were' is unquestionably for 'would be'; and the whole expression might be given by 'had,' thus : 'Ah, I hadde levere-,' '(to) loose' and '(to) falle,' changing from subjects of 'were' to objects of 'hadde.'
"So, in the Chaucer example above, if we substitute 'be' for 'have,' we shall get the same meaning, thus : 'By God, me were levere-..' The interchange helps us to see more clearly that 'hadde' is to be explained as subjunctive for 'would have.'" See Indicative and Subjunctive.

Such. "I have never before seen such a large ox." By a little transposing of the words of this sentence, we have, "I have never before seen an ox such large," which makes it quite clear that we should say so large an $0 x$ and not such a large ox. As proof that this error in the use of such is common, we find in Mr. George Washington Moon's "Dean's English and Bad English," the sentence, "With all due deference to such a high authority on such a very important matter." With a little transposing, this sentence is made to read, "With all due deference to an authority such high on a matter such very important." It is clear that the sentence should read, "Wish
all due deference to so high an authority on so very important a matter." The phrases, such a handsome, such a lovely such a long, such narrow, cte., are incorrect, and should be so handsome, so lovely, so long, and so on.

Summon. This rerb comes in for its full share of manling. We often hear such expressions as "I will summons him," instead of summon him; and "He was summonsed," in stead of summonerl.

Superfluous Words. "Whenever I try to write well, I always find I can do it." "I shall have finished by the latter end of the week." "Iron sinks down in water." "He combined together all the facts.". "My brother called on me, and we both took a walk." "I can do it equally as well as he." "We could not forbear from doing it." "Before I go, I must first be paid." "We were compelled to return back." "We forced them to retreat back fully a mile." "His conduct was approved of by everyboly." "They conversed together for a long time." "The balloon rose up very rapidly." "Give me another one." "Come home as soon as ever you can." "Who finds him in money?" "He came in last of all." "He has got all he can carry." "What have you got?" "No matter what I have got." "I have got the headache." "Have you got any brothers?" "Nu, but I have got a sister." All the words in italics are superflnous.

Superior. This word is not unfrequently used for able, excellent, gifted; as, "She is a superior woman," meaning an p.ccellent woman; "He is a superior man," meaning an uble man. The expression an inferior man is not less objectionable.

Supposititious. This word is properly used in the sense of put by a trick into the place or character belonging to another, spurions, connterfeit, not genuine ; and improperly ia the sense of conjectural, hypothetical, imaginary, presump-
tive; as, "This is a supposititious case," meaning an imagırary ut presumptive ease. "The English critic derived his materials from a stray copy of some supposititious indexes devised by one of the 'Post' reporters."-"Nation." Here is a correct use of the word.

Swosh. There is a kind of ill-balanced brain in which the reflective and the imaginative very much outweight the perceptive. Men to whom this kind of an organization has been given gentrally have active minds, but their minds never present anything slearly. To their mental vision all is illdefined, chaotic. They see everything in a haze. Whether such men talk or write, they are verbose, illogieal, intangible, will-o'-the-wispish. Their thoughts are phantomlike; like shadows, they continually escape their grasp. In their talk they will, after long dissertations, tell you that they have not said just what they would like to say; there is always a subtle, lurking something still unexpressed, which something is the real essence of the inatter, and which your penetration is expected to divine. In their writings they are eccentric, vague, labyrinthine, pretentious, transcendental,* and frequently ungrammatical. These men, if write they must, should confine themselves to the descriptive; for when they enter the essayist's domain, which they are very prone to do, they write what I will renture to call swosh.

We find examples in plenty of this kind of writing in the essays of Mr. Ralph Waldo Emerson. Indeed, the impartial eritic who will take the trouble to examine any of Mr. Emerson's essays at all carefully, is quite sure to come to the con-

[^24]clusion that Mr. Emerson has seen evcrything he has ever made the subject of his essays very much as London is seell from the top of St. Paul's in a fog.

Mr. Emerson's definition of Nature runs thus: "Philosophically considered, the universe is composed of Nature and the Soul. Strictly speaking, therefore, all that is separat. from us, all which philosophy distinguishes from the Not Me -that is, both Nature and Art, and all other men, and my own hody-must be ranked under this name 'Nıture.' In enmmerating the values of Nature and casting up their sum, 1 shall use the word in both senses-in its common and in its philosophical import. In inquiries so general as our present one, the inaccuracy is not material; no confusion of thought will occur. Nature, in the common sense, refers to essences unchanged by man; space, the air, the river, the leaf. Art is applied to the mixture of his will with the same things, as in a house, a canal, a picture, a statue. But his operations, taken together, are so insignificant-a little chipping, baking, patching, and washing-that in an impression so grand as that of the world on the human mind they do not vary the result."

In "Letters and Social Aims" Mr. Emerson writes: "Eloquence is the power to translate a truth into language perfectly intelligible to the person to whom you speak. He who would convince the worthy Mr. Dunderhead of any truth which Dunderhead does not see, must be a master of his art. Declamation is common ; but such possession of thought as is here required, such practical chenistry as the conversion of a truth written in God's language into a truth in Dunderhead's language, is one of the most beautiful and cocrent weapons that is forged in the shop of the divine Artificer."

The first paragraph of Mr. Emerson's "Essay on Art" reads: "All departments of life at the present day-Trade,

Politics, Letters, Science, or Religion-seem to feel, and to labor to express, the identity of their law. They are rays of one sun ; they translate each into a new larguage the sense of the other. They are sublime when seen as emanations of a Necessity contradistingnished from the vulgar Fate by being instant and alive, and dissolving man, as well as his works, in its flowing beneficence. This influence is conspicuously visible in the principles and history of Art."

Another paragraph from Mr. Emerson's "Essay on Eloquence" : "The orator, as we have seen, must be a substantial personality. Then, first, he must have power of state-ment-must have the fact, and know how to tell it. In a knot of men conversing on any subject, the person who knows most about it will have the ear of the company, if he wishes it, and lead the conversation, no matter what genius or distinction other men there present may have; and, in any public assembly, him who has the facts, and can and will state them, people will listen to, thongh he is otherwise ignorant, though he is hoarse and ungrateful, though he stutters and screams."

Mr. Emerson, in his "Essay on Prudence," writes: "There are all degrees of proficiency in knowledge of the world. It is sufficient to our present purpose to indicate three. One class live to the utility of the symbol, esteeming health and wealth a final good. Another class live above this mark to the beauty of the symbol, as the poet and artist, and the naturalist and man of science. A third class live abore the beauty of the symbol to the beauty of the thing signified; these are wise men. The first class lave common sense ; the second, taste; and the third, spiritual perception. Once in a long time a man traverses the whole scale, and sees and enjoys the symbol solidly; then, also, has a clear eye for its beauty; and, lastily, whilst he pitches his tent on this sacred volcanic isle of nature, does not offer to build honses and barns
thereon, reverencing the splendor of God which he sces bursting through each chink and cramy."

Those who are wont to accept others at their self-asses?ment and to see things through other people's eyes-and there are many such -are in danger of thinking this kind of writis, 2 very fine, when in fact it is not only the veriest suosh, but that kind of swosh that excites at least an occasional donl. $e$ with regard to the writer's sanity. We can make no greator mistake than to suppose that the reason we lo not understan I these rhetorical contortionists is because they are so subtle and profound We understand them quite as well as they understand themselves. At their very best, they are brat incoherent diluters of other men's ideas. They have but one thing to recommend them-honesty. They believe in thensselves.
"Whatever is dark is deep. Stir a puddle, and it is deeper than a well,"-Swift.

Synecdoche. The using of the name of a part for that of the whole, the name of the whole for that of a part, or the using of a delinite number for an indefinite, is called, in rhetorie, symeclorke. "The hay was covered withsails"; i. e., with ships. "The man was old, careworn, and graty"; i. e., literally, his hair, not the man, was gray. "N'ine tenths of every man's happiness depends on the reception he meets with in the world." "He harl seen seventy riuters." "Thus spoke the trmpter": here the part of the character is named that suits the oeeasion.
"His ronf was at the service of the outeast; the unfor. tunate ever found a welcome at his threshold."

Take. I copy from the "London Queen": "The vert; to take is open to being consideved a vulgar verb when used in reference to dinner, tea, or to refreshments of ally kincl. Will you take' is not consitleral comme il faut; the verb in
favor for the offering of civilities being to have." According to "The Queen," then, we must say, "Will you have some dinner, tea, coffee, wine, fish, beef, salad," etc.

Taste of. The redundant of, often used, in this country, in connection• with the transitive verbs to taste and to smell, is a Yankeeism. We taste or smell a thing, not taste of nor smell of a thing. The neuter verbs to taste and to smell are often followed by of. "If butter tastes of brass." "For age but tastes of pleasures."
"You shall stifle in your own report, And smell of calumny."-Shakespeare.
Tautology. Among the things to be avoided in writing is tautology, which is the repeating of the same thought, whether in the same or in different words.

Tautophony. "A regard for harmony requires us, in the progress of a sentence, to avoid repeating a sound by employing the same word more than once, or using, in contignous words, similar combinations of letters. This fault is known as tautology."-Dr. G. P. Quackenbos, "Adranced Course of Composition and Rhetoric," p. 300. Dr. Quackenbos is in error. The repetition of the same sense is tautology, and the repetition of the same sounct, or, as Dr. Quackenbos has it, "the repeating of a sound by employing the same word more than once, or by using in coatiguous words similar combinations of letters," is tautophony.

Teach. To impart knowledge, to inform, to instruct; as, "Teach me how to do it"; "Tearh me to swim"; "He taught me to write." The uncultured often misuse learn for teach. See Learn.

Tense. The errors made in the use of the tenses are manifold. The one most frequently made by persons of cul-lure-the one that ererybody makes would, perhaps, be nearer the fact-is that of using the imperject instead of the
perfect tense; thus, "I never saw it played but once": say, have seen. "He was the largest man I ever saw": say, have seen. "I never in my life had such tromble": say, have had. Another frequent error, the making of which is not confined to the nnschooled, is that of using two verbs in a past tense when only one should be in that time; thus, "I intendel to have gone": say, to go. "It was my intention to have come": say, to come. "I expected to have found you here": say, to find. "I was very desirous to have gone": say, to go. "He was better than I expected to have found him ": say, to find.

Among other common errors are the following: "I seen him when he done it": say, "I savo him when he did it." "I should have went home": say, !one. "If he had went": say, gone. "I wish you had went": say, gone. "He has went out": say, gone. "I come to town this morning ": say, came. "He come to me for arlvice": say, came. "It begu" very late": say, bcgan. "It had already began": say, begun. "The following toasts were drank": say, drunk. "His text was that God wus love ": say, is love. Another error is marle in such sentences as these: "If I had hare known ": say, had known. "If he hall have come as he promised": say, had come. "If you had have toll me": say, had told.

Testimony. sce Evidence.
Than. Than and as implying comparison have the same case after as before them. "He owes more than me": read, than $I$-i. e., more than $I$ ore. "John is not so old as her": read, as she-i.e., as she is. We should say, then, "He is stronger than she," "She is older than he," "You are richer than $I$," etc. But it does not always happen that the nominative case comes after than or as. "I love you more than him," "I give you more than him," "I love you as well as him"; that is to say, "I love you more than I love him," "I give you more thau I give him," "I love you as well as I love him."

Take away him and put he in all these cases, and the grammar is just as good, but the meaning is quite different. "I love you as well as him," means that I love you as well as I love him; but, "I love you as well as he," means that I love you as well as he loves you.

Than whom. Cobbett, in his "Grammar of the English Language," says: "There is an erroneous way of employing whom, which I must point out to your particular attention, because it is so ofteu seen in very good writers, and because it is very deceiving. 'The Duke of Argyll, than whom no man was more hearty in the cause.' 'Cromwell, thun whom no man was better skilled in artifice.' A hundred such phrases might be collected from Hume, Blackstone, and even from Drs. Blair and Johnson. Yet they are bad grammar. In all such cases who should be made use of : for it is nominative and not objective. 'No man was more hearty in the cause than he was'; "No man was better skilled in artifice than"he wus.'* It is a very common Parliament-house phrase, and therefore presumably corrupt ; but it is a Dr. Johnson phrase, too: "Pope, than whom few men had more vanity.' The Doctor did not say, 'Myself, than whom few men have been found more base, having, in my dictionary, described a pensioner as a slave of state, and having afterward myself become a pensioner.'
"I differ in this matter from Bishop Lowth, who says that 'The relative who, having reference to no verb or preposition understood, but only to its antecedent, when it follows than, is always in the objective case; even though the pronoun, if substituted in its place, would be in the nominative.' And then he gives an instance from Miltou. 'Beelzebub, than whom, Satan except, none higher sat.' It is curious enough

[^25]that this sentence of the Bishop is, itself, morammatical! Our poor unfortunate it is so placed as to make it a matter of doubt whether the lishop meant it to relate to $w h o$ or to its antecedent. However, we know its meaning ; but, though he says that who, when it follows than, is always in the objective case, he gives us no reason for this departure from a elear general principle; unless we are to regard as a reason the example of Milton, who has committed many hundreds, if not thousinds, of grammatical errors, many of which the Bishop himself has pointed ont. There is a sort of side-wind attempt at reason in the words, having reference to no verb or preposition understuod.' I do not see the reason, even if this could be; but it appears to me impossible that a nown or pronoun can exist in a gramatical state without having reference to some verb or preposilion, either expressed or understood. What is meant by Milton" "Than Beelzebub, none sat higher, except Satan.' And when, in order to avoid the repetition of the avord Beelzehonb, the relative becomes necessary, the full construction must be, 'no devil sat higher than who sat, except Sitin': and not, 'no devil sat higher than whom sat.'* The supposition that there can be a noun or pronoun which has reference to no rerb and no pre, coilion, is certainly a mistake."

Of this, Dr. Fitzedward Hall remarks, in his "Recent Exemplifications of False lhilology ": "That any one but Cobbett would abide this as English is highly improbable; and how the expression - a quite elassical one-which he discards can be justified grammatically, except by calling its than a preposition, others may resolve at their leisure and pleasure."

Thanks. There are many persons who think it in questionable taste to use thanks for thank you.

[^26]That. The best writers often appear to grope after a separate empioyment for the several relatives.
"'That' is the proper restrictive, explicative, limiting, or defining relative.
"' That,' the neuter of the definite article, was early in use as a neuter relative. All the other oldest relatives gradnally dropt away, and 'that' came to be applied also to plural antecedents, and to masculines and feminines. When 'as,' 'which,' and 'who' came forward to share the work of 'that,' there seems to have ariseu not a little uncertainty about the relatives, and we find curious doubie forms: 'whom that,' 'which that,' 'which as,' etc. Gower has, 'Venụs whose priest that I am'; Chaucer writes-'This Abbot which that was an holy man,' 'his love the which that he oweth.' By the Elizabethan period, these double forms have disappearel, and all the relatives are used singly without hesitation. From then till now, 'that' has been struggling with 'who' and 'which' to regain superior favor, with varying success. 'Who' is used for persons, 'which' for things, in both numbers; so is 'that'; and the only opportunity of a special application of 'that' lies in the important distinction between coördination and restriction. Now, as 'who' and 'which' are most commonly preferred for coördination, it would be a cleap gain to confine them to this sense, and to reserve 'that' for the restrictive application alone. This arrangement, then, would fall in with the most general use of 'that,' especialiy beyond the limits of formal composition.
"The use of 'that'solely as restrictive, with 'who' and 'which' solely as coördinating, also avoids ambiyuities that often attend the indiscriminate use of 'who' and 'which' for coürdinate and for restrictive clauses. Thus, when we say 'his conduct surprised his English friends, toho had not known him long, we may meau either that his English friends
gemerally were surprised (the relative being, in that case, coürdinating), or that only a portion of them-namely, the particular portion that had not known him long-were surprised. In this last case the relative is meant to define or explain the antecedent, and the doulst would be remover by writing thus: 'his English frionds that had not known him long.' So in the following sentence there is a similar ambiguity in the use of 'which': 'the next winter which yoll will spend in town will give you opportmities of making a more prndent choice.' This may mean, either 'you will spend next winter in town' ('which' being coördinating), or 'the next of the winters when you are to live in town,' let that come when it may. 'In the former case, 'which' is the proper relative; in the latter case, the meaning is restrictive or defining, and would be best brought out by 'that' : 'the next winter that you will spend in town.'

* A further consideration in favor of employing 'that' for explicative clanses is the unpleasant effect arising from the too frequent repetition of 'who' and 'which.' Grammarians often recommend 'that' as a means of varying the style; but this end onght to be songht in subservience to the still greater end of perspicuity.
"The following examrles will serve further to illustrate the listinction between ihat, on the one han l, and who and which, on the other:
"'In gencral, Mr. Burchell was fondest of the company of (hildren, whom he usel to call harmless little meu.' 'Whom' is here idiomatically used, being the equivalent of 'and them he used to call,' etc.
" 'Bacon at last, a mighty man, arose, Whom a wise king and nation choso Lord Chancellor of both their laws.'
Here, also, 'whom' is cqual to 'and him.'
"In the following instance the relative is restrictive or defining, and 'that' would be preferable: 'the conclusion of the "Iliad" is like the exit of a great man out of company whom he has entertained magnificently.' Compare another of Addison's sentences: ' $a$ mau of polite imagination is let into a great many pleasures that the vulgar are not capable of receiving.'
"Both relatives are introduced discriminatingly in this passage:-'She had learnel that from Mrs. Wood, who had heard it from her husband, who had heard it at the publichouse from the landlord, who had been let into the secret by the boy that carried the beer to some of the prisoners.'
"The following sentences are ambiguous under the modern system of using 'who' for both purposes:-'I met the boatman who took me across the ferry.' If 'who' is the proper relative here, the meaning is, 'I met the boatman, and he took me across,' it being supposed that the boatman is known and definite. But if there be several boatmen, and I wish to indicate one in particular by the circumstance that he had taken me across the ferry, I should use 'that.' 'The youngest boy who has learned to dance is James.' This means either 't?le youngest boy is James, and he has learned to dance,' or, 'of the boys, the youngest that has learned to dance is James.' This last sense is restrictive, and 'that' should be used.
"Turning now to 'which,' we may have a series of parallel examples. 'The conrt, which gives currency to manners, should be exemplary' : here the meaning is 'the court should be exemplary, for the court gives currency to manners.' 'Which' is the idiomatic relative in this case. 'The cat, which you despise so much, is a very useful animal.' The relative here also is coördinating, and not restrictive. If it were intended to point out one individual cat specially despised by the person addressed, 'that' would convey the sense.
'A theory which dioes not tend to the improrement of practice is utterly unworthy of regard.' The meaning is restrictive; 'a theory that does not tend.' The following sentence is one of many from Goldsmith that give 'that' instead of 'which' : -'Age, that lessens the enjoyment of life, increases our desire of living.' Thackeray also was fond of this usage But it is not very common.
" "Their faith tended to make them improvident; but a wise instinct taught them that if there was one thing which onght not to be left to fate, or to the precepts of a deceased prophet, it was the artillery'; a case where 'that' is the proper relative.
"'All worde, which are signs of complex ideas, furnish matter of inistake.' This fives an crroneous impression, and should be 'all words that are signs of complex ideas.'
"In all cases of prescription, the universal practice of judges is to direct juries by analogy to the Statute of Limita.tions, to decide against incorporeal rights which have for many ycars been relinquished' : say instead, 'incorporeal rights that have for many years,' and the sense is clear.
"It is necessary for the proper understanding of 'which' to arlvert to its peculiar function of referring to a whole clause as the autecedcut: 'William ran along the top of the wall, which alarmed his mother very much.' The antecedent is obviously not the noun 'wall,' but the fact expressed by tho entire clanse-'William ran,' etc. 'He by no means wants sense, which only serves to aggravate his former folly'; namely, (not 'sense,' but) the circumstance 'that he does not want sense.' 'He is neither over-exalted by prosperity, nor too much depressed by misfortune; which you must allow marks a great mind.' 'We have done many things which we ought not to have done,' might mean 'we ought not to have done many things'; that is 'wo ought to bave done few thingas
'That' would give the exact sense intended: 'we have done many things that we ought not to have done.' 'He began to look after his affairs himself, which was the way to make them prosper.
"We must next allude to the cases where the relat ve is governed by a preposition. We can use a preposition before 'who' and 'which,' but when the relative is 'that,' the preposition must be thrown to the end of the clause. Owing to an imperfect appreciation of the genius of our language, offense was taken at this usage by some of our leading writers at the beginning of last century, and to this circumstance we must refer the disuse of 'that' as the relative of restriction.*
"' It is curious that the only circumstance counected with Scott, and related by Lockhart, of which I was a witness, is incorrectly stated in the "Life of Sir Walter." "-Leslie's

[^27]'Memoirs.' The relative should be restrictive: 'that I was a witness of.'
" 'There are many words which are adjectives which have nothing to do with the qualities of the noms to which they are put.'-Cobbett. Better: 'there are many words that are adjectives that have nothing to do with the qualitics of the nouns (that) they are put to.'
" 'Otner objects, of which we have not occasion to speak so frequently, we do not designate ly a name of their own.' This, if amendel, would be : 'other' objects that we have not occasion to speak of so frequentiy, we do not,' etc.
"'Sorrow for the dead is the only sorrow from which we refuse to be divored': 'the only sorrow (that) we refuse to be divorced from.'
""Why, there is not a single sentence in this play that I do not know the meaning of.'-Addison.
"'Originality is a thing we constantly clamor for, and constantly quarrel with.'- Carlyle.
" 'A spirit more amiable, but less vigorous, than Luther's would have shrunk hack from the dangers which he braved and surmounted ': 'that he braved'; 'the dangers braved and surmounted by him.'
"' Nor is it at all improbable that the emigrants had been guilty of those faults jrom which civilized men who settle anong an uncivilized people are rarely frec.'-Macaulay. 'Nor is it at all improbable that the emigrants had been grilty of the faults that (such fanlts as) civilizel men that settle (setting, or settled) ammig an uncivilized peuple are rarely free from.'
" ' Prejudices are notions or opinions which the mind entertains withont knowing the grounds and reasons of them, and which are assented to without examination.'-Berkeley. The 'which' in both cases should be 'that,' but the relative
may be entirely dispensed with by participial conversion : 'prejudices are notions or opinions entertained by the mind without knowing the grounds and reasons of them, and assented to without examination.'
"The too frequent repetition of 'who' and 'which' may be avoided by resolving them into the conjunction and personal or other pronoun: 'In such circumstances, the utnost that Bosquet could be expected to do was to hold his ground, (which) and this he did.'"-Bain's "Higher English Grammar."

This word is sometimes vulgarly used for so; thus, "I was that nervous I forgot everything"; "I was that frightened I could hardly stand."

The. Bungling writers sometimes write sheer nonsense, or say something very different from what they have in their minds, by the simple omission of the definite article ; thus, "The indebtedness of the English tongue to the French, Latin and Greek is disclosed in almost every sentence framed." According to this, theye is such a thing as a French, Latin and Greek tongue. Professor 'Townsend meant to say: "The indebtedness of the English tongue to the French, the Latin, and the Greek," etc.

Then. The use of this word as an adjective is condemned in very emphatic terms by some of our gramnariaus, and yet this use of it has the sanction of such eminent writers as Addison, Johnson, Whately, and Sir J. Hawkins. Johnson says, "In his then situation," which, if brevity be really the soul of wit, certainly has much more soul in it than "In the situation he then occupied." However, it is doubtful whether then, as an adjective, will ever again find favor with careful writers.

Thence. See Whence.
Think for. We not unfrequently hear a superfluous for tacked to a sentence; thus, "You will find that he koows more about the affair than you think for."

Those kind. "Those kind of apples are best":" read, "That kind of apples is best." It is truly remarkable that many persons who can justly lay claim to the possession of considerable culture use this barbarous combination. It would be just as correct to say, "Those flock of geese," or "Those drove of cattle," as to say, "Those sort or kind of people."

Those who. This phrase, applied in a restrictive sense, is the modern substitute for the ancient idiom they that, an idiom in accordance with the true meaning of that.
"'They tha!' told me the story said'; 'Blessed are they, that mourn'; 'and Simon and they that were with him'; 'I love them that love me, and they that seek me early shall find me'; 'they that are whole have no need of a physician'; 'how sweet is the rest of them thut labor!' 'I can not tell who to compare them to so fitly as to them that pick pockets in the presence of the julge'; 'they that enter into the state of mar'riage cast a die of the greatest contingency' (.J. 'Iaylor).
". That man hath perfect blessedness
H ho walketh not astray,'
if expressed according to the old idiom would be, 'the man hath--that walketh.'
"'That' and 'those,' as demonstrative adjectives, refer baekward, and are not therefore well suited for the forward reference implied in making use of 'that which' and 'thuse who' as restrietive relatives. It is also very cumbrous to say 'that case to which you allude' for 'the ease (that) you allude to.'
"Take now the folluwing: "The Duke of Wellington is not one of those who interfere witl matters over which he has no control': 'the Duke is not one of them that interfere in matters that they have no control over (matters that they can not control, beyoul their control, out of their province).' If ' them that' sounds tou antiquated, we may adopt as a con-
venient compromise, 'the Duke is nos one of those that'; or, 'the Duke is not one to interfere in matters out of his prorince'; 'the Duke is not one that interferes with what he has no control over.' '"-Bain.

Threadbare Quotations. Among the things that are in bad taste in speaking and writing, the use of threadbare quotations and expressions is in the front rank. Some of these usés et cassés old-timers are the following: "Their name is legion"; "husts of friends"; "the upper ten"; "Variety is the spice of life ": "Distance lends enchantment to the view"; "A thing of heauty is a joy for ever"; "the light fantastic toe"; "own the soft impeachment"; "fair women and brave men"; "revelry by night"; "A rose by any other name wonld smell as sweet."

To. It is a well-established rule of grammar that to, the sign of the infinitive mooil, should not be used for the infinitive itself; thus, "He has not done it, nor is he likely to." It should be, "nor is he likely to do it."

We often find to, when the sign of the infinitive, separated by an arlverb from the, verb to which it belongs. Professor A. P. Peabody says that no standard English writer makes this mistake, and that, so far as lie knows, it occurs frequently with but one respectable American writer.

Very often to is used insteal of $a t$; thus, "I have been to the theatre, to chmei, to my mele s, to a concert," and so on. In all these cases, the preposition to use is clearly at, and not to, See, also, AN.

To the Fore. An old idiomatic phrase, now freely used again.

Tongue. "Much tongue and much judgment seldom go together."-L'Estrange. See Languace.

Toward. Those who profess to know about such things say that etymology furnishes no pretext for the arlding of $s$ to
ward in such words as backward, forward, toward, upward onward, downward, afterward, heavenward, earthward, and the like.

Transferred Epithet. This is the shifting of a qualifying word from its proper subject to some allied subject. Examples:
"The little fields made green
By husbandry of many thrifty years."
"He plods his weary way." "Hence to your idle bed!" By this figure the diction is renderel more terse and vigorous; it is much uscd in verse. F'or the sake of conciseness, it is used in prose in such phrases as the lunatic asylum, the criminal court, the condemned cell, the blind asylum, the cholera hospital, the foun lling asylum, and the like.
" Still in harmonious intercourse they lived The rural day, and talked the flowing heart."
"There be some who, with everything to make them happy, plod their discontentel and melancholy way through life, less grateful than the $\log$ that licks the hand that feeds it."

Transpire. This is one of the most frequently misused words in the language. Its primary meaning is to evaporate insensibly through the pores, but in this sense it is not used; in this sense we use its twin sister perspire. Transpire is now properly used in the sense of to escape from secrecy, to become known, to leak out; and inproperly used in the sense of to occur, to happen, to come to pass, and to elapse. The word is correctly used thus: "You will not let a word concerning the matter transpire"; "It transpines [leaks ont] that S. \& B. control the enterprise "; "Soon after the funeral it transpired [became known] that the dead woman was alive"; "It has transpired [leaked out] that the movement originated with John Blank "; "No report of the proceedings was al-
lowed to transpire"; "It has not yet transpired who the candidate is to be." The word is incorrectly used thus: "The Mexican war transpired in 1847 "; "The drill will transpire under shelter "; "The accident transpired one day last week"; "Years will transpive before it will be finished'; "More than a century transpired before it was revisited by civilized man."

Trifling Minutiæ. The meaning of trifles and of minutice is so nearly the same that no one probably ever uses the phrase trifing minutice except from thoughtlessness.

Trustworthy. See Reliable.
Try. This word is often improperly used for make. We make experiments, not try them, which is as incorrect as it would be to say, try the attempt, or the trial.

Ugly. In England, this word is restricted to meaning illfavored; with us it is ofteu used - and not without au-thority-in the sense of ill-tempered, vicious, unmanageable.

Unbeknown. This word is no longer used except by the unschooled.

Underhanded. This word, though found in the dictionaries, is a vulgarism, aud as such is to be avoided. The proper word is underhand. An underhand, not an underhanded, proceeding.

Universal-All. "He is universally esteemed by all who know him." If he is universally esteemed, he must be esteemed by all, who know him; and, if he is esteemed by all who know him, he must be universally esteemed.

Upward of. This phrase is often used, if notimproperly, at least inelegantly, for more than; thus, "I have been here for upward of a year"; "For upward of three quarters of a century she has," etc., meaning, for more than three quarters of a century.

Utter. This verb is often misused for say, express. To
utter means to speak, to pronounce; and its derivative utterance means the act, manner, or power of uttering, vocal expression; as, "the utterance of articulate sounds." We utter a cry; express a thought or sentiment; speak our mind; and, though prayers are said, they may be ullcred in a certain tone or manner. "Mr. Plank is right in all he utters": read, says. "The conrt uttered a sentiment that all will applaud": read, expressed a sentiment.

The primary meaning of the adjective utter is outer, on the outside; but it is no longer used in this seuse. It is now used in the sense of complete, total, perfect, mere, entire ; but he who uses it indiscriminately as a synonym of these words will frequently utter utter nonsense-i. e., he will utter that which is without the pale of sense. For example, we can not say utter concord, but we can say utter discord-i. e., without the pale of coneord.

Valuable. The following sentence, which recently appearen in one of the more fastidions of our morning papers, is ufferel as an example of extreme slipsholness in the use of language: "Sea captains are among the mostralualle contributors to the Park aviary." What the writer probably meant to say is, "Sea captains are anong those whose contributions to the l'ark aviary are the most valuable."

Vast. This word is often met with in forcible-feeble dietion, where it is nsed instead of great or large to qualify such worls as number, majoity, multitude, and the like. Big words and expletives should be used only where they are really neederl; where they are not really needed, they go wide of the object aimed at. The sportsinan that hunts small gane with buck-shot comes home empty-handed.

Veracity. The loss would be a small one if we were to lose this word and its derivatives. Truth and its derivatives would supply all our needs. In the phrase so often heard,
"A man of truth and veracity," veracity is entirely superfluous, it having precisely the same meaning as truth. The phrase, "A big, large man," is equally good diction.

Verbiage. An unnecessary profusion of words is called verbiage verbosity, wordiness.
"I thonght what I read of it verbiage."-Johnson.
Sometimes a better name than verbiage for wordiness would be emptiness. Witness: "Clearness nay be developed and cultivated in three ways. (a) By constantly practicing in heart anil life the thoughts and ways of honesty and frankness." The first sentence evidently means, "Clearness may be attained in three ways"; but what the second sentence means-if it means anything-is more than I can tell. Prufessor L. T. Townsead, "Art of Speech," vol. i, p. 130, adds : "This may be regarded as the surest path to greater transparency of style." The transparency of Dr. Townsend's style is peculiar. Also, p. 144, we find: "The laws and rules ${ }^{1}$ thus far laid down ${ }^{2}$ furnish ample foundation for ${ }^{3}$ the general statement that an easy and natural 4 expression, an exact verbal incarnation of one's thinking, ${ }^{5}$ together with the power of using appropriate figures, and of making nice discriminations between approximate synonyms, ${ }^{6}$ each being an important factor in correct style, are attained in two ways. ${ }^{7}$ (1) Through morals and mental discipline. (2) Through continuous and intimate 9 acquaintance with such authors as best exemplify those attainments." 10

1. Would not lavs cover the whole ground? 2. En passant I would remark that Dr. Townsend did not make these laws, though he so intimates. 3. I suggest the word justify instead of these four. 4. What is natural is easy ; easy, therefore, is superfluous. 5. If this means anything, it does not mean more than the adjective clear would express, if properly used in the sentence. 6. Approximate synonyms!! Who ever
heard of any antagonistic or even of dissimilar synonyms? 7. The uransparency of this sentence is not unlike the transparency of corrugated glass. 8. What has morality to do with correctness? 9. An intimate acquaintance would suffice for most people. 10. Those attainments! What are they? Dr. Townsend's corrugated style makes it hard to tell.

This paragraph is so badly conceivel throughout that it is well-nigh impossible to make head, middle, or tail of it; still, if I am at all successful in guessing what Professor Townsend wanted to say in it, then-when shom of its redundancy and high flown emptiness-it will read somewhat like this: "The laws thus far presented justify the general statement that a clear and natural mode of expression--together with that art of using appropriate figures and that alsility properly to diseriminate between synonyms whieh are necessary to correct ness-is attained in two ways. (1) By mental discipline. (2) By the sturly of our loest authors."

The folluwing sentence is from a learling magazine: "If we begin a system of interference, regulating men's guins. bolstering here, in order to strengthen this interest, [and] repressing elsewhere [there], in order to equalize wealth, we shall do an [a] immense deal of mischief, and without bringing about a more agreeable condition of things than now [we] shall simply discourage enterprise, repress industry, and check material growth in all clirections." Read withont the eighteen words in italies and with the four inclosed.
"Nothing disgusts sooner than the empty pomp of language."

## Vice. See Crime.

Vicinity. This word is sometimes incorrectly used with. wht the possessive pronoun ; thus, "Washington and rieinity," instead of "Washington and its vicinity. The primary meaning of ricinity is nearness, proximity. In many of the cases
in which vicinity is used, neighborhood would be the better word, though vicinity is perhaps preferable where it is a question of mere locality.

Vocation-Avocation. These words are frequently confounded. A man's rocation is his profession, his calling, his business; and his arocations are the things that occupy him incidentally. Mademoiselle Bernhardt's rocation is acting; her avocations are painting and sculpture. "The tracing of resemblances among the objects and events of the world is a constant avocation of the human mind."

Vulgar. By the many, this word is probably more frequently used improperly than properly. As a noun, it means the common people, the lower orders, the multitude, the many; as an adjective, it means coarse, low, unrefined. as "the vulgar people." The sense in which it is misused is that of inmodest, indecent. The wearing, for example, of a gown too short at the top may be indecent, but is not vulgar.

Was. "He said he had come to the conclusion that there was no God." "The greatest of Byron's works was his whole work taken together."-Matthew Arnold. What is true at all times should be expressed by using the verb in tie present tense. The sentences above should read is, not was.

Wharf. See Dock.
What. "He would not believe but what I did it" : read, but that. "I do not doubt but what I shall go to Boston tomorrow": read, doubt that. We say properly, "I have nothing but what you see"; "You have brought everything but what I wanted."

Whence. As this adverb means-unaided-from what place, source, or cause, it is, as Dr. Johnson styled it, "a vicious mode of speech" to say from whence, Milton to the contrary notwithstanding. Nor is there any more propriety nithe phrase from thence, as thence means-unaided-from
that place. "Whence do you come?" not "From whence do you come?" Likewise, "He went hence," not "from hence."

Whether. This conjunction is often improperly repeated in a sentence; thus, " $I$ have not decided whether I shall go to Boston or whether I shall go to Philadelphia."

Which. This pronoun as an interrogative applies to persons as well as to things; as a relative, it is now made to refer to things only.
"Which is employed in coördinate sentences, where it, or they, and a conjunction might answor' the purpose; thus, "At school I studied geometry, which (and it) I found useful afterward.' Here the new clause is something indepenclent added to the previous clause, and not limiting that clause in any way. So in the adjectival clause; as, 'He struck the poor dog, which (and it, or although it) had never done him harm.' Such instances represent the most accurate meaning of which. Who and which might be termed the coördinating relativfs.
${ }^{\text {r }}$ Which is likewise used in restrictive clanses that limit or explain the antecedent; as, "The house which he built still remains.' Here the clause introluced by which specifies, or points out, the house that is the subject of the statement, namely, by the circumstance that a certain person luilt it. As remarked with regard to who, our most inliomatic writers prefer that in this particular application, and would say, "The house that he built still remains.'"
"Which sometimes has a special reference attaching to it, as the neuter relative: 'Cæsar crossed the Rubicon, which was in effect a declaration of war.' The antecedent in this instance is not Rubicon, but the entire clause.
"There is a peculiar nsage where which may seem to be still regularly used in reference to persons, as in 'John is a soldier', which I should like to be,' that is, 'And I should like to be a soldier.'" See Triat.

Who. There are few persons, even among the niost cultivated, who do not make frequent mistakes in the use of this pronoun. They say, "Who did you see?" "Who did you meet?" "Who did he marry?" Who did you hear?" "Who did he know?" "Who are you writing to?" "Who are you looking at?" In all these sentences the interrogative pronoun is in the objective case, and should be used in the objective form, which is whom, and not who. To show that these sentences are not correct, and are not defensible by supposing any ellipsis whatsoever, we have only to put the ruestions in another form. Take the first one, and, instead of "Who did you see?" say, "Who saw you?" r.hich, if correct, justifies us in saying, "Who knew he," which is the equivalent of "Who did he know?" But "Who saw yout?" in this instance, is clearly not correct, since it says directly the opposite of what is intended.

Who was little used as a relative till abont the sixteenth century. Bain says: "In modern use, more especially in books, who is frequently employed to introduce a clanse intended to restrict, define, limit, or explain a noun (or its equivalent): as, 'That is the man who spoke to us yesterday.'"
"Here the clause introrluced by who is necessary to define or explain the antecedent the man; without it, we do not know who the man is. Such relative clauses are typical adjective clauses-i.e., they have the same effect as adjectives in limiting nouns. This may be called the Restrictive use of the relative.
"Now it will be found that the practice of our most idiomatic writers and speakers is to prefer that to who in this application.
"Who is properly used in such coördinate sentences as, 'I met the watchman, who told me there had been a fire.' Here
the two clanses are distinct and independent ; in such a case, and he might be substituted for who.
" Another form of the same use is when the second clause is of the kind termed adverbial, where we may resolve who into a personal or demonstrative pronoun and conjunction. - Why should we consult Charles, who (for he, seeing that he) knows nothing of the matter?'
"Who may be regarded as a modern objective form, side by side with uhom. For many good writers and speakers say ' who are you talking of ?' 'who does the garden belong to?' ' who is this for?' ' who from?'" etc.

If this be true-if who may be regarded as a modern objective form, side by side with whom-then, of course, such expressions as "Who did you see?" "Who did you meet?" "Who did he marry?" "Who were you with ?" "Who will you give it to?" and the like, are correct. That they are used colloquially by well-nig! everybody, no one will dispute; but that they are correct, few grammarians will concede. See That.

Whole. This word is sometimes most improperly used for all; thus, "The whole Germans seem to be saturated with the belief that they are really the greatest people on earth, and that they would be universally recognized as being the greatest, if they were not so excceding modest." "The whole Russians are inspired with the belief that their mission is to conquer the world."-Alison.

Wholesome. See Healthy.
Whose. Mr. George Washington Moon discountenances the use of whose as the possessive of which. He says, "The best writers, when speaking of inanimate objects, use of which instead of whose." The correctness of this statement is doubtful. The truth is, I think, that good writers use that form for the possessive case of which that in their judgment is, in
each particular case, the more euphonious, giving the preference, perhaps, to of which. On this subject Dr. Campbell says: "The possessive of who is properly whose. The pro noun which, originally indeclinable, had no possessive. This was supplied, in the common periphrastic manner, by the help of the preposition and the article. But, as this could not fail to enfeeble the expression, when so much time was given to mere conjunctives, all our best authors, both in prose and verse, have now come regularly to adopt, in such cases, the possessive of who, and thus have substituted one syllable in the room of three, as in the example following: 'Philosophy, whose end is to instruct us in the knowledge of nature,' for 'Philosophy, the end of which is to instruct us.' Some grammariaus remonstrate; but it onght to be remembered that use, well established, must give law to grammar, and not grammar to use."

Professor Bain says: "Whose, although the possessive of who, and practically of which, is yet frequently employed for the purpose of restriction : 'We are the more likely to guard watchfully against those faults whose deformity we have seen fully displayed in cthers.' This is better than 'the deformity of which we have seen.' 'Propositions of whose truth we have no certain knowledge.'—Locke." Dr. Fitzedward Hall says that the use of whose for of which, where the antecedent is not only irrational but inauimate, has had the support of high authority for several hundred years.

Widow Woman. Since widows are always women, why say a widow woman? It would be perfectly correct to say a widowed woman.

Widowhood. There is good authority for using this word in speaking of men as well as of women.

Without. This word is often improperly used instead of untess; as, "You will never live to my age without you keep
yourself in breath and exercise"; "I shall not go without my father consents": properly, unless my father consents, or, without my father's consent.

Worst. We should say at the uorst, not at worst.
Wove. The past participle of the verb to weare is woven. "Where was this cloth woven?" not wove.

You are mistaken. See Mistake.
You was. Good usage does, and it is to be hoped always will, consider you was a gross vulgarism, certain grammarians to the contrary notwithstanding. You is the form of the pronoun in the second person plural, and must, if we would speak eorrectly, be used with the corresponding form of the verb. The argument that we use you in the singular number is so nonsensical that it does not merit a moment's consideration. It is a custom we have-and have in common with other peoples-to speak to one another in the second person plural, and that is all there is of $i t$. The Germans speak to one another in the thiod person plural. The exact equivalent in German of our How are yon? is, How are they? Those who would say you was should be consistent, and in like manner say you has and you does.

Yours, \&c. The ignorant and obtuse not unfrequently profess themselves at the bottom of their letters "Yours, de." And so forth! forth what? Few vulgarisms are equally offensive, and none could be more so. In printing correspondence, the newspapers often content themselves with this short-hand way of intimating that the writer's name was preceded by sore one of the familiar forms of ending letters; this an occasional dunderhead seems to think is sufficient amthority for writing himself, Yours, dec.
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## INTROIDUCTION.

## I. LFRERATURE AND ITS DEPARTMENTS.

1. Litcroture in its widest sense embr:ces all kiuds us hiterary pronlactions which have been preseaved in writing; but is gencrally restricted to those works that conte within the $3^{1}$ here of the literary ant or rules of rhetoric.
2. Classification.-Literature, in regard to its forin, is divided into (1) Prose and (2) Poctry. In regard to matter, it has three divisions : (1,) Composition, designed to inforin the understanding hy description, nurration, or exposition ; (2) Oratory ; (3) Poutry.
3. Description, or descriptive composition, is of two kinds: (1) Objective, where the observer pietures what he describes as it is perecived by his senses or realized by his fancy ; (2) Subjective, where the observer, referring to the feelings or thonghts of his own mind, gives his impressions as they have been excited by the outward scene. Scott is a good eximple of an objective, and Byron of a suljective writer.
4. Narration is that kind of composition which gives an areount of the incidents of a series of transactions or svents. It may also be sulujective or objoctive.
5. Exposition includes those literary productions where facts or principles are discussed and cunclusions reached by a process of reasoning. It embraces various treatises, from the bricf editorial, or essay, to the full discussion in extensive works. To this class belongs the philosophic puem.
6. Oratory is that kind of composition in which arguments or reasons are offered to influence the mind. It admits of the following divisions : (1) Judicial, (2) Political, (3) Religious, and (4) Morai su:asion.
7. Prose compositions are those in which the thoughts are arranged in non-metrical sentences, or in the natural order in common and ordinary languago. The principal kinds of prose compusition are narrative, letters, memoirs, history, bingraphy, easays, philusophy, sermons, nuvels, speeches, \&c.
8. Sentences are divided grammatically into simple, complex, compound, and also into declarative, interrogative, imperative, and exclimative. Rhetorically, they are divided into loose sentences and periods.
9. A loose sentence consists of parts which may be separated without destroying the sense. It is generally adopted by Addison.
10. A period is a sentence in which the complete sense is suspended until the close. The first sentence of Puradise Lost, and alse the first sentence of the Task, Book JII, furnish exanuples.
11. Pootry is that species of composition in which the words are metrically arranged. It also differs from prose in (1) having a greater number of figures of speech, (2) employing numerous archaic, or non-colloquial terms, (3) preferring epithets to extended expressions, (4) using short and euphonious words instead of what are long or harsh, and (5) permitting deviations from the rules of grammar.
12. Motre is defined as "the recurrence within certain intervals of syllables similarly atfected." This may arise from (1) alliteration, (2) quantity, (3) rhyme, (4) accent, or (5) the number of syllithles.
13. Alliteration, which was the characteristic of Old English puetry consisted in the repetition of the samo letters.
14. Quantity has reference to the length of vowels or syllables. Iat the classical languages, quantity was mear sured by the length of syllables; in English, by the length of the vowels.
15. Rhyme is a similarity of sound at the end of words ; its essentials being (1) rowels alike in sound, (2) consonants before the sowels unliie, and (3) consonants after the vowels alike in sonnd. J'uetry without rhyme is terined blank rerse. Blank verse usually consists of tive, or five and a half, feet.
16. Accent, which forms the distirguishing festure of English verse, is the stress on a syllable in a word
17. Rhythm. - When the words of composition are so arranged that the succession of accented syllables produces harmony we have rhythom. When the accents occur recyularls we have verse, or metre.
18. Couplets, triplets, dec., are used to designate two. three, ©c., verses taken toyether.
19. Stanza is a tern applied to a part of a poem consisting of a number of verses regularly adjusted to one another.
20. Feet. - A portion of a verse of poetry consisting of two or more syllables combined accurding to accent is called a foot. Two syllables thus combined is called a dissyllubic foot, which may be (1) an iambus, when the accent is on the second syllable, or (2) a trochee, when the accent on the first syllable, or (3) a sponcee, when both aro
accented, or both unaccented. Threo syllables combined form a tri-syllabic foot, which may be a dactyl, an amphibrach, or an anapaest.
21. Monometer, dimeter, trimeter, tetrameter, pentameter, and hexumeter, are terms that indicate the number of feet or measures in the verse. Thus five iambic feet are called iambic pentameter. This is the metre of the Deserted Village, The Task, and also of the principal epic, dramatic, philwouphic, and descriptive peems. From its use in epic poetry, where heroic deeds are described, it is called heroic measure. An iambic hexameter verse is called an Alexandrine.
22. The Elgiac stanza consists of four pentameter lines rhyming alternately.
23. The Spenserian stanza consists of eight heroic lines followed by an Alexandrine.
24. Common Metre consists of four verses, the first and third being iambic tetrameters, and the second and fourth, which rhyme, iambic trimeters.
25. Short Motre has three feet in the first, second, and fourth lines, and four in the third.
26. Long Metre consists of four iambic tetrameter lines.
27. Ottava Rima is a name applied to an Italian stanza consisting of eight lines, of which the first sis rhyme alternately, and the last two form a couplet.
28. The Rhyme Royal consists of seven heroic lines, the first five recurring at intervals and the last two rhyming.
29. The Ballad Stanza consists of four lines, the first and third being iambic tetraneters, and the second and fourth iambic trimeters.
30. Pauses. - Besides the usual pauses indicated by the punctuation and callied sentential pauses, there are in poetic
diction the Final parase at the end of each line and the Cesural pause.
31. The Cæsural Pause is a snspension of the voice somewhere in the line itself. It is nut found in short lines, and in long verses is movable. It generally occurs near the middle, but may come after the 4 th, $\overline{\text { oth }}$, 6 th, or 7 th syllable. It is often found in the midlle of a foot, but never in the middle of a word. Sometimes a secundary pause called demicustral found before and also after the cersural.
32. Scansion is a term applied to the dirision of a verse into the feet of which it consists.
33. Classification of Pootry. - In respect to form and mode of treatment, poetry may be divided into (1) Epic, (2) Dramatic, and (3) Lyric.
34. Epio poetry is that variety in which some great event is described, or where the exploits of heroes are treated of. The leading forms of Epic poetry are these:(1) The Great Epic, as the Ilicul, the AEneid, Paradise Lost; (2) The Romance, as the Fuerie Queene, The Lady of the Lake ; (3) The Ballad, as Chery Chase, Macaulay's Lay of Horatius; (4) The Historical I'oem, as Dryden's Ainnus Mirabilis; (5) The Tale,as Byron's Corsair, Enoch Arden; (6) Tho Mixed Epic, as Byron's Chille Harohi; (7) Tho Pastoral, Idyll, \&c., as the Cutter's Naturday Niqht, the E.ccursion ; ( 8 ) Prose Fiction, including sentimental, comical, pastoral, historical, philosophical, or religious novels.
35. Dranatic Poetry deals also with some important events, but ditfers from Epic poetry where the author himself narrates the events forming its subject, in having tho various chararters represent, in action or conversation, the story to bo ieseribed. Dramatic poetry is of two kinds, (1) Tragedy, where the human passions and woes or misfortunes of life s $\quad{ }^{\prime} \eta$ ruch a manner as to ex.
cite pity, as Shakespeare's Macbeth or Hamlet; (z) Comedy, where the lighter faults, passions, actions, snd follies are represented, as the Merchant of Fenice.
36. Lyric Pootry is so called because originally written to be sung to the Lyre. Its principal kinds are: (1) The Ode, as Gray's Bard; (2) The Hymn, as those of Cowper ; (3) The Song, as those of Burns or Moore ; (4) The Elegy, as Gray's ; (5) The Sonnet, as those of Shakespeare or Words rorth : (6) The simple Lyric, as Burns' Mountain Daisy.
37. Further Classification as to object will embrace; (1) Descriptive poetry, as Thomson's Scusons; (2) Didactic, as Wordsworth's Excursion; (3) Pastoral, as Riamsay's Gentle Shepherd; Satirical, as Butler's Hudibras: (5) Humorous, as Cowper's Johu Gilpin.

## I. FIGJRES OF SPEECE.

38. A Figure is a deriation from the ordinary form or construction or application of words in a sentence for the purpose of greater precision, varicty, or elegance of expression. There are three kinds, viz, of E'tymology, of Syntux, and of Rhetoric.
39. A Figure of Etymology is a departure from the usual form of words. The rincipal figures of etymolury are: Aphneresis, Prosthesis, Syncope, Apocope, Paragoye, Direresis, Synueresis, T'mesis.
40. Anhærosis. -The elision of a syllable from the beginning of a worl, as 'neath for beneath.
41. Prosthesis. -The profixing of a syllable to a word, as agoing for going. If the letters are placed in the niddle, Epenthesis, as farther for furer.
42. Syncopo. - The elision of a letter or syllable from the body of a word, as med'cine for medicine
43. Apocope.-The elision of a letter or syllable fro a the end of a word, as tho' for though.
44. Paragogo.-The annexing of a syllable to the end of a word as deary for dear.
45. Direresis. - The divison of two concurrent rowels into different - - llables, as co-operate.
46. Synœrosis. - 'The joinine of two syllables into one, in either orthograply or pomanciation, as dost for doest, loved for loc-ed.
47. Trmesis.- Separating the parts of a compound word, as "What time suever." When letters in the same worlareinterchanged, as brunt for burnt, nostrils for nosethirles, the figure is called Metathesis.
48. A Figure of Syatax is a deviation from the msual construction of a sentence for greater beanty or iorce. The principal figures of syutax are: Ellipsis, Plennasm, Syllepsis, Eiuallage, Hyperbutom Periphrasis, Tautrogy.

4!. Ellipsis.-An omission of words with a rhetorical purpuse, as " Lupussible!" Axyuleton is the umission of commectives.
50. Pleonasm.-The employment of redundant words, :"s "Thy' rod and thy staff, they comfort me."
5. Syllepis.- In infermer species of personification, as "The moon gives her lisht by uight."
52. Enallage. - The substitution of one part of speech for another, as-
"Whether charmer sinner it or saint it
If folly gruw romintio I nust paint it. "—Pope.
53. Hyperbaton. - The tramsposition of words in a sentence, as "A mumb he was to all the comntry dear."
54. Feriphrasis or Circmalontion. - The employment of more words than are necessary to convey the sense, as the lise of a dufinition or descrutive phraso instead of a
noun, as " He was charmed with the idea of taking up arms in the service of his curntry."
55. Tautology.-The repetition of the same sense it. diflerent wurds, as-
"The dawn is overcast-the morning lowers,
And heavily in clouds brings on the day." - Addison.
56. A. Figure of Rhetoric is a form of speech artfully varied from the direct and literal mode of expression for the purpose of greater effect. Rhetorical figures may be divided into three classes.
57. I. Figures of Relativity. - Antithesis, Simile. Metaphor, Allegory, Personificition, A postrophe, Vision, Allusion, Irony, Sarcasm, Synecdoche, Metonymy, Euphemısm, Litotes, Epithet, Catachresis.
68. II. Figises of Gradation.-Climax, Hyperbole.
69. III. Figures of Emphasis.-Epizeusis, Anaphora, Epiphorra, Anadiplnsis, Epunulepsis, Alliteration, Anacoluthon, A posiopesis, Paraleipsiz, Erotesis, Epanorthosis, syl. lezsis, Ėcphonesis.
60. Antithesic.-The statement of a contrast of thoughts and words, as "The wicked llee when no man pursueth, but the righteous are bold as a lion."

Under this figure may be mentinned Oxymmron, or a contradiction of terms, as "a pious fraud"; Autimetohole, where the words are reversed in each member of the antithesis, as "A wit with dunces, and a dunce with wits."
61. Simile or Comparison, - A formill expression of resemblance, as: "He shall be like a tree planted hy t? rivers of water."
62. Metaphor.-An implied complarison or a simils without the sign, as "Pitt was the pillar of the State."
63. Allegory. - A continuation of metaphors, or a story having a figurative meaning and designed to convey in.
struction of a moral character, as Bunyan's Pilgrim's Progress
64. Personiflcation.-A figure in which somo attribute of life is ascribed to inanimate objects, as "The mountains siny together, the hills rejoice and clap hauds."
65. Apostrophe.-A turning off from the subject to address something absent, as "Death is swallowed up in victory. O Death, where is thy sting ?"
66. Vision.-The narration of past or absent scenes as though actually present, as "I see before me the giadiator lic," etc.
67. Allusion.-That figure by which some word or phrase in a sentence calls to mind something which is not mentioned, as "It may be said of him that he came, he saw, he conquered."
(i8. Irony.-A figure by which we mean to convey a meaning the contrary of what we say, as where Elijah ad. dresses the worshippers of Baal, "Cry aloud, for he is a god."
69. Sarcasm.-A mode of expressing vituperation under a somewhat veiled form, as the Letters of Junius.
70. Synecdoche.-A figure where-

1. A part is put for the whole, as "A fleet of twenty sail."
2. The species for a genus, as "our daily bread."
3. The concrete for the abstract, as "The patriot comes forth in his politics."
4. The whole for a part, as "Belinda smiled and ail the world was gay."
5. The genus for the species, as "The creature was sad."
6. The abstract for the concrete, as"Belgium's capital had gathered then Her beauty and her chivalry.'

Antonomasia is a form of synecdoche where a proper noun is used to designate a class, as-
"Some village Hampden, that with dauntless breast, The little tyrant of his fields withstood."
71. Notonymy.-A figure where one thing is described by another thing in substituting-

1. The cause for the effect, as "A time there was, ere England's griefs began, When every rood of ground maintained its man."
2. The effect for the cause, as "Gray hairs should be respected."
3. The sign for the thing signified, as "He carried away the palm."
4. The container for the thing contained, as "ine toper loves his bottle."
5. The instrument for the agent, as "The pen is mightier than the suord."
6. An author for his works, as "We admire Addison."
7. Euphemism.-A figure by means of which a harsn expression is set aside and a softer one substituted in its place, as "The merchant prince has stopped payment."
8. Litotes.-A figure in which by denying the contrary, more is implied than is expressed, as
" Immortal names, That were not born to die."
74 Transforred Eipithet.-An epithet joined to another tc explain its character, as "The sunny South."
9. Catachresis.-A figure where a word is wrested frum its original application and made to express something at variance with. its true meaning, as "Her voice was but the shadow of a sound."
10. Climax.-An ascending series of thoughts or statewents increasing in strength, as "What a piece of worr
is man! how noble in reason ! how infinite in faculties : in form and moving, how express and admirable! in ac. tion, how like an angel! in apprehension, how likea God !Hamlet. Where the series is descending we have an Anticimax, as "If once a man inlulges himself in murder, very soon he comes to think little of robbing ; and from robbing lie comes next to drinking and Sabbath-breaking, and from that to incivility and procrastination."-De Quiney.
11. Hyporbole. - A figure by which more is expressed than the truth and where the exargeration is not expected to be talien literally, as "flley were swifter than eagles, they were stronger than lions." (Referring to David's statement concerning Saul and. Jonathan.)
12. Epizeuxis.-The immediate repetition come word or worls for the sake of emphasis, as-
"Restore hiun, vesiore him if you can from the dead."
13. Anaphora. -The repetiticn of a word or phrase at the beginning of each of soveral sentences or parts of a sentence, as-
"No more the farmer's news, the barber's tale,
No more the woodnan's ballad shall prevail,
No more the smith his dusky brow shall clear."
14. Epiphora. - Where tho repetition is at tho end, and Anadiplosis. - Where tho repetition is in the middle :
"Has he a gust for blood? Blood shall fill his cup."
15. Epanalepsis.- Where there is a repetition at the end of the sentence of tho worl or words at the beginning.
16. Alliteration. - The repetition of the same letter or letters, as "Apt alliteration's urtful aid."
17. Anacoluthon.-A figure by which a proposition ts left unfinished and something elso introduced to fiush the sentence, as-
"If thou be'st he-but oh, how fallen, how shanged trom him who," etc.
18. Aposiopesis.-A sudden pause in a sentence by which the conclusion is left unfinished, as" For there I picked up on the heathar, And there I put within my breast, A inoulted feather, an eagle's feather-Well-I forget the rest." - Browning.
19. Paraleipsis or omission.-A figure by which a speaker pretends to pass by what at the same time he real. ly mentions, as " I do not speak of my adversary's scandalous venality and rapacity ; I take no notice of his brutal conduct."
20. Erotesis. - An animated or passionate interroçation, as-
" Hath the Lord said it? and will He unt do it?
Hath He spoken it? and shall He not make it good?"
21. Epanorthosis. - A figure by which an expression is recalled and a stronger one substituted in itf place, as "Why should I speak of his neglect-neglect did I say? call it rather contempt."
22. Syllepsis. -The use of an expression which is taken in a literal and metaphorical sense, as-
"Lie heavy on him, Earth, for he
Laid many a heavy load on thee."
23. Ecphonesis. - An animated exclamation, ssOthello. - O, my soul's joy,

If after every tempest come such calms, May the winds blow till they have wakened death."
90. Other figures are often found, as zeugma, whereby a verb, etc., applicable to only one clause does duty for two, as -
"They wear a garment like the Scythians, but a lan. guage peculiar to themselves."

Ancconosis, where the speaker appeals to the judg. ment of his audience on the point in debate, as if they had feelings common with his uwn. The Eniqma or riddle. The Epigram, where the mind is ronsed by a conflict or crntradiction between the form of the language and the meaning to be conveycd, as "The child is father of the man." Personal Metaphor, where acts are attributed to inanimate objects, The Paronomasia or pun. The Parable, l'roverb, Repartee, otc.
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## IHE DEVELOPMENT OF ENGLISH POETRY.

$\longrightarrow-0-$
Poetry as a Mirror.-The literature of a nation bears an intimate relation to its history. The poets of a perioul fairly express its prevailing thoughts and sentiurents. Great eras in a country's rise and progress have always been found to correspond with the great intellectual eras of its growth. When questions of a political, social, noral or religious importance have stirred men's minds, then have arisen authors whose works have refected the predominnt features of the times in which they lived. Thus this heroic greatness of the Hellenic race is marked by Homer, not only rich in peetic thought, but clearly the outcome of the mental life and claracter of ancient Greece. The age of Pericles, brilliant in politiealachievements, was no less illustriousfor its intellectual vigor. The Augustan era, forming the lofty climax of Ruman influence and power gave to the Latin language Virgil and Horace, Ciceru and Livy. A review of English literature, and especially English poetry, exhibits still more clearly this intimate relationship. " qe writings
of Chancer, Spenser, Shakespeare, Milton, Drydon and Pope as well as Cowper, Burns, Scott, Tennysun and Browning reflect, as with a magic mirror, the genius of the periods of which they are distinguished representatives.

Chaucer belongs to a period when the darkness of the Middle Ages was passing away. New linguages were forming on the continent, and the happy fusion by courtly intluence of Anglo-Saxon and Norman-French, termmated a long struggle for ascendancy, and produced our noble English tongue. It was tho age of Dante, of Petrarch, and Boecaccio. - when Wyeliffo by his writings translations and discourses was creating a ferment in the religions world,-when Crecy and Puicliers wero gained, and Edward III. was eneouraging the settlement of Flomish artisans and extending the trade of the English merclants over every sea of Europe, and thus paving the way for that commercial supremacy which should subse quently add to the nation's glory. With Claucer is well exemplified the fact that the poet to be successful must live with and for his generation, must suit himself to the tastes of his public, must have common sympathies with his readers and must adopt a style that accords with the cmutions by which he is actuated. The Canterbury Tales, his greatest work, vividly represents that gaily apparelled time when king tilted in tournament: and knight and lady rodo along with falcon on wrist, and when friars sitting in tavern sang war songs quite in harmony with the nation's victories on the continent, but little in keeping with their sacred calling. With the "father of English poetry" every character is a perfect study elaborated with a careful finish and minuteness of touch; the beautiful and grand objects of nature are painted with grace and sublimity ; and results are thus combined which are unsurpassed by any Englisls poet that
lived before his time. He became the acknowledged inventor of the heroic line, characterized not by quantity as that of Greece and Rome, but by accent which thus became a recognized feature of English versification. The legacy left to our literature has not been unproductive in the hands of a long succession of heirs. His influence had its effect upon all the great poets that followed him, and upon none more evidently than those of the present century.

Spenser.-The breaking up of old systems, the revolts of the people, and the furious struggles between the Houses of Tork and Lancaster darkened for a time as with a mist, the lamp of English poetry, but it possessed sutticient vitality to enable it to blaze forth under favorable influences with greater brilliancy than before. The invention of printing ; the interest in classical literature ; the study of Greek philosophy, and, especially, the freedom with which religion was discussed, aroused a spirit of activity which added powerful impulses to the growth of the national intellect. The translation of the works of modern Italy, and thoze of France whero letters received an earlier revival ; the circulation of the Scriptures presenting a variety of incidents, images, and aspirations connected with orientai life and manners; the study of the allegorical tales and romances of chivalry and the fostering influence of a learned queen who surrounded her court with men qualified to shine in every department of learning, ushered in a period which is appropriately termed the Augustan age of English literature.

It is not dificult to understand how, with such knightly spirits as Raleigh and Essex, the essential spirit of chivalry, "high thought and a heart of courtesy" as Sidney puts it, found a fitting exponent in Edmund Spenser. Among the poets who flourished exclusively in
the reign of Elizabeth he stands without a rival. Ne inaster-piece of the great painters ever glowed on canvas with more reality than the lurie Queene, and no poet stys Wilson, "has ever had a more exquisite sense of t.eo "eantiful" than its author. He deemed himself the preticsl son of Chancer, and was, in his own times, taunted with "affecting the ancients," and with engrafting on his own language the "old withered words and exploded persons" of a former period. If guilty, so may Virgil and Milton, Scott and Worlsworth receive similar condemmation. At all events succeeding generations have paid homage to the richness and pathos of his strains, and the author of Puradise Lost, and the author of the Secisons, as well as Scott and Tennyson havebeen essentially indubted to this "Rubens of English poetry."

Shakespeare.-Tho new inpulses by which the human mind began to be stirred, mark the early part of the sixteenth century as the great frontier-lino which livides the Literary History of the Middle Ages from what we call Modern. The Revival of Classical Learning pened up to a people zealous for enquiry the rich mines of knowledge of the Greeks and Romans. Theological liscussions aroused is spirit of research and investigation. The extensive circulation of the Scriptures and other works decided the question of a national tongue. Under Shakespeare, the greatest writer the world has ever seen, the drama reached its highere perfection. But the " myriad-minded" writer of tragedly and comedy with all his depth, sublimity, creative power and refinement was inspired by that samo love of nature and truth that pre"ades tho works of Chaucer, Spenser and the great modern poets. Nature was his great preceptress from whose inspired dictates he spoke-" warm from the heart and faithiul tu its fires"-atal in his disresgard of rules he
pursued at wils his winged way through all tl labyrinths of fancy and of the human heart. No writit has exhibited such a deep acquaintance with the human heart, its passions, its powers, its weaknesses and its aspirations. From his works may be gathered precepts adapied to every condition of life, and to every circumstance of human affiars, and no writings except the Bible hare been insre closely interworen with the language of every-daty life.
Milton nubly closes that rich poetry of the imagination which marks the age begun by Spenser. With a mind stured with invaluable treasures of the mines of liveece and home, and an extensive acquaintance with the older English poets, many years actively employed in the keen strugyle for civil and relicgious liberts, well qualified him for undertaking a theme lofty in its con. ception, and intimately convected with everything innportant in the circumstances of human history. In the erash which shattered the regal and hierarchic institutions of the country, his majestic, unwordly and heroic soul saw only the overthrow of false systems, and the dawn of a bright period marked by private investigation and inclividual liberty. All the higher influences of the Renaissance are summed up in Milton. That pure poetry of natural description which he began in L'Allegro, and $I I$ Penseroso has no higher examples to produce from the writings of Wordsworth, Scott, or Keats. Living in ar age when skilful criticism, though it purified English rerse, gave rise to false conceits and extraragance, his knowlellge of good classical models enabled him to free his works from the advancing inroads of a rising school.
Not only did he create the English epic and place limself by the side of Homer, Virgil and Dante, but he put new life into the masque, sonnct and elegy, the descriptive
lyric, the song and the choral drama. Though untrue i. his descont from the Elizabethans in a want of humor and of the dramatic faculty, we can forget these defects while we listen to the organ ring of his versification, the stately march of his diction, the benutiful and gorgeous illustrations from uature and art, the brightly coloured pictures of human happiniess and innocence, and the lofty. sentiments of Parcuise Losit. Blank verse, which Surrey haul introducel into our literature, is manared by Milton with a skill that shows its power in the construction of an he:oic pnem. The deptly or sublimity of his conceptions finds a corresponding expressiveness in his numbers; and his power over languare was not in its variety due to a nusical ear, but had its source in the deep feclings of a heart influenced by the conscientions spirit of Puritanisn.

The Rostoration. With the return of the English people to monarchical fovernment they were sadly disappointed in their expectations of a return at the same time to their ancient natronality and monles of thought. The exiled Charles and his royalist followers had rubbed off by their friction with the men and mamers of other nations much of those extermal habits and custome, which, if not of the most eommemblable description, possesserl a spirit of nationality and patriotism. They returned with strong predelictions in favor of Fronch literature, heni: fully impressed with the belief of its superionity now that of every other country. It was not the first or last instance when a foreign literature exercised a marked influence niton our own. Chancer, thously plainly the pret of charector and of practical life, writes laredy after the manner of the Provingala, but improved by Italian models. Spenker's manner is also that of the lrovineals, bnt wideri by the aut tors of a later Italian school. The character of German literature miluenced Scott, and in our own day, Carlyle.

Milton, as we have seen, was the great representative of the Classical school, now to be fullowed by the writers who moulded their works after the tastes of Paris. the social mischiefs of the Restoration were the wurst fruits of the French intluence. The Court and the society of the metropolis began to exercise a powerful intluence on the various departments of literature. The corrupt and profligate manners of the Court tainted too easily a people whohad felt the restraints of Puritan rule. Thelighterkinds of composition mirrored faithfully the surrounding blackness, which required no short period of time, no little exertion and a religious revival to clearitaway. The drama sank to a frightful degree of shame and grossuess. Other forms of poetry were marked by no ligher object than that to which satire aspires. Writing verse was degraded from a high and noble art to a mere courtly amusement, or pander to the inmorality of in degenerated ago.

The Artificial School of Poetry. The poets already considered beionged to the "school of nature." Influences were now at work which gave rise to another phase of poetic genius. The Guthic and Romance literature of the Middle Ages gave its inspiration to Chaucer, Spenser, Shakespeare and Milton. The study of the Greek and Roman Classics gave an impetus to a class of writers who, mfluenced by causes of another kind, developed a. new style of poetry. The gieat masters possessed artistic as well as natural powers. The secondary poets of the Elizabethan period, though fresh and impassioned, as a result of the strong feelings that inspired them, were extravagant and unrestrained because of their want of art. When the national lifegrew chill, the poets inspired by $n o$ warm feelings became lavish in the use of "far-fetched 'neanings," and fanciful forms of expression. With puetry anaragant in words and fantastic in images, the sense
became often obscure. The natural style unregulate 1 by art assumed an umatural character. Millton, in ad lition to the inspiration derived from Gothic and Romanr s lites ature, by his knowlelge and initation of the grea' dassicat models, gave the tirst example in England of a pure, Cnished and majestic style. Those who felt during the Restoration period the power of his genius were also intluenced by the "school of inquiry," which all over Europe showed its work in science, politics and religion. In France this tendency to criticise was well representen $i_{n}$ poetry by Boileau, LaFontaine, and others, whose effurt after greater tinish and neathess of expression told on English writers at a time when French tastes began "even to mingle with the ink that dropped from the poet's pen." The new French school was founcled on classical models, which had already become fashionable in England. The admirers of Charles II. were also admirers of that great nation so frioudly to the Stuarts, which under Louis XIV. had reached the highest point of civilization then attained by any European state. It would be a mistake to conclude that the Restoration was the origin of the "artificial schoul." The work had already been begun and had made much progress before the death of the Protector. The accession of the "merry monarch" gave it a mighty innpulse, and in accelerating the aloption of "cold, glittering mamerism, for the sweet, fresh light of natural language" allded at the same time the poisonous colouring of an immoral court.

Dryden. Milton the great leader of the setting age, had scarcely given to the world his Paradise Lost, when Dryden, the leader of the rising age, appeared before the public. As a poet his is the great name of the period that followed the Restoration. He had fallen upon evil times. The poet must reflect his age. There was little noble to
reflect. The poetry of the passions of the human heart. the poetry of the affection, and the poetry of religion had shown evident indications of decline. Satire, didactic and philosophical poetry came to the front. Living in a most infamous period of English history when the most flagrant corruption was rampant in church and state, Dryden, in want of better subjects turned satirist. There his wit and sarcasm turned against his opponents rendered him unsurpassed by Horace or Juvenal. Our literature possesses 110 more vigorous portrait-painter. His choice of words and forms of expression are most appropriate. In versification he is one of our greatest masters. He was a diligent student of the best models. He carried to the highest perfection the rhymed heroic couplet of ten syllables By the occasional introduction of a triplet and the skilful use of the Alexandrine at the end of a paragraph, he knew well how to break the uniformity of the couplet and give to his versification that
"Long-resounding march and energy divine."
which gave to his poetry of this metre such vigour, sonorousness and variety.

Pope. The glitter of Dryden's poetry dazzled the public mind from the death of Milton till his own in 1700 . His most distinguished pupil was Alexander Pope, who as a poet surpasses his master in the most characteristic features of the artificial school. In mechanical execution Pope is without a peer. His meatness and correctness of expression, pointed and courlly diction, harmony of versification and melody of rhyme rank him par excellence the artist of poetic style. In his polished heroic couplets are found sparkling wit, strong sense, good taste and terse and vigorous command of the choicest English. We find, however, that coldness of sentiment and disregard of the
emotions and passions of the soul which Dryden had observed, carried to such perfection by Pope that the ?ublic soon after longed for a return to rature. The age was not designed to cultivate the highest puetic genius. Matter was regarded of less importance than the form of the words by which it was expressed. We look in vam dirough Pope's elaborately polished verses for those qualities that would place him among the greatest masters of the lyre. He has none of the universality of Shakespeare or sublimity of Milton. Of the varying shades and gradations of vice and virtue, wisdom and folly, he was a aice observer and an accurate describer Had he studied the great English poets more, and paid less attention to the school of Horace and Boileau, his memory would have been hallowed with still more affectionate and permanent interest. His great object was to expresa himself smouthly. Attractive and lucid utterance was his aim. With a desire "0 "set" gems rather than create them, to make "correet" verse his "study and aim," it is no wonder that "truth" was cften "cut short to niake a sentence round." In the first half of the eigntcenth century no name is more bril. li.nit than that of the author of The Rupe of the Lock, Windsor Forest, The Temple of F'ame, The Dunciud and the translation of Homer. In his Epistles and Essay on Man we have numerous passages that have supplied to sur current literature more phrases and sentiments remarkable for their mingled truth and beauty than are to be found probably in any other pieces of equal length.
Decuy of the Artificial School. The greater part of the eighteenth century was, in a literary point of view, cold, dissatisfied and eritical. It valued forms more than substance. Warm feelings, grand thoughts and ereative genius, were less estecmed than elegance of phrase and aymmet-y of proportion. In a period when philosophy
was essentially utilitarian, and religion a system of practical morality, it is not surprising that poetry was largely didactic and mechanical. With such attention to form, an active criticisn rendered our English prose, when employed by such masters as Addison, for the first time. absolutely simple and clear. For zimilar reasons during the same period, Nature, Passion. and Imagination decaycd in poetry. But matters were coming to a crisis. Hume and Robertson were beginning their career as historians. Richardson. Fielding and Smoliet aroused a taste for light literature. In moral philosophy Jonathan Edwards and Joseph Butler were laying the foundations of systems on a sounder basis. New thoughts mored men. The poets felt the impulse of the transition period. The publication of Warton's History of Poetry and Percy's Reliques revived a taste for the bold, free style of our earlier writers. The inspiration seized the writers of verse, and a return from the classisal to the romantic, from the artificial to the natural, soon began to manifest itself. Pope's name stood highest until his death in $1 \% 44$, but the most distinguished of his contemporaries departed widely from the style of their great maseer. Thomson made nos attempt to enter the school of polished satire and pungent wit. Equal originality is shown by Young in his startling denunciations of death and judgment, stirring appeals and choice epigrams. Gray and Collins in aiming at the dazzling imagery and magnificence of lyrical poetry show the "new departure." The former is not without the polish and exquisitely elaborated verse of Pope, but as well as Collins, he shows the freshness, the spirit of imagination, and the sprightly vivacity of the older poets. Akenside its strains of melodious and original blank rerse, expatiated on the operations of the mind and the associated charm of taste and genius. Johmson alone of the pminpny
authors of this period seems to have adopted the style of Dryden and Pope. But his ponderous Latinized composition was counteracted in part by the simplicity of Goldsinith and Mackenzie. Many of the poets of the transition period show the didactic tender:cy of the times. It required in some catses an effort to break off from what hatd been popular. To such a low ebb had the public taste been reduced that Gray was ridiculed and Collins was neglected. The spirit of true poetry was not, however, dead. The conventionai style was destined to f:ll, leaving ouly that taste for correct language and polished versification which Poje had estahlished. The seed was sown and the next generation was to see under Cowper that work completed which Thomson had begun.
The System of Patronage. During the Elizabethan period and considerable time afterwards the sucial standing of literary men was far from encouraging. 'Tie names of Spenser, Butter and Otway are sufficient to remind us that warm contemporary recognition was not enough to secure an author from a prosition of want Puralise Lost yielded its author during eleven years only $£ 15$. Ben Jolmson in the earlicr, and Dryden in the latter part of the seventeenth century found the laureate's pittance scarcely sutficient to keep their heads above water. The first few years of the next century showed signs of mprovement. In the reign of Charles 11., Dorset had introduced the system of patronage, which, under Montague, Earl of Halifax, becane subsequently so serviceable tu men of literature. The politicians who came into power with the Revolution were willing for a time to share the public patronage with men of intellectual eminence. Addison, Congreve, Swift and other authora of less note won by their pens not only temporary profits, buc vermaniai places. Prior, G:ay, Tickell, Rowe and

Steele held offices of considerable emolument, and Locke, Newton and others were placed above indigence by the same system of princely favor. Before Pope was thirty the fruits of his pen amounted to over $£ 6000$, and by the popular mode of subscription he received $£ 8000$ fur his translation of Homer. Such rewards indicate a readiness among both political parties to patronize literature with si beneficence honourable to those who gave, and advantageous to those who received. In one respect at least the period may be termed the Augustan age of literature. Its patrons were in high places and were prepared to gire it substantial rewards. Fortunately for the cause of literature, though painfully inconvenient for many writers of the "transition period," this system. of patronage was doomed shortly after the accession of the House of Hanorer.

Decline of Patronage. The reigns of William IIL. and Anne are noted for the encouragement given to literature by those in authority. After the accession of the House of Hanover, there was a marked change. The reign of George II., though productive of much progress in science and literature is marked by no indication of originality. Still it had many authors who deserved better treatment than they received. As the system of party government developed, the political partisans were sufficient to absorb all the sinecures at the disposal of the leaders. Authors were rewarled by no munificent patronage from the Crown or ministers of state. Harley and Bolingbroke were succeedud by Sir Robert Walpole, a wise tactician, but a man with no taste for learning, no admiration of genius. His liberality to the extent of $£ 50,000$ was extended only to obscure and unscrupulous partisans, the supporters of a corrupt government, whose names might have passed into oblivine but for the satire
of Pope. Scribbling tor a party in pamphlets and newsnapers was rewarded, while genius was neglected. The considerable sums spent on literature were given for services equally degrading to giver and receiver. Men of talent, who would not stoop to the "dirty work" of sustaining with their pens a base administration, might starve in Grub Street, or be pilluried in the Duncied, although had they lived thirty gears before, they might have been entrusted with an embassy $n$ appointed Commissioners, Surveyors or Secretaries. Men Iike Chirchill, who turned their pens to political satire, were well remunerated. Young obtained, in time, a pension, and Thomson, after tasting the worst miseries of anthor-life, was rewarded with a sinecure. But Collins, Fie'ding, and even Thomson and Johıson, were arrested for debt, and the wretehed and precarious lives of many, lave made Grub Street, in which they herded together, suggestive of rags, hunger and misery. The age of dedication was intolerable to men of independeuce of spirit. Authors hy profession must either starve or become parasites. The reading publie wats very limited, and the booksellers, in consequence, were not to be blamed for the small sums given to authors. A better day wis dawning. The right of the Press to discuss public aflairs created a class of writers of higher moral and literary qualifications. Tho time was rife for the emancipation for ever, of literature from the "system of tlattery." The letter of Johnson to Chesterfield gave the "knock-duwn " blow. It mas, as Carlyle calls it, "the far-famed hlast of doom proclaiming into the ear of Lord Chesterfield, and through him, of the listoning world, that patronage should be no more." The period between the old and the new system, was one of much privation and suffering. In that period lived Gold. sullen.

Revival of the Natural School. From about the middle of Pope's life to the death of Jolnson, was a time of transition. The influence of the didactic and satiric poetry of the critical school, lingered anong the new elements which were at work. The study of Greek and Latin classies revived, and that correct form for which Pope sought, was blended with the beautiful forms of " natural feeling and natural scenery." The whole course of poetry was taken up with greater interest after the publication of Warton's History of Einglish Poetry, and Dr. Percy's Roliques of Aucient English Poctry in 1765. Shakespeare was studied in a more accurate way, and the child-likeness and naturalness of Chaucer began to give delight. The narrative ballad and the narrative romance, afterwards perfected by Sir Walter Scott, took root in English verse. Forgeries suich as Fingul, an Ancient Epic Poom, by Macpherson, sud tine fabrications of Chatterton,

> "the marvellous boy.
> The sleepless soui, that perish'd in his pride,"
indicate the drift of the new element. It was felt that the artificial school did not exhibitfully the noble sentiments, emotions and thoughts of the human soul. Man alone had - , witreated of by the poets. Nature now was taken up. The polish and accuraey of $\overline{\mathrm{P}}$ ope is fully preseryed by such writers as Gray, Collins and Guddsmith, but their verse is also "instinct with natural feeling and simplicity." Natural description had appeared alrealy in the poems of the Puritans, Marvel and Miltun; but Thomson, in the Seasons, was the "first l'uet who led the English people into the new world of nature in puetry, which has moved and enchanted us in the worl:s of Wordsworth, Shelley, Keats and Tennyson, but which was entirely impossibie for Pope to understand." The real and actual were, as
subjects of song, to be substituted for the abstract and remote. The increase in national wealth and population, led to the inprovement of literature and the aits, and to the adoption of a more popular style of composition. The human intellect and imagination, unhampered by the conventional stiffiess and classic restraint imposed upon former authors, went abroad upon wider survevs and with nore ambitious dosigns.

The age of Cowper. Of all poetical writers of the last twenty years of the eighteenth century the name of Comper casts the greatcst illustration upon the period in which he lived. The liard artificial brilliancy of Pope standing : the hearl of that list, which included Gibbon and Hume, Chesterficld and Horace Walpole had scarcely ceasad to dazzle the poets of the Johnsonian era. The death of "king Samuel" in England, like that of Voltaire in France, was not frillowed by the accossion of another to the throne of literature. The reaction which followed the Restoration did not readily subside, and the approach of the French Revolution was marked by movements of great social as well as of great political importance. In England the forces which had been silently gathering strength usliered in a revolution no less striking than that which convulsed the continent. The attention of the community was arrested by changes of a moral and religious character, which are still running their course. The earnestness of the puritan lad almost disappeared, and the forms of religion were found with little of its power. Scepticism widely pervaded the wealthy and educated classes. The progress of free inquiry had produced a general indifterence to the great questions of Christian speculation. It arose partly from an aversion to theological strife, as a result of the civil war, and partly from the new intelloctual and material channels
to which human energy was directed. The spiritual decay of the great dissenting bodies lhad gone hand in hand with that of the estiablishment. It was an age of gilded sinfulness among the ligher classes, and of a sinfuiness ungilded, but no less coarse, anong the lower classes. Drunkenness and foul language were not suftlcient to render the politician guilty of them unfit to be prime minister. The purity and fidelity of woman were sueered at, as out of fashion. The vast increase of population which had followed the growth of towns, and the rapid development of manufactures had bean met by little effort to improve the moral or intellectual condition of the masses. Without schools the lower orders were ignorant, and brutal to a degree which it is haril to conceive. The rural peasantry who were fast being reduced to a state of pauperism by the abuse of the poorlaw had in many cases no moral or religious training of any kind. Within the towns matters were worse. There was no effective police to withstand the outbreaks of ignorant mobs. It was the age of the old criminal law when cutting a pear-tree or stealing a hare, was regarded as a capital crime, while the "gentleman" might with impunity be guilty of duelling, gambling, or outrages on female virtue. It was the age of the old system of prison discipline, which aroused the philanthrory of Howard. It was a period which has associated with it fagging and bullying in school and the general applicatiou of the rod as the most potent aid in the process of instraction. It was the period with which the nanues of Walpole and Newcastle are identified, and which has associated with it rotten boroughis, political corruption, party without principle, and all the rancourness of faction warfare. The sights that indicate cruelty and hardness of heart, such as bull-rings, cock-pits and whipping-posts
wers quite as common as the fumes that indicate intemperance. It was the age of great reforms. Johnson had left his impress on the improved tone of society and had overthrown the system of patronage; Wilberforce and Clarkson were coming forward to abolish the slare tradc. Burke and ritt were to restore the higher principles of statesmanship, and to redeem the character of public men. A more important reform and one which gave an impulse to all the others, was of a religious character.

In the middle elasses, the piety of a former period had not complotely died out. From that quarter issued the "Methodist movement," which awakened a spirit of moral zeal, that softened the manners of the people, called forth philanthropists and statesmen who infused clemency and wisdom into our penal laws, reformed our prisons, abolished the slave trade, gave to popular education its tirst impulso, disctissed measures for arresting the evils of intemperance, and adopted various methods of a Christian eharacter for bettering the social condition of the humbler elasses. (See Green's English History.) The enthusiasm of th, Wesleys and Whitefield was not kindled against the rules of the Church or State, but only against vice and irreligion. The results of their zeal are not confined to the denomination which owes its origin to the movement, and no body is more ready than the English Chureh to acknowledge the great advantages of the religious revival of tho last century.

If Wesley came to revive religion and impress upou his followers that Christian worship was "of the heart," Cowner, who was imbued with the spirit of the movement came to regenerato poetry, to Christianize it, to elevate it, and to fill it again with feeling and with truth. If the ballads of a nation have, as in the caso of Burns, a lasting effect in arousing patriotism, the religious poems of Cowper nay be regarded no less infiuential in extending "that religion which exalts and ennoblea man."
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BEST AU'THORIZED ELEMENTARY TEAT-BCUIS IN GRAMMAR.

## Revised Ed. IViller's Language Lessons. Price, 25 Cents.

procs of the seperiority of miller's revised edition over all others.
Its enormous sale
Nearly two hundred thousand have been sold within the last four years.
Miller's Swinton's is authorized by the Education Department for use in the Sulionls of Ontario.
Unly Edticn adaniod by the Frutestant Roard of Education of Montreal and uscelin many of the principa! Scheens of the Brovince of Quebec. Only EXitiza uzed ia the Schols of Nentoundland.
Unly E.aithanainted by the Supt of Education fer theSchools of Manitoba
Millev's Revised fwiabon is usal in uftio tentha (ftae principal Schools of Ontario.
Only Euition nrepared as an Introductory Bcok to Mason's Grammar, both having the eame Defintims.

## A THうK~ULIた EXAMINATICN GIVEN.

To the President and Merbers ef the County of Eigin Teachers' Associathon: in accordarce w.th a motish passed at the last regular meeting of the Association, appotitits the uithrsigncel a Committce to consider the regpective merita if chifexent Enghsh Gramwars, with a view to suggest the most suitalle oie ser dutlie schucts, we leg leave to report, that, after fully comparing the varicus cultions that have been recommended, we believe that "Miller's "3winten's 1, ar \%uade Jeessunz" is the best o.dapted to the wants of junicr cupits, and ve whild urge its authorization on the Governmeat, asu its miroduction isto our Public schoots.
signed, A. Fi Butcre, Ca Inspector. J. Miclean, Town Inspector.
3. Mhlat, M. A, Heaj Master Ct. Thema3 Collegiate Institute. A. STEELE, 31. A, "Orangeville Iligh Schcol. N. Canhrell, " Co of Elyia Mchel School.

It was moved and seconded that the report be received and adopted.Carricd unapimuusly

> To avoid mistakes, ask for دliler's Swinton's.

## Mason's Outlines of English Grammar.

AUTHORIZED FUR USE IN gCHOOLS.
New and Improved Edition. For the use of Junicr Classes, by C P Masos, B. A., F. C. P., Fellow of University Collcge, London.
Sixth English, and fourth Canadian Edition, price 45 cts.
From the Dails Globe, Toronto.
Mr. C. P. Mason, whose excellent "English Grammar" is now the authorized text book in the selbols of Ontario, has prepared and issued a more elementary and much-needed work entitled "Outlines of English Grammar." The text of this work is substantially the same as that of the larger treatise in both matter and form, exeept that the very elaborate notes in the latter are either omitted or very much reduced in extent.
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## Mason's Outlines of İnglish Grammar.

 For the use oi juitor slasses.Price.
45 Cents.
Mason's Shorter Eng!ish Grammar.
With copious and carefully graded excreises, 243 pages.
Price,
60 Cents.

## Mason's Advanced Grammar.

Including the prinerples of Grammatical Analysis. Ey C. P. Masos, B. A., E. $\because$ F., fellow of University College, London. Eularged and thoronghly revised, with Examinations l'apers added ly W.Hunston. II.A., 27th Edition, price,

75 Cents.
"I asked a grammar schonl inspeetor in the old country to send we the best grammar pmblishef] there. He immediately sent Mason's. The chapters on the andysis of difieculf sentereses is of itielf sumficient to place the work far beyomi any English Grammar hitherto lefore the Canadian jub-lic."-Alex. sims, M. A., H. M. II. S., ( Oak ville.

## English Grammar Practice.

This work ennsists of the 1:xercises appended to the "Shorter English Grammar," jublished in a separate fozm. They are arranged in progressme lessons in such a ranamer as to be aviulable with almost any text book ut English Grammar, wid take the learner ly easy stages from the simplest English wonl: to the most difiecult constructions in the Language.
Price,
30 Cents.

## Outlines of English Grammar.

These elementary i.leas are rellueed to regmar form by means of careful definitions and plain rules, illustrated ly abumiant and sarted exampion tor practice. The learner is made acquainteri, in moderate masure, withs the most important of the older forms of Buglish, with the way in which words are constructed, and with the clements of which modern limplsh is mashup. Analysis is treated so dar as to give the power of dealing with sentences of plain construction and menderate difieulty. In the

## English Grammar

the same subjects are precented with much greater fulness, and carried to a more advanced and diflicult stace. The work contains ample materials for the requirements of competitive Fxaminations rearhing at least the standard of the Matriculation Examination of the University of London.
The Shorter English Grammar.
is intended for learners who have bint a limited amount of time at their disposal for Enslish studies; but the experience of seloonls in which it has been the only English (imamar usen, has shown that, when well nastered. this work also is sufficient for the lomdon Matriculation lixamination.
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HAMELIN SMITH'S MATHEMATICAL, WORKS.
Authorized for use, and now waed in nearly all the principal Schools of Ontari . Quebec, Nova Scotia murl Manitolva.

## Hamblin Smith's Arithmetic.

An Advanced treatise, on the Unitary System, by J. Hamblis Smith, M. A., of Gonsille and C'ains Colleges, and late lecturer of St. Peter's College, Cambridge. Adanted to Canadian Schools, by Thomas Kirkland, MI. A., Saicnce Master, Nommal School, Toronto, and William Scott, B. A., Head Master 3 Iodel School for Ontario.
12th Edition,
Price, 75 Cents.
KEY.-A complete Key to the above Arithmetic, by the Authors.
Price,
\$2.00,
Hamblin Smith's Algebra.
An Elementary A!gelıra, by J. Hamblis Smitu, M. A., with Appendix by Alfred laker, B. A . Satlamatical Tutor, Cniversity College, Toronto.
8th Edition
Price, 90 Cents.
KEY.-A comilctic ince, to Hamblin Smith's Algebra.
Price,
$\$ 2.75$.
Hamblin Smith's Elements of Geometry.
Containing Books I. to Ti., and portions of Books X1. and XII., of Euclid. wth Exercises and Nutes, by J. H:Mblin S'mith, M. A., \&e, and Exanination Papers, from the Turonto anci Mefill finiversities, and Normal School, Toronto.
Price,
90 Cents.Hamblin Smith's Geometry Books, 1 and 2.Price,30 Cents.
Hamblin Sinith's Statics.
Py J. liniblis \{ath, M. A., with Appenwix by Thomas Kirkland, M. A.s
Sicience Master, Nurmal school, Toronto.
Price,
KEY.-Statics and Hydrostatics, in one volnme. ..... $\$ 2.00$
Hamblin Smith's Trigonometry. ..... $\$ 1.25$.KEY.-To the above.$\$ 2.50$.
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## Gage＇s Practical Speller．

A MANUAL OF SPELLING AN゙い HCTATION．

## Price，

Sixty copios ordered．


Mot：Nt Forest Advucite
After caneful mspect on we unhesitating！pronomee it the best felll． ing book ever in use in our publie schools．The I＇ractical fyeller secures an easy access to its contents by the ver！syst cinatic armarements of the words in topical rlasses ：a permanent impression on the memors by the irequent revicw of difficult worls；and a saving of time and effort by the sufection of only shch words as are diflicult and of conmon oecurrence． Mr．Reid，Il．s Master heartily recommends the work，ald ordered sor se sivty eopres．It is a booh that should be on clery business man＇s table as well as in the sclinol room．

Is a necessity．
l＇hinb．Witaess，Halifax．
We have already had repeated occasion to speath highly of the Edam－ tromal Scries of which this look is one．The＂speller＂is a necessity ；and we have seen no book wheh we can recommend more beartily than the one before us．

Gooct print．
$\because 0 \cdots$

The＂Practical Speller＂is a credit to the puhbshers in it－geme ral get nil，classification of subjects，and clearncos of tratment．The ，hilit who II．es this hook will not hase damared cye－inht through tiad priat．

What it is．
Sthathaty der．
 With ablyw iations，ctc．；words of simblar protmumiat inn and different spell． inf a eollection of the most dhllicult words in the languare，and a mamber of liecrary sebetions which may l心 used for dictation lessons，and eommit ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ted to memory hy the pupils

$$
\cdots-0=
$$

Every teacher ：hovad antroduce it．Casadan Stitpaman．
It is an improvencite on the ohl spelling look．Vivery leacher shonid introdure it into his classes

The best yet seen．


Cohchketer Sta，Nosia Scotha．
It is away aheal of ays＂spller＂that we have heretofore seen．Our puhlic sehools want a good spelling book．The publicatic \＆qufore us is the liest we have yet seen．




[^0]:    * If this is true in England, it is not true in Amerien. Nowhere in the United States is such "questionable grammar" as this frequently. heard in cultivated circles,
    † "It may be confidently affirmed that with good speakers, in tr.e ease of negration, not me is the usual practice."-Bain. This, I confldently aftirm, is not true in America.-A. A.

[^1]:    * Should be, a text-book for his course, and not, for his course a tex\% book.

[^2]:    *Mr. Gould criticises the Dean's diction, not his style.

[^3]:    "Belter, " to revise it."
    $\dagger$ "Is to put them in tabular form."

[^4]:    * Bullions' "Grammar" was published in 1s67.

[^5]:    *"L. W. K., CLK., LL.D., EX. SLH., T. C. D. Of this reverend gentleman's personality I know nothing. He does not say exactly what he means; but what he meins is, yet, unmistakable. The extract given above is from 'Public Opinion,' January 20, 1866."
    $f$ "The analysis, taken for granted in this quotation, of 'are being lirown up' into 'are being' and 'thrown up' will be dealt with in the fequel, and shown to be untenable."
    \& :V゚ol, xir, p. 504 (1837).*

[^6]:    *"'The Life and Correspondence of the late Fobert Southey, vol. $\mathbf{i}_{\text {, }}$ p. 249."
    $+\cdots$ Vol. i, p. 338. 'A student who is being crammed'; 'that verb is etcrually being declined.' - The Doctor,' pp. 38 and 40 (monotome ed.)."

[^7]:    * " In 'Put Yourself in his Place,' chapter x, he writes: 'She basked in the present delight, and looked as if she was being taken to heaven by an angel.'

[^8]:    " "'Words,' etc., p. 340."
    f "Thomas Fuller writes: "At his arrival, the last stake of the ChrisHans was on losing.'- 'The Historie of the Holy Wiarre,' p. 218 (cd. 1647.)

[^9]:    *"I express mysclf in this manner because I distinguish between be and exist."
    $\dagger$ "Samuel Richardson writes: "Jenny, who attends me here, has more than once hinted to me that Miss Jervis loves to sit up late, either reading or being read to by Anne, who, though she reads well, is not fond of the task.'- 'Sir Charles Grandison,' vol. iii, p. 46 (ed. 1754).
    "The transition is very slight by which we pass from 'sits belng read to' to "is being read to.'"

[^10]:    * "I am here indebted to the last edition of Dr. Worcester's 'Dic. tionary,' preface, p. xxxix."

[^11]:    * "' Words and their Uses,' p. 353."
    f" It is being is simply equal to it is. And, in the supposed corresponding Latin phrases. chs factus est, ens adificatus est (the ob:oleteness of ens as a gaticiple being granted), the monstros.ty is not in the use of ens with factas, but in that of eus with est. The absurdity is, in Lalin, juit what it is in E.flish, the use of is with being, the making of the verb to Le a complement to itself.' -1 bitl., pp. 354, 355.
    "Apparently, Mr. White recognizes no more difference between sup. plemmet and compiment than he recornizes between be and exist. See the extract l have made abore, from p. 353 ."

[^12]:    * "'But those things which, being not now doing, or haring not yet been done, have a natural aptitude to exist hereafter, may he properly said to appertain to the yuture.'-Harris's 'Hermes,' book I, cliap, viii (p. 155, foot-note, ed. 1771). For Harris's being not now doing, which is to translate $\mu \dot{\eta}$ yıvóнєva, the modern school, it they pursued uniformity with more of fidelity than of taste, would have to put being not now being done. There is not much to choose between the two."

[^13]:    * The possessive construction here is, in my judgment, not impera. tively demanded. There is certainly no lack of authority for putting the three substantives in the accusative. The pos essive construction scems to me, however, to be proferable.

[^14]:    ।"The word requiring most attention is only.

[^15]:    * "The use of the plural for the singuiar was establighed as early an the beginning of the fourteenth century."-Morris, p. 118, \%163,

[^16]:    *"Some writers omit the comma in cascs where the conjunction is used. But, as the conjunction is gencrally employed in such cases for emphasis, commas ought to be used; although, where the words are very closely connected, or where they constitute a clause in the midst of a long sentence, they may be omitted." - Bigclow's "Handbook of P'unctuation."

[^17]:    *"This usage violates one of the fundamental principles of punctua. tion; it indicates, very inproperly, that the noun man is more closely connected with learned than with the other adjectives. Analogy and perspicuity require a coruma after learned."-Quackenbos.

[^18]:    - Many writers would omit the last two commas in this sentence.
    $\dagger$ The commas before and after particulurl," are hardly necessary.

[^19]:    * The only exception to this rule is the cccasional use of the colon to separate two short sentences that are closely connected.

[^20]:    * "Dr. Angus on the 'English Tongue," art. 527."
    $\uparrow$ "In the following passages, the indicative mood would be more suitajle than the subjunctive: 'If thou be the Son of God, command that these

[^21]:    stones be made bread;' 'if thou be the Son of God, come down from the cross.' For, although the address was not sincere on the part of the speakers, they really meant to make the supposition or to grant that he was the Son of God; 'seeing that thou art the Son of God.' Likewise in the following: 'Now if Christ be preached, that He rose from the dead, how say some among you that there is no resurrection from the dead?' The meaning is, 'Seeing now that Christ is preached.' In the continuation, the conditional clauses are of a different character, and 'be' is appropriate: 'But if there be no resurrection from the dead, then is Christ not risen. And if Christ be not risen, then is our preaching vain, and your faith is also vain.' Again, 'If thou bring thy gift to the altar, and there rememberest,' etc. Consistency and correctness require 'remember.'"-Earrison on the "English Language," p. 287.

[^22]:    * "So, in German, würe for würde sein. 'Hatt' ich Schwingen, hätt ich Flügel, nach den Hügeln zög' ich hin,' for 'würde jch ziehen.'"

[^23]:    *"So, in German, hätle occurs for würde haben. Wäre er da gewesen, so hatten wir jhn gesehen,' for 'so würden wir ihn gesehon haben.' Mätten is still condıtional, not indicative. In Latin, the pluperfect indicative is occasionally used; which is explained as a more vivid form."
    $\dagger$ "In principal clauses the inflection of the second person is always retained: 'thou hadst,' thou wouldst, shouldst,' etc. In the example, the subordinate clause, although subjunctive, shows, 'hadst.' And this usage is oxccedingly colt $m$ '11."

[^24]:    * To those who are not quite clear as to what transcendentalism is, the following lucid definition will be welcome: "It is the spiritual cognoscence of psychological irrefrasability conne ted with concutient ademption of incolumnient spitituality and eherealized contention of subsultory concretion." Translated by a New York lawyer, it stands thus: "Transcendentalisn is two holes in a sani-bank: a storm washes away the sand-bank without disturbing the holes."

[^25]:    * "Cromwell-than he no man was more skilled in artifice; or, Crom. well-no man was more skilled in artifice than he (was)."

[^26]:    *"No devil sat higher than he sat, except Satan."

[^27]:    * "Speaking of Dryden, Hallam says, "His "Essay on Dramatic Poesy," published in 1663, was reprinted sixteen yea:s afterward, and it is curious to observe the changes which Dryden made in the expression. Malone has careiully noted all these; they show both the care the author tock with his own style and the change whech was gradually workiner in the Englisn language. The Anglicism of terminating the sentence with a prepositior, is rejected. Thus, "I can not think so contempribly of the age 1 live in," is exchanged for "the age in which I live." "A deeper expression of belief than ail the actor can persuade us to," is altered, "can insinuate into us." And, though the old form continued in use long after the time of Dryden, it has of late years been reckon:ed inelecrant, and proscribed in all cases, perhaps with an u necessary fastidiousness, to which I have not uniformly deferred, since our language is of Teutonic structure, and the rules of Latin and French grammar are not always to bind us.?
    "The following examples, taken from Hassinger's 'Grand Duke a: Fzoreuce,' will show what was the usage of the Elizabethan writers:-
    "' For I must use the frcelon I was born with.'
    " 'In that dumb rhetoric echich you make use of."
    "'-it I had been heir
    Oif all the globes and sceptres mankind bows to.,
    .. .- ine name of friend
    Which you are pleased to grace me with.'
    " -Wilfully ignorant in u!y opinicn
    Of what it did invite him to.'
    *'I look to her as on a princess
    I dare not be ambilious of.'
    ", "-a duty
    That I was born with."

